

#### **University of British Columbia CPSC 414 Computer Graphics**

#### Texturing, Clipping Week 9, Mon 27 Oct 2003

### Reading

- Chapter 7.1-7.10: texturing
- Chapter 8.3-8.7: clipping
- $\bullet$  bump mapping extra reading http://www.cs.wpi.edu/~matt/courses/cs563/talks/bump/bumpmap.html

### Texture Mapping

- texture map is an image, two-dimensional array of color values (texels)
- texels are specified by texture's (u,v) space
- at each screen pixel, texel can be used to substitute <sup>a</sup> polygon's surface property (color)
- we must map (u,v) space to polygon's (s, t) space





#### Example Texture Map



#### Texture Coordinate Transforms



#### Texture Mapping



# Texture Mapping and Filtering

- •ideal algorithm:
- – $-$  given texture map as regular grid of texels, reconstruct continuous texture function using low pass filtering
- – $-$  map this continuous texture onto 3D surface
- – project surface onto image plane using model/view and perspective transformation
- – $-$  low-pass filter resulting continuous function according to desired image resolution (avoid aliasing)
- Week 9, Mon 27 Oct 03 © Tamara Munzner 7 –sample filtered continuous image at pixel positions

### Texture Mapping and Filtering

Texel

Pixel

• in practice: 2 cases

texture magnification



interpolation

texture minification



averaging

### Texture Magnification

- • synopsis
	- and the state of the state – texture appears magnified on screen
	- – $-$  only need to low-pass filter in texture space
		- that already removes frequencies higher than the Nyquist limit for the final image resolution
- what filter to use?
	- nearest neighbor: just choose color of closest texel for every pixel
		- worst of all possible choices!
	- linear interpolation: interpolate from the closest samples (2 in 1D texture, 4 for 2D, 8 for 3D)

### Texture Minification

- • synopsis
	- and the state of the state  $-$  texture appears reduced in size on screen
	- $-$  only need to low-pass filter in image space
		- will also remove all the high frequencies in texture space
- and the state of the state  $-$  same filter as magnification case?
	- problem: <sup>a</sup> lot of texels could fall within the support of the low-pass filter for <sup>a</sup> single image
		- $\,$  e.g. when an object is very far away so that it maps to a single pixel in the final image
		- $-$  too expensive: have to evaluate filter function at an unbounded number of places and average results!

### Texture Minification Filters

- •• solution: precomputation
- – MIP-Mapping (Multum In Parvo)
	- "many things in <sup>a</sup> small place"
	- store not <u>one</u> texture image, but whole pyramid
	- resolution from level to level varies by factor of two (original resolution … 1x1)
	- every level is correctly filtered for its resolution



### Environment Mapping

- used to model <sup>a</sup> object that reflects surrounding textures to the eye
	- –polished sphere reflects walls and ceiling textures
	- –– cyborg in Terminator 2 reflects flaming destruction
- texture is distorted fish-eye view of environment
- spherical texture mapping creates texture coordinates that correctly index into this texture map

### Sphere Mapping



#### Blinn/Newell Latitude Mapping





### Cube Mapping





#### Cube Mapping – Greene '86

- direction of reflection vector *r* selects the face of the cube to be indexed
	- – $-$  co-ordinate with largest magnitude
		- e.g., the vector (-0.2, 0.5, -0.84) selects the  $-\mathsf{Z}$  face!
	- – $-$  remaining two coordinates (normalized by the 3rd  $\,$ coordinate) selects the pixel from the face.
		- e.g., (-0.2, 0.5) gets mapped to (0.38, 0.80).
- difficulty in interpolating across faces!
- $\bullet$ OpenGL support GL\_CUBE\_MAP

#### Bump Mapping image encodes normal change

•

–– see book, extra reading for full derivation



### Embossing

- at transitions
	- –– rotate point's surface normal by  $\theta$  or -  $\theta$



### Displacement Mapping

- bump mapped normals are inconsistent with actual geometry.
	- – problems: shadows, silhouettes
- displacement mapping actually affects the surface geometry



## Next Topic: Clipping

- we've been assuming that all primitives (lines, triangles, polygons) lie entirely within the *viewport*
	- – $-$  in general, this assumption will not hold:



# **Clipping**

• analytically calculating the portions of primitives within the viewport



# Why Clip?

- bad idea to rasterize outside of framebuffer bounds
- •• also, don't waste time scan converting pixels outside window
	- – $-$  could be billions of pixels for very close objects!

# Line Clipping

- 2D
	- – determine portion of line inside an axis-aligned rectangle (screen or window)
- 3D
- –– determine portion of line inside axis-ligned parallelpiped (viewing frustum in NDC)
- simple extension to the 2D algorithms

# **Clipping**

• naïve approach to clipping lines:

**for each line segment**

**for each edge of viewport**

**find intersection point**

**pick "nearest" point**

**if anything is left, draw it**

- what do we mean by "nearest"?
- how can we optimize this?  $A^4$

 $\boldsymbol{C}$ 

B

D

### Trivial Accepts

- •big optimization: trivial accept/rejects
- Q: how can we quickly determine whether <sup>a</sup> line segment is entirely inside the viewport?



### Trivial Rejects

- • Q: how can we know a line is outside viewport?
- • A: if both endpoints on wrong side of same edge, can trivially reject line



# Clipping Lines To Viewport

- $\bullet$  combining trivial accepts/rejects
	- trivially accept lines with both endpoints inside all edges of the viewport
	- trivially reject lines with both endpoints outside the same edge of the viewport
	- otherwise, reduce to trivial cases by splitting into two **segments**



- outcodes
- – 4 flags encoding position of <sup>a</sup> point relative to top, bottom, left, and right boundary



- • assign outcode to each vertex of line to test –line segment: (**p1,p2**)
- trivial cases
	- and the state of the state OC(**p1**)== 0 && OC(**p2**)==0
		- both points inside window, thus line segment completely visible (trivial accept)
- and the state of the state (OC(**p1**) & OC(**p2**))!= 0
	- there is (at least) one boundary for which both points are outside (same flag set in both outcodes)
	- thus line segment completely outside window (trivial reject)

- if line cannot be trivially accepted or rejected, subdivide so that one or both segments can be discarded
- pick an edge that the line crosses (*how?*)
- intersect line with edge (*how?*)
- discard portion on wrong side of edge and assign outcode to new vertex
- apply trivial accept/reject tests; repeat if necessary

- if line cannot be trivially accepted or rejected, subdivide so that one or both segments can be discarded
- pick an edge that the line crosses
	- –– check against edges in same order each time

A

• for example: top, bottom, right, left

B

 ${\bf D}$ 

 $\bf C$ 

 $D \rightarrow E$ 

•• intersect line with edge (how?)



• discard portion on wrong side of edge and assign outcode to new vertex



• apply trivial accept/reject tests and repeat if necessary

#### Viewport Intersection Code

- – $-$  (x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>), (x<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>) intersect with vertical edge at  $x_{right}$ 
	- $y_{intersect} = y_1 + m(x_{right} x1)$ ,  $m=(y_2-y_1)/(x_2-x_1)$
- – $({\sf x}_1,$   ${\sf y}_1)$ ,  $({\sf x}_2,$   ${\sf y}_2)$  intersect with horizontal edge at  $y_{\text{bottom}}$ 
	- $x_{\text{intersect}} = x_1 + (y_{\text{bottom}} y_1)/m, m = (y_2 y_1)/(x_2 x_1)$

#### Cohen-Sutherland Review

- use opcodes to quickly eliminate/include lines
	- best algorithm when trivial accepts/rejects are common
- must compute viewport clipping of remaining lines
	- non-trivial clipping cost
	- redundant clipping of some lines
- •more efficient algorithms exist

# Line Clipping in 3D

- •approach:
- – $-$  clip against parallelpiped in NDC
	- *after* perspective transform
- means that the clipping volume always the same
	- $\mathbf{x}_{\min} = \mathbf{y}_{\min}$ = -1,  $\mathbf{x}_{\max}$ = $\mathbf{y}_{\max}$ = 1 in OpenGL
- boundary lines become boundary planes
	- but outcodes still work the same way
	- additional front and back clipping plane *zmin* <sup>=</sup> -1, *zmax* <sup>=</sup> <sup>1</sup> in OpenGL

- •objective
- – 2D: clip polygon against rectangular window
	- or general convex polygons
	- extensions for non-convex or general polygons
- 3D: clip polygon against parallelpiped

- •• not just clipping all boundary lines
- – $-$  may have to introduce new line segments



### Why Is Clipping Hard?

- •what happens to <sup>a</sup> triangle during clipping?
- •possible outcomes:







triangle  $\Rightarrow$  triangle

triangle  $\Rightarrow$  quad triangle  $\Rightarrow$  5-gon

• how many sides can <sup>a</sup> clipped triangle have?

#### How Many Sides?

• seven…



# Why Is Clipping Hard?

•• a really tough case:



# Why Is Clipping Hard?

•• a really tough case:



concave polygon  $\Rightarrow$  multiple polygons

- • classes of polygons
	- –– triangles
- convex
- –concave
- holes and self-intersection





- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



- • basic idea:
	- $-$  consider each edge of the viewport individually
	- $-$  clip the polygon against the edge equation
	- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped



# Sutherland-Hodgeman Algorithm

- •• input/output for algorithm:
	- – $-$  input: list of polygon vertices in order
	- – $-$  output: list of clipped poygon vertices consisting of old vertices (maybe) and new vertices (maybe)
- • note: this is exactly what we expect from the clipping operation against each edge

- •• Sutherland-Hodgman basic routine:
	- –– go around polygon one vertex at a time
	- – $-$  current vertex has position  $\rho$
	- – previous vertex had position *<sup>s</sup>*, and it has been added to the output if appropriate

```
•• clipping against one edge:
clipPolygonToEdge( p[n], edge ) {
    for(i = 0; i < n; i + +) {
        if( p[i] inside edge ) {
          if( p[i-1] inside edge ) // p[-1] = p[n-1]output p[i];
          else {
            p= intersect( p[i-1], p[i], edge);
            output p, p[i];
          }
         } else…
```
- •• clipping against one edge (cont)
- p[i] inside: 2 cases



•• clipping against one edge (cont)

```
else { // p[i] is outside edge
    if( p[i-1] inside edge ) {
     p= intersect(p[i-1], p[I], edge);
     output p;
    }
} // end of algorithm
```
*…*

- •• clipping against one edge (cont)
- p[i] outside: 2 cases



•• example



- •Sutherland/Hodgeman Algorithm
- inside/outside tests: outcodes
- $-$  intersection of line segment with edge: windowedge coordinates
- and the state of the state similar to Cohen/Sutherland algorithm for line clipping

# Sutherland/Hodgeman Discussion

- • clipping against individual edges independent
	- –– great for hardware (pipelining)
- $-$  all vertices required in memory at the same time
	- not so good, but unavoidable
	- another reason for using triangles only in hardware rendering

### Sutherland/Hodgeman Discussion

- •• for rendering pipeline:
- – re-triangulate resulting polygon (can be done for every individual clipping edge)

