# Bandit Problems

The Accounts algorithm and thoughts on exploration

Tolga Yenisey

### Multi-armed Bandit problem

- Each round i of T the player chooses arm j of K and receives a payout of  $p_{i,j}$  (alternatively, pays cost  $c_{i,j}$ )
- Adaptive (adversarial): payouts (or costs) of each round are dependent on the outcomes of the previous rounds
- Non-adaptive (stochastic): payouts (or costs) of each round are independent of any previous rounds
- Full information: The player receives the payout from the arm he chose, but also learns the payouts of all other arms each round
- Bandit: The player only receives the payout of the arm chosen, and learns nothing of the payouts of the other arms

### EXP3

(Auer et al. 2002b)

```
EXP3(K)
     1 \mathbf{p}_1 \leftarrow (\frac{1}{K}, \dots, \frac{1}{K})
     2 for t \leftarrow 1 to T do
                              Sample(I_t \sim \mathsf{p}_t)
                             Receive(\ell_{I_t,t})
                             for i \leftarrow 1 to K do
                                            \widetilde{\ell}_{i,t} \leftarrow \frac{\ell_{i,t}}{p_{i,t}} 1_{I_t=i}
\widetilde{L}_{i,t} \leftarrow \sum_{s=1}^{t} \widetilde{\ell}_{i,s}
p_{i,t+1} \leftarrow \frac{e^{-\eta \widetilde{L}_{i,t}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{-\eta \widetilde{L}_{j,t}}}
                return p_{T+1}
```

EXP3 (Exponential weights for Exploration and Exploitation)

## EXP3 algorithm

- High probability (at least 1-  $\varepsilon$ ) regret of the form  $O(\sqrt{TKlog(TK/\varepsilon)})$
- Accounts: with probability at least 1- $\varepsilon$ ,  $O(\sqrt{TKlogK}*log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$
- Improvement achieved with better balance of exploration vs exploitation
- The Accounts algorithm is a refinement of EXP3

### Accounts algorithm

#### Motivation:

Explore any given arm until enough confident enough that it's a poor choice to overcome regret due to variance of exploration at low probability

"Absorb" the cost of exploring poor choices to increase likelihood of better payouts in the remaining rounds

 For each arm have an account, or allowance, for exploration. If the exponential weighting would reduce the probability that an arm is chosen below a certain amount, and it still has allowance for exploration, keep the probability and take from the account Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^K$  denote the simplex of probability distributions over  $\{1,\ldots,K\}$ . Our algorithm is defined in terms of two functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^K \to S$  and  $g: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to [0,1]$ . The boldface variables are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^K$ .

#### **Algorithm 3.1:** ACCOUNTS(f,g)

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}} := \mathbf{A} := \mathbf{0}.$$
  
for  $i := 1$  to  $T$   
Set  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_K) = f(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}).$ 

Sample  $M = M^i$  from  $1, \ldots, K$  according to the distribution **p**.

Pull arm M. Observe and incur cost  $c_M^i$ .

if 
$$g(A_M) \leq p_M$$

then 
$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_M := \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_M + \frac{c_M^i}{p_M}$$
  
else  $A_M := A_M + \frac{c_M^i}{p_M}$ 

else 
$$A_M := A_M + \frac{c_M^i}{p_M}$$

Henceforth, we will work with the following specific choice of f. Let  $\eta = \sqrt{\ln K/TK}$ . For  $z = (z_1, ..., z_K) \in \mathbb{R}^K$ , and  $j \in \{1, ..., K\}$ , let

$$f_j(z) = \frac{e^{-\eta z_j}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^K e^{-\eta z_\ell}}.$$

We define our barrier function g by

$$g(x) = \max\left\{\eta, \frac{1}{K(1+x/\theta)^{3/2}}\right\}, \quad \theta = \sqrt{KT\ln K}$$

#### **Proof Overview**

- First establish that the account value  $A_j^T$  rarely underestimates by much the contribution of "stepwise variance" to  $R_j$
- Then show that the contribution of "stepwise expectations" to  $R_j + A_j^T$  cuts off sharply at  $O(\sqrt{TKlogK})$
- The result follows from these two claims

**Theorem 1.1.** Let R denote the regret of the "Accounts" algorithm for the K-armed bandit, on any adaptively chosen cost sequence of length T. Then, for every  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(R \ge (\alpha + 7)\sqrt{TK\ln K}\right) \le 1000K\sqrt{\alpha}\exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\log K}{8}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{E}(R) = O(\sqrt{TK \ln K}).$$

#### Notation

Let  $R_j^i = \sum_{\ell=1}^i c_{M^\ell}^\ell - c_j^\ell$  denote the regret with regards to arm j at time i

Note that this gives us a new formula for the final regret, R, namely,

$$R = \max_{1 \le j \le K} R_j^T.$$

For  $j \in \{1, ..., K\}$ , let  $\Phi_j$  denote the following function from  $\mathbb{R}^K \to \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\Phi_j(\mathbf{z}) := \frac{1}{\eta} \ln \frac{1}{f_j(\mathbf{z})} = \frac{1}{\eta} \ln \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^K e^{-\eta z_\ell}}{e^{-\eta z_j}} = z_j + \frac{1}{\eta} \ln \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^K e^{-\eta z_\ell} \right)$$

This definition implies that, for each  $j, \nabla \Phi_j = \mathbf{e}_j - f, i. e.$ , for each i, j,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \Phi_j(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} 1 - f_i(\mathbf{z}) & \text{if } i = j \\ -f_i(\mathbf{z}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Notation continued

Define 
$$\begin{split} \Delta R^i_j &:= R^i_j - R^{i-1}_j \\ \Delta \Phi^i_j &:= \Phi^i_j - \Phi^{i-1}_j \\ \Delta A^i_j &:= A^i_j - A^{i-1}_j. \end{split}$$

Denote by H<sub>i</sub> the history of the game prior to round i

$$Y_j^i := \Delta R_j^i + \Delta \Phi_j^i + \Delta A_j^i - \mathbf{E} \left( \Delta R_j^i + \Delta \Phi_j^i + \Delta A_j^i \mid \mathcal{H}_i \right),$$

$$Y = Y_j := \sum_{i=1}^T Y_j^i.$$

Note that Y<sub>i</sub> is a martingale difference sequence

## Proof, given

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $1 \le j \le K$ . Then, for every  $\alpha \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(Y_j - A_j^T > (\alpha + 1)\sqrt{TK\ln K}\right) \le \left(\frac{16\sqrt{\alpha}}{\ln K} + \frac{128}{\ln^2 K}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\ln K}{8}\right)$$

Lemma 4.2.

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(\exists j \ \sum_{i=1}^{T} \mathbf{E}\left(\Delta R_{j}^{i} + \Delta \Phi_{j}^{i} + \Delta A_{j}^{i} \mid \mathcal{H}_{i}\right) > 6\sqrt{TK \ln K}\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-3\sqrt{TK \ln K}}{26}\right)$$

Assume wlog that ( $\alpha$ +7)  $\sqrt{TKlnK}$  < T, then by definition of Y<sub>i</sub>

$$Y_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{T} Y_{j}^{i} = R_{j}^{T} - R_{j}^{0} + \Phi_{j}^{T} - \Phi_{j}^{0} + A_{j}^{T} - A_{j}^{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{T} \mathbf{E} \left( \Delta R_{j}^{i} + \Delta \Phi_{j}^{i} + \Delta A_{j}^{i} \mid \mathcal{H}_{i} \right)$$

Since  $R_j^0 = A_j^0 = 0$  and  $\Phi_j^0 - \Phi_j^T \le \Phi(0) = \frac{\ln K}{\eta} = \sqrt{TK \ln K}$ , this implies

$$R_j^T \le Y_j - A_j^T + \sum_{i=1}^T \mathbf{E} \left( \Delta R_j^i + \Delta \Phi_j^i + \Delta A_j^i \mid \mathcal{H}_i \right) + \sqrt{TK \ln K}$$

### Proof cont.

By lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have  $R \leq \max_{i} R_{j}^{T} \leq (\alpha + 7)\sqrt{TK \ln K}$ 

And summing error probabilities completes the proof for the tail inequality

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(R \ge (\alpha + 7)\sqrt{TK\ln K}\right) \le K\left(\frac{16\sqrt{\alpha}}{\ln K} + \frac{128}{\ln^2 K}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\ln K}{8}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-3\sqrt{TK\ln K}}{26}\right).$$

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(R \ge (\alpha + 7)\sqrt{TK\ln K}\right) \le \frac{200K\sqrt{\alpha}}{\ln K} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\ln K}{8}\right)$$

To prove the upper bound on expectation, we note that, in general,

$$\mathbf{E}(R) \le \mathbf{E}(\max\{R, 0\}) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{Pr}(R \ge x) dx.$$

The desired bound  $\mathbf{E}(R) = O(\sqrt{TK \ln K})$  follows

#### Needed theorems

**Theorem 5.1 (McDiarmid).** Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  is a martingale difference sequence, and b is an uniform upper bound on the steps  $X_i$ . Let V denote the sum of conditional variances,

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Var}(X_i \mid X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}).$$

Then, for every  $a, v \geq 0$ ,

$$\Pr\left(\sum X_i \ge a \text{ and } V \le v\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2v + 2ab/3}\right).$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n, V$ , are as in Theorem 5.1. Let B denote the maximum "conditional positive deviation,"

$$B = \max_{i} \sup(X_i \mid X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

Then, for every  $a, b, v \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left(\sum X_i \geq a \text{ and } V \leq v \text{ and } B \leq b\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2v + 2ab/3}\right).$$

#### References

- [1] How to Beat the Adaptice Multi-Armed Bandit, 2006, V. Dani and T.P. Hayes, <a href="http://people.cs.uchicago.edu/~hayest/papers/AdaptiveBandit/AdaptiveBandit.pdf">http://people.cs.uchicago.edu/~hayest/papers/AdaptiveBandit/AdaptiveBandit.pdf</a>
- [2] V. Dani and T. P. Hayes. Robbing the bandit: less regret in online geometric optimization against an adaptive adversary. To appear in SODA 2006.
- [3] THE NONSTOCHASTIC MULTIARMED BANDIT PROBLEM\*, Auer et al, 2002