

CALIFORNIA
ELECTRONIC
INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
to the
Legislature
2009

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law
Appeals, Writs & Trials Unit
This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://ag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting From Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2009	2
Table 1	2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2009	3
Table 2	3-4
Electronic Interception Orders Issued By Judges and Court Activity During Calendar Year 2009	5
Table 3	5-6
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2009	7
Table 4	7-57
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report	58
Table 5	58-84
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2009	85
Table 6	85-110
Jurisdictions Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2009	111
Table 7	111
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Electronic Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years	112
Table 8	112-114
Appendix A	115
Text of Penal Code Section 629.62	115

Executive Summary

This year's Annual Report to the Legislature on electronic surveillance, issued in compliance with Penal Code section 629.62, can be found on the Attorney General's website at <http://ag.ca.gov>. It is our hope that this report will be a helpful resource for prosecutors and law enforcement agents who rely on electronic surveillance as a critical weapon to fight crime in California.

Table 1 of this report shows the numbers of arrests and convictions resulting from electronic interceptions conducted in 2009, as well as the offense committed. This table indicates that the total number of electronic interceptions decreased in California in 2009 to 601, from 622 in 2008, and 712 in 2007. The number of murder arrests resulting from electronic interceptions, however, significantly increased, from 121 in 2008, to 207 in 2009.

Table 2 contains a description of the targeted offense, the location of the intercept, and the device intercepted.

Table 3 sets forth the number of electronic interception orders and extensions applied for in 2008, the average length of the interceptions, and the court activity resulting from the electronic interceptions.

Table 4 describes the communications obtained. It also sets forth comments by law enforcement and prosecutors on the usefulness of the electronic interception.

Table 5 contains the date of compliance with the inventory order and the number of inventory notices sent. It also lists the name of the applicant and the judge authorizing the interception.

Table 6 compiles the costs of the interception, which are broken down by the nature and quantity of personnel used and resource cost.

Table 7 lists the jurisdictions reporting no electronic interception activity during 2009.

Table 8 provides a supplemental report on arrests, convictions, costs, and trials based on interceptions conducted in prior years that were not previously reported.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 324-5267.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting From Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Intercept Orders	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
	No. of Orders	Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. Per Order
Alameda	2																
Butte	3	16	5		16	16						3				3	1
Contra Costa	6																
Fresno	2	13	6.5	13													
Imperial	13	29	2						24								
Kern	9	49				19								25	25	3	
Los Angeles	211	364	2	189	1	174				7					7	<1	
Merced	2	6	3			6						2			2	1	
Orange	58					108											
Riverside	65	27	<1			27						7			7	<1	
Sacramento	8	19	2	8		11			19			1		1	1	<1	
San Bernardino	131	131		9	34	77			37		15	16		20	38	4	
San Diego	31	104	3			102			2			64		2	66		
San Joaquin	5	1	<1	1													
San Luis Obispo	3																
San Mateo	2	12	6			12						4			4		
Santa Barbara	5	29	6	1		28						20			20	3	
Santa Clara	7	27	4	6		21			18			3		3	3	<1	
Shasta	1																
Stanislaus	5	10		10	10												
Sutter	4																
Tulare	1	15				15			15			11		11			
Ventura	27	39	1	1		38			11			9			9	<1	
Total	601	891		238	61	654			126	7	15	140		62	185		

n/r: not reported
n/a/: not applicable

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)							Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
	No. of Orders	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable Device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer/Fax	Other*
Alameda	2	2						2			2		2	2			2
Butte	3		3	3							3		3				
Contra Costa	6			6							6		6				5
Fresno	2	2						1			2		2	1			2
Imperial	13						8				13		13				5
Kern	9	1	1	8			1				9		9				
Los Angeles	211	32	2	165				12	4		184		184	9			1
Merced	2			2							2		2				
Orange	58	1		56							57		57	1			
Riverside	65	65									65		65				
Sacramento	8	2		6							8		8				
San Bernardino	131	6	13	108		6	2	3			131		131	5			
San Diego	31			28		3					31		31				8
San Joaquin	5	1		4				1			5		5	1			
San Luis Obispo	3			3							3		3				
San Mateo	2			2							2		2				
Santa Barbara	5	3		2				1			5		5	1			4
Santa Clara	7	2		5							7		7				
Shasta	1			1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1
Stanislaus	5	3	3	2							5		5				3
Sutter	4	4									4		4				

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)							Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
	No. of Orders	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable Device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer/Fax	Other*
Tulare	1	1		1							1		1				
Ventura	27	4		23			6	5			24		27	2			

*text messaging (25); electronic device (5); VOIP phone (1)

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed	Avg. Length	Requested	Actual	Avg. Per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	2	2	1			1	1				30	90	77	39				
Butte	3	3				1	1				11	102	70	23				
Contra Costa	6	6	1									180	170	28				
Fresno	2	2										60	50	25				
Imperial	13	13			4	7	7				20	600	456	35				
Kern	9	9										207	195	22				
Los Angeles	211	211		0	8	74	74				45	7,920	6,889	33	4		9	1
Merced	2	2	1			1	1				30	90	88	44				
Orange	58				5	2	2				30	1,830	1,494	26				
Riverside	65	65			7	16	16				21	2,430	1,960	15				
Sacramento	8	8				4	4	1			24	249	242	30				
San Bernardino	131	131			7	35	35				24	5,037	4,136	36		2		2
San Diego	31	31	2			39		1			21	2,040	1,564	50		2	1	
San Joaquin	5	5				2	2				30	210	186	37				
San Luis Obispo	3	3				2	2				30	150	145	48				
San Mateo	2	2										60	60	30				
Santa Barbara	5	5			1	2	2			1	14	163	110	55				
Santa Clara	7	7	1									210	161	23				
Shasta	1	1										30	30	30				
Stanislaus	5	5				3	3				21	240	182	36				
Sutter	4	4										44	44	11				

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed	Avg. Length	Requested	Actual	Avg. Per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Tulare	1	1				1	1				27	60	57	57				
Ventura	27	27			1	1	1				6	822	607	22			1	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Alameda	09-01	797	162	20	80	Suspects believed responsible for murder of child. Wiretap assisted in gathering intelligence regarding crime.
	09-02	16,544	1,844	11	89	Interceptions were instrumental in disrupting criminal gang activity and the seizure of drugs and firearms.
Butte	BSW09-140	215	63	100	0	Because of the wiretap we were able to identify members of a drug trafficking organization, position surveillance assets and intercept a multi-pound shipment of methamphetamine. In total, we seized almost 3 lbs. of methamphetamine, 2 lbs. of cocaine and over \$20,000. In addition, information from wiretaps resulted in a seizure of \$170,000+ by DEA in Southern California.
	BSW09-179	1,752	516	29	1	Because of the wiretap we were able to identify members of a drug trafficking organization, position surveillance assets and intercept a multi-pound shipment of methamphetamine. In total, we seized almost 3 lbs. of methamphetamine, 2 lbs. of cocaine and over \$20,000. In addition, information from wiretaps resulted in a seizure of \$540,000+ by DEA in Southern California.
	BSW09-206	175	56	100	0	Because of the wiretap we were able to identify members of a drug trafficking organization, position surveillance assets and intercept a multi-pound shipment of methamphetamine. In total, we seized almost 3 lbs. of methamphetamine, 2 lbs. of cocaine and over \$20,000. In addition, information from wiretaps resulted in a seizure of \$540,000+ by DEA in Southern California.
Contra Costa	CCCD A 09-01	1,888	140	14	86	Intercepted communications have led to the seizure of in excess of 10 kilograms of MDMA, impacting the distribution of MDMA in the local area. Additionally, in excess of \$130,000 has been seized.
	CCCD A 09-02	5,056	416	8	92	Intercepted communications have led to the seizure of in excess of 10 kilograms of MDMA, and \$130,000, impacting the distribution of MDMA in the local area.
	CCCD A 09-03	10,966	786	7.2	92.8	Intercepted communications have led to the seizure of in excess of 10 kilograms of MDMA, and \$130,000, impacting the distribution of MDMA in the local area.
	CCCD A 09-04	6,195	665	11	89	Intercepted communications have led to the seizure of in excess of 10 kilograms of MDMA, and over \$130,000, impacting MDMA distribution in the local area.
	CCCD A 09-05	4,644	365	8	92	Intercepted communications have led to the seizure of in excess of 10 kilograms of MDMA, and over \$130,000, impacting MDMA distribution in the local area.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Contra Costa (cont'd)	CCDA 09-06	6,097	649	11	89	Intercepted communications have led to the seizure of in excess of 10 kilograms of MDMA, and over \$130,000, impacting MDMA distribution in the local area.
Fresno	FDP-09-01 [06-57527]	4,872	504	10.34	89.66	The interceptions were of the highest importance. The target subjects are members of a criminal street gang which commits offenses including, but not limited to, narcotics transactions, robbery, assault and homicide. This criminal street gang's activities would have continued without these wiretaps. There was no other way to obtain evidence against this gang because of their efforts to conceal their criminal activity from law enforcement. The total amount of drugs seized was approximately 2.5 pounds of processed marijuana, 125 marijuana plants and approximately 3.56 grams of methamphetamine. The total amount of money seized was \$7000. This criminal street gang is extremely violent, and the individuals arrested as a result of these wiretaps have been some of the most violent members. Also, they were selling narcotics on a daily basis to both adults and minors. As a result of these wiretaps, members of the community will not have to testify against them, which has been a problem in obtaining convictions against these individuals.
	FDP-09-02 [06-57527]	3122	74	2.37	97.63	See No. FDP-09-01.
Imperial	SW-2009-044	1,364	449			Investigation is still pending. No arrests to date.
	SW-2009-049	0	0			Not used. Line terminated before interception began.
	SW-2009-050	2,712	758			The criminal investigation is still pending.
	SW-2009-064	4,250	880			No costs yet. The cost information is still pending.
	SW-09-050#1	8,943	4,041			The criminal investigation is still pending.
	SW-09-050#2	7,344	1,374			The criminal investigation is still pending.
	SW-2009-107a					No arrest. Investigation still pending.
	SW-2009-0140	1,139	174			Criminal case still pending.
	TT#1	4,791	706			
	TT#2	6,779	957			
	TT#3	0	0			**

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Imperial (cont'd)	TT#4	9	0			**
	TT#5	850	92			**
Kern	09-01	2,629	592	22.5	77	The investigation centered around a threat made against law enforcement officers from criminal street gang members operating in the city of Delano. During the course of the intercept a conspiracy to commit murder was identified and seizure of six firearms occurred. The intercept also allowed investigators to identify a group of gang members involved in the theft of automobiles and the sale of marijuana. Marijuana and vehicles were recovered as a result of this investigation. Twenty-five of the arrested subjects have been sentenced to varying amounts of time and the other five subjects are currently having their cases adjudicated.
	09-02	642	237	36	64	Wire intercept 09-02 targeted a multi-pound dealer of methamphetamine located in the Bakersfield area that was utilizing hidden trap compartments to conceal methamphetamine. The dealer was using his residence and ice cream business to operate and hide his narcotics trafficking activities. Just less than five pounds of methamphetamine was seized combined from a traffic stop and a search warrant at the target's residence.
	09-03	502	206	41	59	Wire intercept 09-03 targeted a multi-pound dealer of methamphetamine located in the Bakersfield area. The target of the wire was importing large quantities of methamphetamine from Mexico using his affiliation with a cartel based in Mexico. The target of the wire was arrested with 14 pounds of methamphetamine that was concealed in a hidden trap compartment of the vehicle he was driving and the trunk of a vehicle that was at his residence.
	09-04	807	147	22	78	During the investigation agents identified a three-pound quantity or greater methamphetamine trafficker located in the Bakersfield area. Agents identified the trafficker's cell phone number and consequently initiated a wiretap, number 09-04, on said phone. During the execution of wiretap #09-04, agents identified the methamphetamine trafficker's source of supply (SOS) and subsequently initiated wiretap numbers 09-05, 097 and 09-08 on phones known to be used by the SOS. During the execution of wiretap #09-05, 09-07 and 09-08, agents determined that the SOS was receiving regular methamphetamine shipments from individuals in Mexico. At the conclusion of this investigation agents seized approximately seven pounds of methamphetamine, \$62,000 in U.S. currency, and two vehicles with hidden compartments and arrested twelve individuals involved in methamphetamine trafficking.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Kern (cont'd)	09-05	591	156	35	65	During the investigation, agents identified a three-pound quantity or greater methamphetamine trafficker located in the Bakersfield area. Agents identified the trafficker's cell phone number and consequently initiated a wiretap, number 09-04, on said phone. During the execution of wiretap #09-04, agents identified the methamphetamine trafficker's source of supply (SOS) and subsequently initiated wiretap numbers 09-05, 097 and 09-08 on phones known to be used by the SOS. During the execution of wiretap #09-05, 09-07 and 09-08, agents determined that the SOS was receiving regular methamphetamine shipments from individuals in Mexico. At the conclusion of this investigation agents seized approximately seven pounds of methamphetamine, \$62,000 in U.S. currency, and two vehicles with hidden compartments and arrested twelve individuals involved in methamphetamine trafficking.
	09-06	364	87	31	69	Wire intercept 09-06 targeted a multi-pound dealer of methamphetamine located in the Bakersfield area. The target used his restaurant to sell drugs and pick up drug proceeds. The wiretap ended and was continued on 09-09.
	09-07	941	158	20	80	During the investigation agents identified a three-pound quantity or greater methamphetamine trafficker located in the Bakersfield area. Agents identified the trafficker's cell phone number and consequently initiated a wiretap, number 09-04, on said phone. During the execution of wiretap #09-04, agents identified the methamphetamine trafficker's source of supply (SOS) and subsequently initiated wiretap numbers 09-05, 097 and 09-08 on phones known to be used by the SOS. During the execution of wiretap #09-05, 09-07 and 09-08, agents determined that the SOS was receiving regular methamphetamine shipments from individuals in Mexico. At the conclusion of this investigation agents seized approximately seven pounds of methamphetamine, \$62,000 in U.S. currency, and two vehicles with hidden compartments and arrested twelve individuals involved in methamphetamine trafficking.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Kern (cont'd)	09-08	1,611	520	47	53	During the investigation agents identified a three-pound quantity or greater methamphetamine trafficker located in the Bakersfield area. Agents identified the trafficker's cell phone number and consequently initiated a wiretap, number 09-04, on said phone. During the execution of wiretap #09-04, agents identified the methamphetamine trafficker's source of supply (SOS) and subsequently initiated wiretap numbers 09-05, 097 and 09-08 on phones known to be used by the SOS. During the execution of wiretap #09-05, 09-07 and 09-08, agents determined that the SOS was receiving regular methamphetamine shipments from individuals in Mexico. At the conclusion of this investigation agents seized approximately seven pounds of methamphetamine, \$62,000 in U.S. currency, and two vehicles with hidden compartments and arrested twelve individuals involved in methamphetamine trafficking.
	09-09	538	44	9	91	Wire intercept 09-09 targeted two multi-pound dealers of methamphetamine located in the Bakersfield area. The target of the wire was importing large quantities of methamphetamine from Mexico using semi trucks with cover-loads of legitimate goods. A traffic stop was conducted on the wire target resulting in the seizure of suspected drug proceeds. A load of narcotics was sent at the time of the money seizure and all members of the organization discarded their respective cellular phones and the case was concluded.
Los Angeles	08-54	656	48	7	93	
	08-69	31,981	738	2	98	
	08-108	1,744	63	28	72	
	08-139	3,840	134	3	97	The interception proved vital in establishing one of the suspect's movements and location, and in the identification of other suspects involved in the homicide. It also provided incriminating statements related to other gang-related criminal activity. One of the suspects in this case will be formally charged for homicide in the near future. The investigation of the other suspects is still ongoing.
	08-157	7,608	202	3	97	The interception proved vital in establishing one of the suspect's movements and location, and in the identification of other suspects involved in the homicide. It also provided information to ongoing (gang-related) criminal activity. The interception provided incriminating statements that were used in obtaining the suspect's full confession. Formal charges of homicide will be filed in the near future. The investigation of the other suspects involved in this homicide is still ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	08-170	6,267	140	2	98	The interception provided information relating to ongoing (gang-related) criminal activity. The interception also provided incriminating statements that were used in obtaining the suspect's full confession. Formal charges of homicide will be filed in the near future. The investigation of the other suspects involved in this homicide is still ongoing.
	08-190	12,520	5,400	8	92	Numerous suspects in crimes, including murder and assault with a deadly weapon, identity theft, and drug sales, were identified and investigations continue. At the termination of this wiretap no arrests have been made. This investigation will continue at a later date.
	08-195	415	61	15	85	Interception has allowed agents to identify multiple narcotics traffickers operating in the Los Angeles area.
	08-196	6,616	494	7	93	
	08-206	2,046	296	14	86	Case is continuing. Thus far 3-4 narcotics traffickers were identified and 10.5 pounds of methamphetamine was seized as a result of intercepted conversations.
	08-209	322	213	66	34	No arrests.
	08-210	1,091	425	39	61	Several narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	08-214	7,832	3,517	45	55	Numerous suspects in crimes including murder and assault with a deadly weapon, identity theft, and drug sales were identified and investigations continue. At the termination of this wiretap no arrests have been made. This investigation will continue at a later date.
	08-216	645	203	3	97	Three to four narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	08-217	3,540	211	6	94	
	08-218	1,020	297	3	97	
	08-219	2,750	85	3	97	Interception of this phone has led to the identification of California- and Mexico-based drug trafficking organizations in addition to narcotics customers in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, and Riverside counties, as well as Kansas City, Missouri. This investigation is ongoing and no arrests have been made to date.
	08-221	15	10	67	33	
	09-01	9,346	654	7	93	
	09-04	571	38	7	93	Three to four narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-05	504	46	9	91	Several narcotics traffickers were identified and approximately 3 pounds of methamphetamine, three vehicles and approximately \$142,202 in U.S. currency were seized as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-06	487	132	27	73	Several narcotics traffickers were identified. Approximately \$70,000 in U.S. currency was seized as a result of intercepted conversation over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-07	1,465	436	30	70	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its spin-offs (wiretap 09-21 and 09-46), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following seizures: 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-08	232	117	50	50	
	09-09	1,187	320	27	73	N/A
	09-10	30	0	0	100	This wiretap was terminated due to suspension of telephone lines. No investigative leads were gained by this wiretap.
	09-11	234	44	19	81	Interception has allowed agents to identify multiple narcotics traffickers operating in Los Angeles, Hawaii, and Mexico.
	09-13	3,491	3	>1	99	
	09-14	27	3	11	89	N/A
	09-15	14,212	835	6	94	The six target subjects that pleaded guilty did not even proceed to the "preliminary stage" of the court process. This was a direct result of the wire intercept evidence that was provided to them upon arraignment.
	09-16	1,716	102	6	94	Several narcotics traffickers were identified. Approximately \$527,821 in U.S. currency was seized as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-17	103	30	29	71	
	09-18	701	484	69	31	Two persons were arrested and 16 kilograms of cocaine were seized.
	09-19	49	2	4	961	N/A

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-21	2,745	361	13	87	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its predecessors (wiretap 09-07 and 09-21), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following seizures: 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds Mexican heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-22	1,690	429	25	75	The interceptions allowed agents to further the investigation and identify other sources of supply. Agents will utilize the information obtained to locate other targets and continue to investigate the drug trafficking organization.
	09-23	25	0	0	100	Three to four narcotics traffickers were identified. The case is continuing.
	09-24	1,151	270	23	77	One narcotics trafficker was indentified. Approximately three pounds of methamphetamine was seized as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-25	1,355	257	19	81	Three to four narcotics traffickers were identified. The case is continuing.
	09-26	1,556	302	19	81	Interception has assisted in identifying other targets.
	09-27	266	0	0	100	Surveillance and stimulation led to the arrest; no pertinent calls were intercepted that led to the arrest of the suspect.
	09-32	2,093	315	15	85	
	09-34	1,040	218	21	79	One narcotics trafficker was identified. Case is continuing.
	09-35	3,840	521	14	86	The interceptions from this wiretap enabled investigators to determine the scope and narcotics activities of a drug trafficking organization. It also enabled investigators to identify co-conspirators involved with this organization and document their narcotics activities. Investigators were able to identify several sources of supply for the target subject as well. All of this information will be utilized at the conclusion of this investigation to prosecute the target subject and his co-conspirators for narcotics offenses.
	09-36	7	1	14	86	No subjects were arrested during the course of this wiretap. This wiretap investigation is being continued by DEA HIDTA Group 42 under a separate investigation.
	09-37	1,367	341	25	75	One narcotics trafficker was identified. Approximately six pounds of methamphetamine was seized as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-38	2,678	519	19	81	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Cash, a small amount of methamphetamine and a handgun were seized as a result of intercepted conversations. Case is continuing.
	09-39	793	0	0	100	Surveillance and stimulation led to the arrest. No pertinent calls were intercepted that led to the arrest of the suspect.
	09-40	356	66	19	81	One subject was arrested, and seven firearms and approximately \$150,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	09-41	854	139	16	84	Interception has led to the identification of multiple narcotics traffickers operating in the Los Angeles area.
	09-42	1,733	380	22	78	Several narcotics traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-43	80	35	43	57	Identified coordinating narcotics shipments from Mexico and Los Angeles with proceeds going back down to Mexico.
	09-44	0	0			This wiretap provided information which initiated narcotic investigations of co-conspirators operating in the states of Missouri and Colorado. [Installed but not used.]
	09-45	1,149	5	1	99	
	09-46	2,412	216	9	91	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its predecessors (wiretap 09-21), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following seizures: 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-48	1,615	191	12	88	Suspect(s) involved in this investigation are part of a drug trafficking organization (DTO) based in Mexico and the United States. The suspect(s) in charge of the organization coordinate the shipments and sales of the narcotics via cellular phones. Pertinent conversations intercepted were crucial to show the suspect(s) involvement, since members of the organization were reluctant to testify against other suspect(s) involved.
	09-49	225	54	24	76	No evidence was seized. Other narcotic associates were identified.
	09-51	1,152	185	17	83	N/A
	09-52	0	0			Interception of the target telephone was never activated. [Installed but not used.]

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-53	983	138	14	86	Three search warrants were served based upon information received from these intercepts. Approximately \$209,000 was seized in narcotic proceeds.
	09-54	10	1	10	90	Subject did not pay the phone bill and the phone was not used. Case is continuing.
	09-55 TT#1	5,338	232	5	95	The intercepted conversation has led to other person(s) and additional wire intercepts involving trafficking narcotics in Los Angeles County.
	09-55 TT#2	194	0	0	100	Due to the business being closed, no conversation was intercepted over this line.
	09-55 TT#3	3,589	453	13	77	The intercepted conversation led to other person(s) and additional wire intercepts involving trafficking narcotics in Los Angeles County.
	09-55 TT#4	14,071	367	3	97	The intercepted conversation has led to other person(s) and additional wire intercepts involving trafficking narcotics in Los Angeles County.
	09-56	1,121	487	43	57	
	09-57	3,791	401	11	89	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its predecessors (wiretap 09-07 and 09-46), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following three seizures: \$32,050 U.S. currency; 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds Mexican heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-58	1,532	152	10	90	This investigation involved a sophisticated drug trafficking organization which utilized runners and numerous locations to sell, transport and store narcotics. The leader(s) in the organization often utilized runners to transport narcotics in order to avoid complications with law enforcement. Electronic interceptions implicated these individuals showing their involvement in the sales and transportation of cocaine and methamphetamine.
	09-60	581	281	48	52	Eleven narcotics traffickers were identified and arrested. Approximately 12 pounds of methamphetamine, approximately 2 kilos of cocaine, approximately 20 pounds of marijuana, 1 vehicle and \$17,420 of narcotics were seized as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-61	195	21	11	89	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke about narcotics trafficking over the target telephone, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-62	185	81	44	56	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-63	6868	141	21	79	Court-authorized interceptions have assisted this ongoing investigation, furthering the goals of the investigation. Specifically, during this investigation, law enforcement personnel have seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 30 kilograms of cocaine, and over \$100,000 U.S. currency.
	09-65	5	0	0	100	This specific line was discontinued by target subject at onset of monitoring. Case is continuing.
	09-66	3,464	766	22	78	The investigation is still ongoing.
	09-67	861	123	14	86	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its predecessors (wiretap 09-07, 09-21 and 09-46), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following three seizures: \$32,050 U.S. currency; 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds Mexican heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-68	662	189	29	71	Interceptions led to narcotics and narcotics proceeds seizure.
	09-69	943	181	19	81	Based on interceptions over Court Order 09-69, officers/agents arrested two individuals, seized nine kilograms of cocaine and over \$126,000, and identified additional narcotics traffickers operating in and around California.
	09-70	22,850	6,456	28	72	None.
	09-72	888	159	18	82	Other narcotics traffickers and a methamphetamine manufacturing lab were identified and seized as a result of intercepted conversations. Case is continuing.
	09-73	0	0			Although no calls were intercepted during the course of this wiretap, surveillance operations against the DTO resulted in the seizure of 43 kilograms of cocaine and \$14,200 U.S. currency.
	09-74	361	85	24	76	
	09-75	3,256	1,000	31	69	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Approximately 31 kilograms of cocaine were seized as a result of intercepted conversations. Case is continuing.
	09-76	2,279	94	4	96	Interception of this phone has led to the identification of California and Mexico-based DTOs as well as customers of these DTOs. This investigation is ongoing and no arrests have been made to date.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-77	8,375	859	10	90	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.
	09-78	440	34	8	92	This case is being filed with the District Attorney and is currently pending.
	09-79	7,519	1,256	17	83	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its predecessors (wiretap 09-07, 09-21, 09-57, 09-67 and 09-46), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following three seizures: \$32,050 U.S. currency; 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds Mexican heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-80	700	300	43	57	None.
	09-81	1,000	200	20	80	Interception of target phones helped identify the organizational structure to obtain evidence to disrupt and dismantle the entire drug trafficking organization.
	09-82	2,036	560	28	72	Court-authorized interceptions have assisted this ongoing investigation, furthering the goals of the investigation. Specifically, during this investigation, law enforcement personnel have seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 30 kilograms of cocaine, and over \$100,000 U.S. currency.
	09-83	1,882	259	14	86	
	09-84	883	135	15	85	This wiretap provided information which initiated narcotic investigations of co-conspirators operating in the states of Missouri and Colorado.
	09-85	7	7	100	0	Target subject discontinued use of phone on the same day intercepts started.
	09-86	5,969	582	10	90	Intercepted phone calls led to the seizure of approximately 23 pounds of methamphetamine, approximately \$238,000 in narcotics proceeds, and the arrests of five suspects. Additional charges are pending.
	09-87	964	189	20	80	Investigations seized \$25,879 in U.S. currency and approximately 43 kilograms of cocaine-HCL as a result of intercepted telephone conversations over the target telephone. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-88	1,871	71	4	96	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-89	12,467	362	3	97	Wiretap orders were requested and obtained to help gather information and statements on an unsolved 2002 murder investigation of a 15-year-old female, as well as two other gang-related murder and gang activity investigations. Prior to wire request, no information was available on three of the cases that would have led to an arrest or conviction. As of this date, two of the three homicides were solved and the third one is pending.
	09-90	943	181	19	81	Based on interceptions over Court Order 09-90, officers/agents arrested one individual, seized 18 kilograms of cocaine, and identified additional narcotics traffickers operating in and around California.
	09-91	852	139	16	84	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Approximately 4 kilograms of cocaine were seized as a result of intercepted conversations. Case is continuing.
	09-92	1,275	191	15	85	No arrests have been made at this point in the investigation. However, this investigation is not concluded.
	09-93	2,404	701	29	71	Intercepts linked associates and target to the seizure of 1/2 kilogram of cocaine.
	09-94	15,061	2,449	16	84	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-95	15,971	0	0	100	N/A
	09-96	8,093	1,212	15	85	Suspect was arrested with 15.1 grams of methamphetamine and one semi-automatic handgun. This case has been filed with the District Attorney and is currently pending.
	09-97	1,633	288	18	82	
	09-98	1	0	0	100	
	09-99	4,237	328	8	92	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-100	924	200	22	78	This wiretap provided intercepts which identified co-conspirators involved in the transportation of narcotic proceeds between two states. This information was significant in that it also led to the recovery of narcotic proceeds and the arrest of two suspects. Based on the aforementioned, numerous post-arrest conversations were also intercepted which led to the implication of additional conspirators. ***This wiretap was federally funded.
	09-101	31,154	1,590	5	95	Wiretap orders were requested and obtained to help gather information and statements on an unsolved 2002 murder investigation of a 15-year-old female, as well as two other gang-related murder and gang activity investigations. Prior to wire request, no information was available on three of the cases that would have led to an arrest or conviction. As of this date, two of the three homicides were solved and the third one is pending.
	09-102	3,031	238	8	92	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-103	0	0			*Wiretap terminated when agents learned via Sprint Nextel that the subscriber discontinued service.
	09-104	4,937	1,341	27	73	Intercept of this telephone led to a 27-pound methamphetamine seizure.
	09-105	904	273	30	70	The case is still pending.
	09-106	3,281	423	13	87	Based on intercepted calls over this wiretap and its predecessors (wiretap 09-07, 09-21, 09-57, 09-67, 09-79 and 09-46), agents arrested 7 subjects for narcotics trafficking. The arrests were made in conjunction with the following three seizures: \$32,050 U.S. currency; 17.5 kilograms cocaine, 7 pounds Mexican heroin, 2 kilograms white heroin, \$122,100 U.S. currency; 20 kilograms cocaine and \$269,466 U.S. currency.
	09-107	1,121	462	41	59	Law enforcement personnel seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 30 kilograms of cocaine, and over \$100,000 in U.S. currency.
	09-108	951	175	18	82	Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine and \$33,733 in narcotics proceeds were seized as a result of intercepted conversations. Case is continuing.
	09-109	1,613	274	17	83	Investigators seized \$491,930 in U.S. currency as a result of intercepted telephone conversations over the target telephone. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-110	1,679	10	1	99	Wiretap orders were requested and obtained to help gather information and statements on an unsolved 2002 murder investigation of a 15-year-old female, as well as two other gang-related murder and gang activity investigations. Prior to wire request, no information was available on three of the cases that would have led to an arrest or conviction. As of this date, two of the three homicides were solved and the third one is pending.
	09-111	0	0			*
	09-112	5,770	649	11	89	Suspect(s) involved in this investigation are part of a DTO based in Mexico and the United States. The suspect(s) in charge of the organization coordinate the shipments and sales of the narcotics via cellular phones. Pertinent conversations intercepted are crucial in order to show the suspect(s) involvement, since members within the organization are reluctant to testify against other suspect(s) involved.
	09-113	0	0			No calls were intercepted on this target telephone.
	09-114	1,392	276	20	80	Intercepted phone calls led to the seizure of approximately 43 kilograms of cocaine-HCL and \$25,879 in narcotics proceeds, and the arrest of two suspects. Additional charges are pending.
	09-115	129	2	2	98	The wiretap assisted with locating the two target suspects and identifying their narcotics stash location. The wiretap also assisted in obtaining incriminating communications and the seizing of evidence.
	09-116	867	542	63	37	In order to fully investigate this narcotic trafficking organization, a wiretap investigation was necessary to identify the source of supply.
	09-117	1,377	276	20	80	Intercepted phone calls led to the seizure of approximately 21 pounds of methamphetamine, approximately \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds, and the arrests of five suspects. Additional charges are pending.
	09-118	2,507	91	4	96	Primary target was detained during this period and both California state and federal charges are being filed.
	09-119	834	445	53	47	Intercepted communications over these lines led to intercepts of sources of supply, which in turn led to large seizures of narcotics and currency.
	09-120	1,004	214	21	79	N/A
	09-122	379	48	13	87	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-123					*

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-124	897	179	20	80	One half kilogram of cocaine and 1,860 pounds of marijuana were seized and two arrests were made.
	09-125	2,544	148	6	94	Wiretap orders were requested and obtained to help gather information and statements on an unsolved 2002 murder investigation of a 15-year-old female, as well as two other gang-related murder and gang activity investigations. Prior to wire request, no information was available on three of the cases that would have led to an arrest or conviction. As of this date, two of the three homicides were solved and the third one is pending.
	09-126	183	60	33	67	Based on interception over Court Order 09-126, officers/agents identified additional narcotics traffickers operating in and around Los Angeles.
	09-128 TT#9	3,192	41	1	99	This case is being filed with the District Attorney and is currently pending.
	09-128 TT#10	1,590	49	3	97	This case is being filed with the District Attorney and is currently pending.
	09-129	2,602	100	4	96	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-130	291	47	16	84	Investigators seized \$491,930 in U.S. currency as a result of intercepted telephone conversations over the target telephone. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-131	1,628	222	14	86	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-133	2,184	214	10	90	The interceptions in this case are important to obtain convictions in that they show the role the targeted individuals played in this organization, the structure and actions of this organization and the elements of narcotics trafficking and conspiracy to traffic in narcotics. None of these things could be proven sufficiently without the intercepted conversation.
	09-134	750	25	3	97	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-135	1,258	688	55	45	Interception of this phone has led to the identification of California- and Mexico-based drug trafficking organizations in addition to narcotics customers in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, and Riverside counties as well as Kansas City, Missouri. This investigation is ongoing with one arrest and the seizure of approximately five kilograms of cocaine.
	09-136	730	213	29	71	Intercepts led to two separate multi-kilogram cocaine/multi-pound methamphetamine seizures. Arrests pending.
	09-137	1,983	225	11	89	This case is being filed with the District Attorney's Office and is currently pending. Suspect was arrested with 778 grams of methamphetamine and \$2,977.
	09-139					*Monitoring was never initiated.
	09-140					*Prior to the activation of this wiretap, investigators learned that the subject of TT#4 had discontinued the use of the cellular device. Based on this information, TT#4 was not installed. ***This wiretap was funded by federal funds at the SCDTF/LA HIDTA (DEA).
	09-141	382	8	2	98	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-142	1,571	170	11	89	This is an ongoing long-term investigation. More determinative statistics will be available nearer the prosecution phase.
	09-144	276	62	22	78	Investigators seized \$491,930 in U.S. currency as a result of intercepted telephone conversations over the target telephone. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-147	24	0			The target user for wiretap 09-147 ceased using his cell phone on the date of application based on incoming calls going straight to voicemail and no outgoing calls were placed.
	09-148	562	93	17	83	This wiretap provided information which initiated narcotic investigations of co-conspirators operating in the states of California, Missouri and Colorado. It also provided information relative to individuals responsible for providing firearms to a narcotics cell affiliated with a DTO based in Mexico.
	09-150	284	36	13	87	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-151	699	31	4	96	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject, while using this target telephone #21. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-152	51,657	103	1	99	
	09-153	3,603	1,874	52	48	As a result of interceptions on target telephone, LAFD FIG agents have identified numerous members of a drug trafficking and money laundering organization in Los Angeles County. FIG seized \$50,000 in narcotics proceeds and obtained prosecutable evidence against two members of the target organization.
	09-154	8,762	262	3	97	
	09-155 TT#4	2,470	171	7	93	The interceptions in this case are important to obtain convictions in that they show the role the targeted individuals played in its organization, the structure and actions of this organization and the elements of narcotics trafficking and conspiracy to traffic in narcotics. None of these things could be proven sufficiently without the intercepted conversation.
	09-155 TT#5	390	84	22	78	The interceptions in this case are important to obtain convictions in that they show the role the targeted individuals played in its organization, the structure and actions of this organization and the elements of narcotics trafficking and conspiracy to traffic in narcotics. None of these things could be proven sufficiently without the intercepted conversation.
	09-156	2,386	281	12	88	Case is pending and currently being filed with the District Attorney.
	09-157	144	21	15	85	
	09-158	2,934	33	1	99	At the end of the thirty days of intercepting target telephone #1, the target changed the number. We were unable to find the new number.
	09-159	403	46	11	89	This wiretap focused primarily on a cell of narcotic traffickers operating in the states of California, Colorado and Missouri. During the course of the investigation, intercepts led to an emergency wiretap which involved numerous suspects conspiring to commit murder. At this time, several suspects are in custody, with arrest warrants issued for outstanding suspects.
	09-160	4,069	493	12	88	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of methamphetamine from Mexico into the Los Angeles County area for distribution. Approximately 18 pounds of methamphetamine have been seized based on information intercepted from wiretap 09-160.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-161	1,549	51	3	97	Primary target was arrested and California state and federal charges are being filed.
	09-162	958	950	99	1	Obtained direct evidence against target subject for future prosecution. Investigation is still ongoing.
	09-163	7	0	0	100	
	09-165	2,210	287	12	87	
	09-166	1,611	71	4	96	The target subject of this wiretap (TT#24) was arrested during the service of a search warrant. Narcotics and narcotics proceeds were seized during the execution of the warrant. Investigators continue to investigate other target subjects TT#22 and TT#23 of this investigation. Case is continuing.
	09-167	536	360	67	33	Arrests pending in this investigation.
	09-168	223	41	18	82	The target subject of this wiretap was arrested after a traffic stop by the California Highway Patrol. Approximately 22 kilograms of cocaine-HCL were found in a hidden compartment in his vehicle. Target subject was later released to protect the ongoing investigation.
	09-169	2,941	288	10	90	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-170	166	35	21	79	No arrests were made based on this intercept.
	09-171	10,601	766	7	93	Suspect(s) involved in this investigation are part of a DTO based in Mexico and the United States. The suspect(s) in charge of the organization coordinate the shipments and sales of the narcotics via cellular phones. Pertinent conversations intercepted are crucial in order to show the suspect(s) involvement, since members within the organization are reluctant to testify against other suspect(s) involved.
	09-172 TT#4	2,411	93	4	96	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-172 TT#6	974	171	18	82	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.
	09-174	1,370	128	9	91	This case is being filed with the District Attorney and is currently pending.
	09-176	383	376	98	2	Obtained direct evidence against target subject for future prosecution. Investigation is still ongoing.
	09-177	2,356	117	5	95	
	09-180	35	34	97	3	Arrests pending in this case.
	09-181	3,789	77	2	98	Suspect(s) involved in this investigation are part of a DTO based in Mexico and the United States. The suspect(s) in charge of the organization coordinate the shipments and sales of the narcotics via cellular phones. Pertinent conversations intercepted are crucial in order to show the suspect(s) involvement, since members within the organization are reluctant to testify against other suspect(s) involved.
	09-182	707	27	4	96	
	09-183	3,452	193	6	94	The target subject of this wiretap was arrested for transportation of cocaine-HCL for the purpose of sales. Investigators located approximately 22 kilograms of cocaine in his vehicle in hidden compartments. As a result of the seizure, several search warrants were served at addresses associated with the target subjects. During the service of the search warrant investigators located an additional 10 kilograms of cocaine and a large amount of narcotics proceeds.
	09-185	211	37	18	82	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.
	09-186	5,544	42	1	99	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-190	5,438	773	14	86	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of methamphetamine from Mexico into the Los Angeles County area for distribution. Approximately 8 pounds of methamphetamine and 3 kilograms of cocaine have been seized based on information from wiretap 09-214 and wiretap 09-190.
	09-191	2,427	80	3	97	Primary target was arrested and California state and federal charges are being filed.
	09-193					*Agents did not initiate interception of target telephone #33 due to inactivity.
	09-194	791	171	22	78	Intercepted calls from target telephone #6 (wiretap 09-194) led to the seizure of 572 lbs. of marijuana in Tucson, Arizona. These calls involved target subjects and additional unidentified suspects.
	09-195	238	7	3	97	
	09-196					*TT1 was never installed for interception.
	09-199 TT#8	3,128	722	23	77	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.
	09-199 TT#9	932	258	28	72	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.
	09-200	1	1	100	0	Wiretap orders were requested and obtained to help gather information and statements on an unsolved 2002 murder investigation of a 15-year-old female, as well as two other gang-related murder and gang activity investigations. Prior to wire request, no information was available on three of the cases that would have led to an arrest or conviction. As of this date, two of the three homicides were solved and the third one is pending.
	09-207	2,138	78	4	96	The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject while using this target telephone. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-214	268	62	23	77	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of methamphetamine from Mexico into the Los Angeles County area for distribution. Approximately 8 pounds of methamphetamine and 3 kilograms of cocaine have been seized based on information intercepted from wiretap 09-214.
	09-222	287	39	14	86	The intercepted calls are important to the prosecution and conviction of the individuals targeted in this investigation for a number of reasons. These calls show each individual's role in the targeted organization as well as their participation in the narcotics trafficking conspiracy. The calls also show the structure and hierarchy of the organization as well as their level of sophistication as narcotics traffickers.
Merced	WTM-001; WTM-003; WTM-004	2,893	440	15	85	The four main defendants were prosecuted federally. The remaining two defendants pleaded to California Health & Safety Code section 11377 (possession of a controlled substance). In this operation, these defendants were suspected of manufacturing 18 pounds of methamphetamine per month for sale in the California Central Valley, Bay Area, Sacramento area, Oregon and Washington. At the time the search warrant was executed, 7.1 pounds of finished methamphetamine and 5 gallons of methamphetamine solution were seized along with 3 AK-47 assault rifles.
	WTM-002; WTM-005; WTM-006	2,188	51	2	98	Defendant(s) are known in the California Central Valley to be multi-kilo dealers of methamphetamine and cocaine. Defendant(s) are known to smuggle methamphetamine and cocaine from Mexico into California.
Orange	09-01	2,184	291	10	90	One narcotics trafficker was identified and arrested for being in possession of approximately two pounds of marijuana as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	08-61 & 09-02	2,801	377	25	75	Eleven narcotics traffickers were identified and arrested, and approximately 175 pounds of methamphetamine, two vehicles and approximately \$300,000 in U.S. currency was seized as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-03	6,982	0			
	09-05	350	46	0	0	Other narcotic traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-07	1,732	67	10	90	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Orange (cont'd)	09-08	0	0	0	0	
	09-09	475	35	15	85	Will assist in obtaining convictions on both subjects for their involvement in this case.
	09-10	294	104	0	0	Two narcotics traffickers were identified and arrested for being in possession of approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine as a result of intercepted conversations over the target telephone. Case is continuing.
	09-11	12	0	0	0	Narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-12	1,066	380	15	85	
	09-13	679	54	5	95	Intercepted conversations presented during trials are significant evidence that usually predicate a guilty plea from defendants.
	09-14	1,194	253	30	70	Will assist in obtaining convictions on both subjects for their involvement in this case.
	09-15	5,214	1,257	5	95	Several narcotics traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-16			0	0	This phone was never intercepted as the user discontinued the use of it prior to initiating interception. [Installed but not used.]
	09-17	412	79	0	100	
	09-18	4,662	1,615	30	70	During this wiretap investigation, a total of five Hispanic males were arrested, and 16 pounds of methamphetamine and approximately \$116,000 of narcotic proceeds were seized.
	09-19 & 09-24	497	224	50	50	Other narcotic traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-21	1,740	511	20	80	N/A
	09-23	3,859	2,117	5	95	Ongoing investigation.
	09-25	0	0	0	0	No calls were intercepted as the user discontinued using the target telephone when agents attempted to intercept it. [Installed but not used.]
	09-26	0	0	0	0	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Orange (cont'd)	09-27	5,591	2,223	1	99	Ongoing investigation.
	09-28	6,286	1,797	40	60	N/A
	09-29			0	0	*Agents did not initiate interception of the target telephone due to inactivity.
	09-30	331	88	30	70	Court authorized interceptions have assisted this ongoing investigation, furthering the goals of the investigation. Specifically, during this investigation, law enforcement personnel have seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 30 kilograms of cocaine, and over \$100,000 U.S. currency.
	09-31	2,198	85	20	80	Other narcotic traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-32	976	272	25	75	Court authorized interceptions have assisted this ongoing investigation, furthering the goals of the investigation. Specifically, during this investigation, law enforcement personnel have seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 30 kilograms of cocaine, and over \$100,000 U.S. currency.
	09-33	4,891	2,117	10	90	Ongoing investigation. Court cases pending.
	09-34	673	303	30	70	Interceptions allow for the investigators to build a strong case against the suspected targets and their co-conspirators. Interceptions allow for investigators to identify those involved and the role they play in the organization. This enables investigators to further investigate the targets using interceptions and/or other investigative techniques and eventually dismantle the drug trafficking organization.
	09-35	2,052	579	2	98	N/A
	09-36			50	50	
	09-37	3,689	116	10	90	Other narcotic traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-38	2,130	610	0	0	Court authorized interceptions have assisted this ongoing investigation, furthering the goals of the investigation. Specifically, during this investigation, law enforcement personnel have seized approximately 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 30 kilograms of cocaine, and over \$100,000 U.S. currency.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Orange (cont'd)	09-39	3,054	1,037	50	50	Arrested 4 people and seized 8 pounds of methamphetamine, meth lab and 11 ounces of heroin.
	09-40	578	27	40	60	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of cocaine and methamphetamine from Mexico into the Orange County area for distribution.
	09-41	1,528	665	25	75	Interceptions allow for the investigators to build a strong case against the suspected targets and their co-conspirators. Interceptions allow for investigators to identify those involved and the role they play in the organization. This enables investigators to further investigate the targets using interceptions and/or other investigative techniques and eventually dismantle the drug trafficking organization.
	09-42	2,185	315	10	90	The case is still pending.
	09-44	5,465	1,377	25	75	N/A
	09-46	688	164	50	50	Active investigation. Agents were able to identify several narcotics traffickers in the Los Angeles area. Agents will arrest all members at the conclusion of this investigation.
	09-47	869	285	30	70	
	09-48	1,522	123	50	50	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of cocaine and methamphetamine from Mexico into the Orange County area for distribution. Approximately 3 pounds of cocaine, 9 1/2 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$561,480 have been seized based on information intercepted from wiretap 09-48.
	09-49			0	0	*ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of cocaine and methamphetamine from Mexico into the Orange County area for distribution. Target telephone was not intercepted during this period due to the mobile device being off or unavailable. It appears based on surveillance and other investigative findings that the user of the target telephone was in Mexico during this time frame.
	09-50	536	152	15	85	
	09-52	1,921	558	40	60	N/A
	09-53	1,867	195	30	70	This wiretap identified two narcotics couriers used by the DTO, one vehicle and \$14,000 in narcotics proceeds seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Orange (cont'd)	09-54	5,637	1,082	10	90	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of cocaine and methamphetamine from Mexico into the Orange County area for distribution.
	09-55	352	113	50	50	The prosecution of all defendants in this case is still pending; however, the interceptions of the target telephones used throughout this investigation will prove to be crucial in the successful prosecution of the defendants. It was via the interceptions of various target telephones which directly led to drug seizures, the primary evidence in this case.
	09-56	740	203	20	80	No arrests have been made to date.
	09-57	810	212	50	50	Seizure of \$90,000 in narcotics proceeds and one arrest. Pending investigation.
	09-58	9,231	304	5	95	ICE is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking of a drug trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of cocaine and methamphetamine from Mexico into the Orange County area for distribution. Approximately 1 kilogram of cocaine, 30 pounds of marijuana, and \$522,022 have been seized based on information intercepted from wiretap 09-58.
	09-60	1,999	76	30	70	Other narcotic traffickers were identified. The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Case is continuing.
	09-61	52	32	0	0	
	09-62	470	119	40	60	
	09-63			50	50	
	09-64	157	55	70	30	Arrested 3 suspects and seized 17 pounds of methamphetamine, 17 pounds of cocaine and one handgun.
	09-65	2,361	197	20	80	
	09-66	135	78	0	0	Court authorized interceptions have assisted this ongoing investigation, furthering the goals of the investigation. Specifically, during this investigation, law enforcement personnel have seized approximately 22 pounds of methamphetamine and \$44,000 U.S. currency, and arrested two subjects.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Riverside	08-60	4,413	959	22	78	The targeted organization is responsible for the importation and transportation of multi-pound quantities of methamphetamine into the United States from Mexico, then distributing the narcotics through Hemet, California and Las Vegas, Nevada. During this affidavit, we discovered an organization structured identical to the parent organization. This Mexican-based organization used a runner to distribute the methamphetamine to customers throughout Hemet, California. During the later portion of this affidavit, investigators served a search warrant at the home of the distributor and his runner. Investigators arrested the distributor, his wife and his runner for possession of over a pound of methamphetamine, half an ounce of cocaine, a handgun, a methamphetamine conversion lab, narcotic proceeds and two children endangered by defendant's narcotic-related activities.
	08-61	2,082	612	29	71	The targeted organization is responsible for the importation and transportation of multi-pound quantities of methamphetamine into the United States from Mexico, then distributing the narcotics through Hemet, California and Las Vegas, Nevada. During this affidavit, we discovered the targeted organization worked closely with a methamphetamine dealer, brokering deals between Hemet-based organizations, including the two identified DTOs and DTOs based in Mexico. At the time of the runner's arrest, the methamphetamine dealer called the target organization and alerted them to the law enforcement action taken against his runner.
	08-62	1,863	252	90	10	
	08-63	2,369	165	7	93	Approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine and one stolen handgun seized; four suspects arrested.
	09-01	2,306	538	23.3	76.7	User of target telephone was a methamphetamine distributor in Riverside. Federal/state indictments pending.
	09-02	161	154			
	09-03					Riverside County intercept order 09-03 (including the 2 extensions) was critical in providing information that led to the seizure of approximately 22 kilograms of cocaine, 10 lbs. of methamphetamine, 6 vehicles (3 trap cars), and approximately \$30,000 in U.S. currency.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Riverside (cont'd)	09-05	1,038	31	3	97	The target organization is responsible for the importation and transportation of multi-pound quantities of methamphetamine into the United States from Mexico, then distributing the narcotics through Hemet, California and Las Vegas, Nevada. During this affidavit, we discovered the target organization's partner who continued to work with a methamphetamine dealer, brokering deals between Hemet-based organizations and DTOs based in Mexico. Investigators arrested the methamphetamine dealer and his runner for possession of methamphetamine, cocaine and a handgun. The methamphetamine dealer was released shortly after his arrest and was intercepted negotiating a narcotic transaction with a partner of the target organization.
	09-06	203	18	10	90	Narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-07	6,053	50			
	09-08	1,209	243	20	80	Approximately 138.0 gross grams of methamphetamine seized from primary suspect/user of target telephone. Federal/state indictments are pending.
	09-10	2,100	254	18	82	Interception of this phone has led to the identification of California- and Mexico-based DTOs, in addition to narcotics customers in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, and Riverside counties as well as Kansas City, Missouri. This investigation is ongoing and no arrests have been made to date.
	09-11	0	0	0	0	A DTO is involved in the distribution of methamphetamine within the Inland Empire throughout Southern California. During this listening period we encountered a legal technicality as well as technical issues that caused us to end the listening period early. A new affidavit was sought and was used for the investigation. This affidavit was null and was not used for the investigation.
	09-13	2,314	594	25.6	74.4	
	09-14	914	50			
	09-16	4,263	291	6.8	93.2	Intercepts helped establish suspects' identities and locations associated to those involved.
	09-17			0	0	*
	09-18	2,166	124	17.4	82.6	Based on calls a search warrant was written and 6 grams of methamphetamine seized, and two suspects were arrested. This wire spun into another wire which led to a large seizure (6 pounds methamphetamine; 6 pounds cocaine; \$100,000 already stated under 09- 37).

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Riverside (cont'd)	09-19	928	197	7	93	During this listening period Riverside Sheriff's personnel were able to identify the residences of several subjects involved in the transportation and distribution of methamphetamine within Riverside County. We were also able to identify vehicles used to transport narcotics. Riverside Sheriff's personnel were also able to learn of new sources of supply of drugs. Affidavits were sought and the investigation continued.
	09-20	104	63	61	39	
	09-21	1,071	326	27	73	During this intercept (wiretap) order, based on intercepted calls, investigators were able to seize 91 pounds of methamphetamine, 14 kilograms of cocaine and \$580,965 U.S. currency. Criminal charges are pending based on this ongoing investigation.
	09-22			0	0	*Interception was never installed or initiated. No impact.
	09-23	25	0	0	100	
	09-24	2,920	291	11	89	
	09-25	334	25			
	09-26	489	22	0.4	99.6	
	09-28	138	75	54	46	
	09-29	1,698	384	72	28	
	09-30	1,376	468	34	66	First intercept on case allowed investigators to gather valuable intelligence, including the identification of suspects and stash locations.
	09-31	394	66	17	83	Second intercept on this case allowed investigators to gather valuable intelligence, including the identification of suspects and stash locations.
	09-32	229	87	38	62	
	09-33	420	226	25	75	The importance of this wiretap is to dismantle the DTO and attempt to identify and arrest the participating subjects associated with this organization.
	09-34	3,559	537	15	85	No arrests have been made yet with regard to this particular wiretap; however, significant intelligence and a sizeable seizure was made based on information obtained specific to this wiretap. Additional narcotics traffickers have been identified and located, and are currently being investigated. This investigation is ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Riverside (cont'd)	09-35	120	8			
	09-37	916	106	8.6	91.4	The seizure of 6 pounds of methamphetamine, 6 pounds of cocaine, and \$100,000 U.S. currency was based off the intercepted calls.
	09-38			0	0	*Riverside County intercept order 09-38 was issued; however, the target suspect abandoned the target telephone prior to the intercept being installed.
	09-39	286	63			
	09-40	1,487	177	12	88	
	09-41	266	30			The target subject of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were not able to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-42	0	0	0	0	*This telephone line was no longer active after it was approved by a judge.
	09-43	0	0	0	0	
	09-44	1,132	167	14.75	85.25	
	09-45	1,913	268	14	86	Interception led to seizure of \$4,680.
	09-46	860	208	68	32	
	09-47	1,271	211	17	82	
	09-48	2,034	195	9.5	90	
	09-49	1,536	318	21	79	Interceptions resulted in seizure of approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	09-50	1,644	301	18	82	Interception of member of DTO led to seizures of approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine and \$97,000 U.S. currency.
	09-51	323	33	10	90	No criminal charges directly resulted from the interceptions obtained pursuant to this intercept (wiretap) order. However, the intercepted communications were material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation.
	09-52	387	138			Importance of this wiretap is to dismantle the DTO and attempt to identify and arrest the participating subjects associated with this organization.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Riverside (cont'd)	09-53					Riverside County intercept order 09-53 (including the 2 extensions) was critical in providing information that led to the seizure of approximately 50 lbs. of methamphetamine, \$220,000 in U.S. currency, and 2 vehicles (1 trap car).
	09-54	2,069	158	7	93	
	09-55	70	0			
	09-56	1,162	327	29	71	Led to seizure of small amount of methamphetamine and \$21,655 in drug proceeds hidden in a hidden compartment in a vehicle.
	09-57	2,182	480	22	78	Interception of members of DTO led to seizures of approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine and \$97,000 U.S. currency.
	09-58	748	174	23	77	The targets of this investigation were importing approximately 50 kilograms of cocaine every week into California from Mexico. Wiretap was important, but GPS locating was just as important.
	09-59	3,463	447			Riverside County intercept order 09-59 authorized interceptions of IMSI [#s] (TT#7). The user was identified only as member of a DTO operating out of Mexico. This interception assisted DEA members in the seizure of approximately \$98,000 in U.S. currency that was derived from the trafficking of illegal drugs.
	09-60	38	14			The importance of this wiretap was to dismantle the DTO and attempt to identify and arrest the participating subjects associated with this organization.
	09-61	188	53	28	72	
	09-62	387	143	37	63	Approximately 50 lbs. of marijuana; approximately 2,960 pseudo ephedrine pills; \$9,200 in U.S. currency.
	09-63	282	109			
	09-64	3,359	482	14	35	During the intercept approximately 30 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	09-65					**Court authorized interceptions have assisted this investigation in seizures in excess of \$400,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	09-66	1,283	284	22	78	Interceptions, as well as future interceptions of DTO members, resulted in seizures of approximately 50 pounds of methamphetamine, liquid methamphetamine, methamphetamine manufacturing equipment, and one stolen handgun (wiretap 09-70).
	09-68			0	0	*Never installed or initiated. No important information gathered.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Sacramento	08-03	878	169	19	81	Without the intercepts, the seizure of approximately 11 lbs. of methamphetamine and a kilogram of heroin would not have occurred. These narcotics were taken off the streets. The intercept also enabled law enforcement to dismantle an organization reaching from Butte County in Northern California to the Mexican border.
	09-01/02	167	0	0	100	The person suspected of this murder was already in custody for another offense at the time of this wiretap. The purpose of this wiretap was to determine if the suspect's family members, who were the targets of this wiretap, had direct knowledge of the murder and if they helped the suspect to commit the murder, move the body, dispose of evidence and/or conceal the crime. Based on the information obtained during the wiretap and subsequent interviews, the investigators and prosecutors were confident the suspect acted alone in this crime and he was arrested for the murder.
	09-03	5,304	1,179	22	78	Without the intercepts, the seizure of approximately 11 lbs. of methamphetamine and a kilogram of heroin would not have occurred. These narcotics were taken off the streets. The intercept also enabled law enforcement to dismantle an organization reaching from Butte County in Northern California to the Mexican border.
	09-04	475	30	6	94	Without the intercepts, the seizure of approximately 11 lbs. of methamphetamine and a kilogram of heroin would not have occurred. These narcotics were taken off the streets. The intercept also enabled law enforcement to dismantle an organization reaching from Butte County in Northern California to the Mexican border.
	09-05	687	151	22	78	Without the intercepts, the seizure of approximately 11 lbs. of methamphetamine and a kilogram of heroin would not have occurred. These narcotics were taken off the streets. The intercept also enabled law enforcement to dismantle an organization reaching from Butte County in Northern California to the Mexican border.
	09-06	1,064	101	9	91	Without the intercepts, the seizure of approximately 11 lbs. of methamphetamine and a kilogram of heroin would not have occurred. These narcotics were taken off the streets. The intercept also enabled law enforcement to dismantle an organization reaching from Butte County in Northern California to the Mexican border.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Sacramento (cont'd)	09-07	10	0	9	91	Without the intercepts, the seizure of approximately 11 lbs. of methamphetamine and a kilogram of heroin would not have occurred. These narcotics were taken off the streets. The intercept also enabled law enforcement to dismantle an organization reaching from Butte County in Northern California to the Mexican border.
	09-08	6,370	466	7	93	The interceptions were extremely important in identifying the main suspects in a homicide and unrelated gang shooting. An immediate impact on the community was evident with the reduction in prostitution, narcotics sales, street robberies and shootings.
San Bernardino	08-84	4,737	569	12	88	Subject was arrested for the offenses; however, subject is currently working as a CS for the US Drug Enforcement Administration.
	08-91	3,157	1,303	41	59	The main target of this case was convicted in the federal court system and received a prison sentence of 66 months. The case revolved around the conversations intercepted, surveillance conducted, suspects contacted, narcotics seized and arrests made. The transcriptions of the call prior to suspect contact played a major role in obtaining the criminal and felony convictions.
	08-93	4,284	1,148	27	73	No criminal charges filed. Federal case ongoing.
	08-101	1,964	367	19	81	
	08-102	1,439	282	20	80	Criminal charges are pending. Intercepted communications resulted in the seizure of 95 pounds of methamphetamine and \$190,990.
	08-103	233	3	1	9	No criminal charges filed.
	08-104	7	0	0	100	No criminal charges were filed. On 12/18/08, a Sprint/Nextel representative indicated the phone was out of minutes. Interception of the phone was continued in anticipation of the user resuming use of the phone. The call activity above is limited to incoming call activity going to voice mail.
	08-105	704	93	13	87	
	08-106	76	20	26	74	The target subject who was using target telephone discarded it within a short period of time. No arrests or seizures were made.
	08-107	1,314	213	16	84	No criminal charges filed.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	08-108	2,839	656	23	77	SWB2 agents and TFOs have seized 58 kilograms of cocaine, five pounds of marijuana, seven firearms, one vehicle with a hidden compartment, approximately \$82,000 in narcotics proceeds, and made five arrests. The investigation has determined that 16 Los Angeles-based members of the DTO are from Mexico.
	08-109	25	21	84	16	No criminal charges were filed. Investigation is ongoing.
	08-111	717	71	10	90	Interception of subsequent phones resulted in the seizure of 20 kilograms of cocaine and the arrest and conviction of one individual. An arrest warrant has been issued out of San Bernardino for an additional suspect.
	09-01	1,634	154	10	90	No criminal charges filed.
	09-02	1,142	241	21	79	Interceptions resulted in the apprehension of narcotics courier and money couriers in California and Hawaii. (Their numbers are for 2009-02 + Ext. 1 & Ext. 2.)
	09-03	722	326	45	55	No criminal charges filed.
	09-04	1,111	278	25	75	Although incriminating calls were intercepted, the target subject was able to elude law enforcement as he delivered narcotics to Oklahoma.
	09-05	2,323	699	30	70	No criminal charges filed.
	09-06	1,332	66	5	95	Based upon intercepted communication, eight pounds of heroin was seized and criminal charged have been filed.
	09-07	5,166	507	10	90	No criminal charges filed.
	09-08	296	139	47	53	Interception of this phone led to the identification of Mexico-based sources of supply in addition to several narcotics customers in San Bernardino and Los Angeles counties. This investigation is continuing.
	09-09	793	65	8	92	Criminal charges filed.
	09-10	1,498	132	9	91	No criminal charges filed.
	09-11	4,687	659	14	86	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 2/09: Seizure of 60 pounds of methamphetamine, \$150, and three arrested. 2/09: Seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine, 4 kilograms of cocaine in El Monte, California.
	09-12	780	149	19	81	No criminal charges. Calls intercepted during this wiretap provided information which led to additional wiretaps and eventual drug and money seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-13	424	16	4	96	No criminal charges filed. However, intercepted communications helped to identify additional narcotics traffickers.
	09-14	135	3	2	98	Criminal charges filed.
	09-15	666	141	21	79	No criminal charges filed. Investigation ongoing.
	09-16					
	09-17	580	179	30	70	Two persons arrested and 10 pounds of methamphetamine seized.
	09-18	205	34	17	83	No criminal charges filed.
	09-19	296	4	1	99	No criminal charges filed.
	09-20	19,017	1,524	8	92	Criminal charges have been filed. 5/09: Seizure of two kilograms of cocaine (traffic stop), three pounds of methamphetamine, one kilogram of cocaine, and \$75,000 (residence).
	09-21	154	79	51	49	Interception of subsequent phones resulted in the seizure of 20 kilograms of cocaine and the arrest and conviction of one individual. An arrest warrant has been issued out of San Bernardino for an additional suspect.
	09-22	1,664	267	16	84	The intercept order led to the arrest and conviction of 15 suspects to date with two suspects outstanding.
	09-23	771	79	10	90	No criminal charges filed.
	09-24	684	46	7	93	No criminal charges filed.
	09-25	602	170	28	72	No criminal charges filed.
	09-26	702	0	0	100	No criminal charges filed.
	09-27	56	10	18	82	No criminal charges filed.
	09-28	26	0	0	100	Interceptions resulted in the apprehension of narcotics courier and money couriers in California and Hawaii. (These numbers are for 2008-28.)
	09-29	19,354	2,508	13	87	No criminal charges filed.
	09-30	775	264	34	66	No criminal charges filed. No narcotics evidence was seized. Other narcotics associates were identified.
	09-31	0	0	0	0	No criminal charges filed. Investigation ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-32	118	43	36	64	Investigation is still ongoing. This phone was dropped early in the wire; however, we were able to obtain a new number and wrote wire 2009-42. The wire investigation led to the discovery of his supplier.
	09-33	939	0	0	100	No criminal charges filed.
	09-34	93	21	23	77	No criminal charges filed. Investigation ongoing.
	09-35	384	24	6	94	Interceptions resulted in the apprehension of narcotics couriers and money couriers in California and Hawaii. (These numbers are for 2008-35.)
	09-36	12	0	0	100	Narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is ongoing.
	09-37	1,440	135	9	91	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 4/09: Seizure of 33 pounds of methamphetamine and arrest of two suspects.
	09-38			33	67	
	09-39	396	45	11	89	Narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is ongoing.
	09-40	13,413	1,859	14	86	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 4/09: Seizure of \$149,000 during traffic stop.
	09-41	7,515	4,217	56	44	No criminal charges filed.
	09-42	11,354	675	60	40	Case is ongoing. DEA is picking up case and following into Asian organized crime in Southern California.
	09-43	7,858	1,345	17	83	As a result of the intercept orders, information was gained that led to the arrest of four people. Thus far, one person has been convicted of sales of a controlled substance (H&S § 11378), one person remains in custody awaiting trial, and two fled to Mexico.
	09-44	630	201	32	68	
	09-45	6,944	627	9	91	
	09-46	1,510	32	2	98	No criminal charges filed.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-47	10,943	2,729	25	75	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 6/09: Seizure from stash house: 120 pounds of methamphetamine, six assault rifles (four AK-47), two 50-caliber semi-auto pistols, one 45-caliber handgun, and two arrested at stash house. 6/09: Seizure of 11 packages of methamphetamine during traffic stop in Riverside County. 7/09: Seizure of 32 pounds of methamphetamine during accident investigation in San Bernardino County and arrest of suspect.
	09-48	2,869	347	12	88	No criminal charges filed.
	09-49			0	100	
	09-50	207	41	20	80	No criminal charges filed.
	09-51	6,829	986	14	86	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 6/09: Seizure of 488 pounds of marijuana.
	09-52	3,197	114	4	96	No arrests have been made to date, but arrest warrants have been issued.
	09-53					*Never installed.
	09-54	2,456	23	1	99	Criminal charges have not yet been filed, but arrest warrants have been issued.
	09-55	1,171	0	0	100	Criminal charges have not yet been filed, but arrest warrants have been issued.
	09-56	2,827	44	2	98	Criminal charges have not yet been filed, but arrest warrants have been issued.
	09-57	1,922	5	1	99	Criminal charges have not yet been filed, but arrest warrants have been issued.
	09-58	32	9	28	72	Criminal charges have not yet been filed, but arrest warrants have been issued.
	09-59	217	52	24	76	The target of this wiretap spoke a lot about narcotics trafficking, but investigators were unable to intercept any narcotics and/or narcotics proceeds from the target subject. Other narcotics traffickers were identified. Case is continuing.
	09-60	465	30	6	94	No criminal charges filed.
	09-61	4,427	209	5	95	No criminal charges filed.
	09-62	5,408	611	11	89	No criminal charges filed.
	09-63	1,611	106	7	93	No criminal charges filed.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-64	36	0	0	100	No criminal charges filed.
	09-65	873	76	9	91	Intercepted communications obtained during this wiretap led to the seizure of 1 kilo of cocaine, 1.5 pounds of methamphetamine and \$4,761 cash.
	09-66	28	6	21	79	Criminal charges have not been filed. Investigation is ongoing.
	09-67	176	29	16	84	No criminal charges filed. Although no one has been arrested, intercepted conversations will be used to identify other narcotics associates of the user of the target telephone.
	09-68	0	0	0	100	No criminal charges filed.
	09-69					*Never installed. No criminal charges filed.
	09-70	87	9	7	93	No criminal charges filed.
	09-71	3,401	360	11	89	No criminal charges filed.
	09-72	1,175	232	20	80	No criminal charges filed.
	09-73	2,429	613			No criminal charges filed.
	09-74	1,939	213	11	89	No criminal charges filed.
	09-75					*Never installed. No criminal charges filed.
	09-76	156	82	53	47	No criminal charges filed.
	09-77					*Never installed. No criminal charges filed.
	09-78	2,892	542	19	81	No criminal charges filed.
	09-79	440	50	11	89	As a result of this wiretap, \$6,520 in drug proceeds was seized. It also led to a Nevada state wiretap which resulted in the seizure of a kilo of cocaine and 726 grams of cocaine, as well as four arrests.
	09-80	7,377	1,568			Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 7/09: Seizure of three pounds of methamphetamine during traffic stop.
	09-81	76	0	0	100	No criminal charges filed.
	09-82	1,201	123	10	90	No criminal charges filed.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-83	779	204	26	72	As a result of the intercept orders, information was gained that led to the arrest of four people. Thus far, one person has been convicted of sales of a controlled substance, one person remains in custody awaiting trial, and two fled to Mexico.
	09-84	1,747	374	21	79	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 7/09: Seizure of 22 kilograms of cocaine and \$400,000 at residence. Three suspects arrested.
	09-85	2,338	145	6	94	
	09-86	7,427	842	11	89	\$2,000 in drug proceeds was seized as well as eight ounces of methamphetamine.
	09-87	1,992	403	20	80	No criminal charges filed.
	09-88	967	88	9	91	Charges were filed on 11 subjects, one of which has pleaded guilty, and 10 of which are awaiting trial.
	09-89	786	195	25	75	Criminal case pending.
	09-90	3,558	612	17	83	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 9/09: Seizure of eight kilos of cocaine and two pounds of methamphetamine.
	09-91	120	20	17	83	
	09-92	859	100	12	88	On 9/6/09, intercepted phone calls led to the seizure of four kilograms of cocaine-HCL and \$165,090 in narcotics proceeds, and the arrest of one suspect. Additional charges are pending.
	09-93	623	32	5	95	No criminal charges filed. Investigation continuing.
	09-94	0	0	0	100	
	09-95	9,145	1,640			Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 10/09: Seizure of 15 pounds of methamphetamine and 6 kilograms of cocaine at US border. Suspect was arrested.
	09-96	922	235	26	74	Charges were filed on 11 subjects, one of which has pleaded guilty, and 10 of which are awaiting trial.
	09-97	1,370	382	28	72	Charges were filed on 11 subjects, one of which has pleaded guilty, and 10 of which are awaiting trial.
	09-98	0	0	0	0	No criminal charges.
	09-100	3,360	36	1	99	No criminal charges.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-101	731	27	4	96	Intercepted calls established the conspiracy to commit murder by the suspects who were subsequently arrested. It also established their membership and association to a criminal street gang that has thus far supported gang allegations.
	09-102	1,362	915			Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 10/09: Seizure of 15 pounds of methamphetamine and 6 kilograms of cocaine at Mexican border. One arrested.
	09-103	862	16	2	98	Both target suspects have been charged with violating Penal Code §§ 187(a) and 211.
	09-104	1,125	371	33	67	Criminal charges have not been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 10/09: Seizure of 12 kilograms of cocaine and arrest of three suspects. Seizure of \$22,328.
	09-105					*Never installed. No criminal charges filed.
	09-107	761	29	4	96	Intercepted conversations established the target suspects as conspirators in the distribution of methamphetamine.
	09-108					*Never installed. Agents did not initiate interception of target telephone due to inactivity.
	09-109	400	22	6	94	Three suspects arrested. Investigation is ongoing.
	09-110	924	105	11	89	Intercepted calls established the target subjects as conspirators in the distribution of methamphetamine.
	09-111	1,807	245	14	86	Criminal charges have not yet been filed; however, it is anticipated that criminal charges will be filed. 11/09: Seizure of \$670,000.
	09-112	49	0	0	100	No criminal charges filed.
	09-113	1,442	69	5	95	No criminal charges filed.
	09-114	5,166	507	10	90	No criminal charges filed.
	09-116	2,704	238	4	96	No criminal charges filed.
	09-123	1,286	2	0	100	Four suspects arrested and charged with violating Penal Code § 187.
	09-124	12,424	147	1	99	
	09-125	3,330	23	1	99	Target subject was arrested and charged with violating Penal Code § 187.
	09-126	1	0	0	100	The target telephone was found to belong to a phone not being used to facilitate the target's drug trafficking organization. No criminal charges resulted from this intercept order.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-130	8,016	20	1	99	Criminal charges were filed against the target suspect for violations of Penal Code §§ 187(a), 211, and 12021(a).
	09-131	4,797	35	1	99	Criminal charges were filed against the target suspect for violations of Penal Code §§ 187(a), 211, and 12021(a).
San Diego	08-68	13,594	2,321	17	18	The interceptions have provided key information on stash house locations and members of the organization that contribute to the daily operations of the Drug Trafficking Organization being investigated. This investigation includes wire order numbers 08-86, 08-75, 08-82, 08-86 and 09-02.
	08-75	11,852	2,817	24	76	The interceptions have provided key information on stash house locations and members of the organization that contribute to the daily operations of the Drug Trafficking Organization being investigated. This investigation includes wire order numbers 08-68, 08-75, 08-82, 08-86 and 09-02.
	08-82	15,888	2,366	15	85	The interceptions have provided key information on stash house locations and members of the organization that contribute to the daily operations of the Drug Trafficking Organization being investigated. This investigation includes wire order numbers 08-68, 08-75, 08-82, 08-86 and 09-02.
	08-86	2,028	126	6	94	The interceptions have provided key information on stash house locations and members of the organization that contribute to the daily operations of the Drug Trafficking Organization being investigated. This investigation includes wire order numbers 08-68, 08-75, 08-82, 08-86 and 09-02.
	09-01	1,242	151	12	88	The use of this wiretap was invaluable in identifying co-conspirators and indentifying stash houses used by this Drug Trafficking Organization.
	09-02	177	38	43	57	The interceptions have provided key information on stash house locations and members of the organization that contribute to the daily operations of the Drug Trafficking Organization being investigated. This investigation includes wire order numbers 08-68, 08-75, 08-82, 08-86 and 09-02. In addition to the 13 arrests there are 8 fugitives related to this case with outstanding arrest warrants.
	09-03	5,432	568	10	90	The interception, including wiretap orders 09-03, 09-05 and 09-09, led to the identification of a large scale narcotics and money laundering organization in the San Diego County area. Additionally, the lines led to the seizure of \$320,570 and the arrests of 2 individuals. There are also 2 fugitives related to this case.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Diego (cont'd)	09-04	7,315	2,628	35	65	These wiretaps were instrumental in obtaining information to prosecute all individuals. Had this wiretap not been initiated, it is probable that agents would have arrested only 3-4 of the targets. This would have allowed this organization to continue distributing methamphetamine.
	09-05	167	5	2	98	The interception, including wiretap orders 09-03, 09-05 and 09-09, led to the identification of a large scale narcotics and money laundering organization in the San Diego County area. Additionally, the lines led to the seizure of \$320,570 and the arrests of 2 individuals. There are also 2 fugitives related to this case.
	09-06	22,060	7,285	32	68	The wiretaps involved in this investigation, including 09-06, 09-11 and 09-17, were instrumental in identifying, arresting and dismantling multiple members of this large scale Drug Trade Organization. It was included in a National SOD Project.
	09-07	5,310	660	12	88	The collective number of persons arrested and convicted will be in the 09-16 Report of Application. This investigation includes wiretap orders 09-07, 09-08 and 09-16.
	09-08	587	75	12	88	The collective number of persons arrested and convicted will be in the 09-16 Report of Application. This investigation includes wiretap orders 09-07, 09-08 and 09-16.
	09-09	269	39	13	87	The interception, including wiretap orders 09-03, 09-05 and 09-09, led to the identification of a large scale narcotics and money laundering organization in the San Diego County area. Additionally, the lines led to the seizure of \$320,570 and the arrests of 2 individuals. There are also 2 fugitives related to this case.
	09-10	3,682	442	12	88	The wiretap interceptions, included in wiretap orders 09-10, 09-13 and 09-14, were not utilized for prosecution during this case.
	09-11	11,319	4,239	29	71	The wiretaps involved in this investigation, including 09-06, 09-11 and 09-17, were instrumental in identifying, arresting and dismantling multiple members of this large scale Drug Trade Organization. It was included in a National SOD Project.
	09-12	1,022	179	17	83	The completed wiretap investigation was crucial in infiltrating the family members and associates of a large methamphetamine trafficking organization, due to its sophisticated trafficking and distribution techniques.
	09-13	88	2	2	98	The wiretap interceptions, included in wiretap orders 09-10, 09-13 and 09-14, were not utilized for prosecution during this case.
	09-14	2,036	245	12	88	The wiretap interceptions, included in wiretap orders 09-10, 09-13 and 09-14, were not utilized for prosecution during this case.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Diego (cont'd)	09-15	5,914	1,138	19	81	This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports. Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.
	09-16	2,901	1,014	34	66	The wiretap interceptions, included in wiretap orders 09-07, 09-08 and 09-16, were necessary in order to fully penetrate and dismantle this Drug Trade Organization. The number of arrests and seizures would have been impossible to achieve without the ability to intercept the DTO's communications.
	09-17	10,945	3,025	27	73	The wiretaps involved in this investigation, including 09-06, 09-11 and 09-17, were instrumental in identifying, arresting and dismantling multiple members of this large scale Drug Trade Organization. It was included in a National SOD Project. In addition to the 9 arrests made, 3 individuals targeted in this wire investigation were indicted federally in the Southern District of New York.
	09-18	5,107	695	45	55	The completed wiretap investigation was crucial in infiltrating the family members and associates of a large methamphetamine trafficking organization due to its sophisticated trafficking and distribution techniques.
	09-19	630	140	19	81	This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports. Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Diego (cont'd)	09-20	18,164	4,057	29	71	<p>This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports.</p> <p>Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.</p>
	09-21	1,680	55	10	90	<p>This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports.</p> <p>Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.</p>
	09-22	2,188	362	16	84	<p>This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports.</p> <p>Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.</p>
	09-23	8,994	1,528	16	84	<p>The completed wiretap investigation was crucial in infiltrating the family members and associates of a large methamphetamine trafficking organization due to its sophisticated trafficking and distribution techniques.</p>

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept	
			#	%			
San Diego (cont'd)	09-24	9,551	1,967	25	75	This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports. Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.	
	09-25	2,511	596	23	77	The completed wiretap investigation was crucial in infiltrating the family members and associates of a large methamphetamine trafficking organization due to its sophisticated trafficking and distribution techniques.	
	09-26	219	88	49	51	The completed wiretap investigation was crucial in infiltrating the family members and associates of a large methamphetamine trafficking organization due to its sophisticated trafficking and distribution techniques. Investigation included wire numbers 09-12, 09-18, 09-23, 09-25 and 09-26.	
	09-27	5,945	1,016	17	83	This investigation into a large Drug Trade Organization is ongoing and wiretap orders are currently active. The investigation has included completed wiretap order numbers 09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, and 09-27 whose Report of Applications and Order are included in the 2009 reports. Wiretaps 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 are currently active. Report of Application and order for 09-29, 09-30 and 09-31 will be included in next year's reports, along with the total number of persons arrested/convicted as a result of this investigation.	
	09-28	1,289	242	18	82	The target of this investigation was very careful about concealing his illegal activity. The interceptions allowed agents to monitor his activities and discover his sources of supply.	
	09-29				13	87	
	09-30				18	82	
	09-31				N/A	N/A	
San Joaquin	09-01	731	79	11	89	Criminal investigation of the kidnapping and murder of an eight-year-old girl from her home in Tracy, San Joaquin County, California.	
	09-02	10,884	556	5	95		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
San Joaquin (cont'd)	09-02-1	10,884	556	5	95	
	09-02-2	10,884	556	5	95	
	09-02-3	10,884	556	5	95	
San Luis Obispo	09-001	8,586	2,684	31	69	Without interception, all participants in this narcotics trafficking conspiracy would not have been identified to law enforcement and would have continued trafficking.
	09-001a	7,596	329	4	96	Without interceptions, all participants in this narcotics trafficking conspiracy would not have been identified to law enforcement and would have continued trafficking.
	09-002	419	35	8	92	Without interceptions, all participants in this narcotics trafficking conspiracy would not have been identified to law enforcement and would have continued trafficking.
San Mateo	08-01	5,539	3,404	45	55	Intercepts on CR-08-01 and 03 identified a major heroin distribution organization in San Mateo and San Francisco counties. Intercepts led to the identification of a major supplier and the federal indictment of 21 heroin dealers in the U.S. and Mexico on 197 kilos of heroin.
	08-03	7,772	4,206	55	45	Intercepts on CR-08-01 and 03 identified a major heroin distribution organization in San Mateo and San Francisco counties. Intercepts led to the identification of a major supplier and the federal indictment of 21 heroin dealers in the U.S. and Mexico on 197 kilos of heroin.
Santa Barbara	09-003	11,865	2,074	17.47	82	Interceptions allowed law enforcement to break up a major narcotics operation selling large amounts of heroin, methamphetamine and cocaine that was using a minor to sell. All of the primaries were Mexican Nationals and have or will be deported.
	SM09-01	4,472	4,109	42	58	Approximately 4 lbs. of methamphetamine and 3 1/3 lbs. of cocaine seized, as well as a handgun and approximately \$25,000 cash.
	SM09-02	244	6	41	59	The intercepts coupled with other aspects of the investigation were responsible for significantly disrupting, if not dismantling, a drug trafficking organization suspected of bringing about and covering up the murder of one of its members.
	SM09-02(A)					*Application was approved, but take down of targets occurred before the wiretap was fully installed.
	SM09-003	970	120	12	78	Because of the wiretap, we were able to arrest and charge the third defendant of three in the gang-related murder of a 14-year-old boy.
Santa Clara	09-01	2,088	515	25	75	The government has seized approximately 192 kilos of cocaine, approximately 2 kilos of methamphetamine, 72.3 gross grams of cocaine base, 3 firearms, and over 1 million dollars (U.S. currency) in narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Santa Clara (cont'd)	09-02	425	38	8	92	The government has seized approximately 192 kilos of cocaine, approximately 2 kilos of methamphetamine, 72.3 gross grams of cocaine base, 3 firearms, and over 1 million dollars (U.S. currency) in narcotics proceeds.
	09-03	7,636	1,091	14	86	Through the use of this interception, 6 suspects involved in a robbery/homicide were apprehended.
	09-04	0	0	0	0	
	09-05	928	252	27	73	The interceptions described the trafficking of multi-kilo quantities of cocaine and methamphetamine to specific locations by the target subject and other co-conspirators.
	09-06	3,714	255	6	94	The interceptions described the trafficking of multi-kilo quantities of cocaine and methamphetamine to specific locations by the target subject and other co-conspirators.
	09-07	4,922	40	1	99	The interceptions described the trafficking of multi-kilo quantities of cocaine and methamphetamine to specific locations by the target subject and other co-conspirators.
Shasta	09-023	2,071	257	12.4	45.1	The interception was important because it gave law enforcement crucial information of the criminal organization involved in moving large amounts of illegal narcotics. The interception in this case did not result in any prosecutions, and no seizures of money or drugs were obtained. However, the overall purpose of the wiretap was to gain additional information on a very large narcotics organization that has gotten very good at preventing law enforcement from stopping their activities. Therefore, the impact on crime in our community cannot be measured at this point, because the agency has taken what it learned and is continuing to try different ways to bring this organization to justice.
Stanislaus	09-01	4,474	482	10.8	30.6	Interception was used to investigate gang murders and gang drug sales. Several guns and drugs were seized. Neighborhood has experienced less violence since the intercept.
	09-02	1,375	280	20.4	27.4	Interception was used to investigate gang murders and gang drug sales. Several guns and drugs were seized. Neighborhood has experienced less violence since the intercept.
	09-03	516	70	13.6	26.9	Interception was used to investigate gang murders and gang drug sales. Several guns and drugs were seized. Neighborhood has experienced less violence since the intercept.
	09-04	4,394	163	3.7	28.7	Intercepts used to aid in the criminal investigation of a drug trafficking organization.
	09-05	1,961	572	16.5	24.6	Intercepts used to aid in the criminal investigation of a drug trafficking organization.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Sutter	09-01	616	29	5	95	Importance of communications intercepted were that intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding the ongoing homicide investigation. This will allow investigators to further this case by interviewing additional potential witnesses that were identified as a result of this wiretap.
	09-02	437	10	2	98	Importance of communications intercepted were that intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding the ongoing homicide investigation. This will allow investigators to further this case by interviewing additional potential witnesses that were identified as a result of this wiretap.
	09-03	8	0	0	100	Importance of communications intercepted were that intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding the ongoing homicide investigation. This will allow investigators to further this case by interviewing additional potential witnesses that were identified as a result of this wiretap.
	09-04	646	28	4	96	Importance of communications intercepted were that intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding the ongoing homicide investigation. This will allow investigators to further this case by interviewing additional potential witnesses that were identified as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Tulare	09-01	2,691	798	30	70	<p>The primary suspect in this case was supplying street-level heroin and methamphetamine dealers in the City of Tulare. The primary suspect was being supplied large amounts of heroin and methamphetamine by member(s) of a Drug Trafficking Organization (DTO). The Tulare Police Department was aware of this DTO for several years but had been unable to prosecute the primary suspect or his conspirators.</p> <p>Based upon the investigation, it is clear that the target telephones were being utilized to facilitate the trafficking of methamphetamine and heroin in violation of H&S §§ 11378(A) (possession of methamphetamine for sale); 11379(a) (transportation and sale of methamphetamine); 11351(A) (possession of heroin for sales), and 11352(A) (transportation and sales of heroin).</p> <p>It was determined through the investigation that conventional investigative techniques would not be effective and that the interception of the electronic cellular communications of the target telephones was the only available technique that had a reasonable likelihood of achieving the goals of the investigation. Agents exhausted several investigative techniques including surveillance, trash and garbage runs, and the use of confidential informants and were unable to achieve the goals of the investigation.</p> <p>The intercepted telephone conversations of the suspects provided proof of the suspects' conspiracy to traffic heroin and methamphetamine.</p>
Ventura	09-01			0	100	<p>The interceptions led to the successful recruitment of a gang associate to wear a body wire. After the interceptions were terminated, the defendant's admission to committing the shooting was successfully recorded through the use of a body wire.</p>
	09-01b			0	100	<p>The interceptions led to the successful recruitment of a gang associate to wear a body wire. After the interceptions were terminated, the defendant's admission to committing the shooting was successfully recorded through the use of a body wire.</p>
	09-01c			0	100	<p>The interceptions led to the successful recruitment of a gang associate to wear a body wire. After the interceptions were terminated, the defendant's admission to committing the shooting was successfully recorded through the use of a body wire.</p>
	09-02	92	2	2	98	<p>Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.</p>

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Ventura (cont'd)	09-03	434	132	33	77	This court order 09-03 was the first of a 4-month wiretap investigation into this methamphetamine and cocaine trafficking organization. During this court order, one individual was arrested and a small amount of meth and cocaine was seized.
	09-04	0	0	0	0	[Installed but not used.]
	09-05	1,702	888	52	48	Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.
	09-06	838	310	33	67	This court order 09-06 was the second of a 4-month wiretap investigation into this methamphetamine and cocaine trafficking organization. During this court order, four individuals were arrested and four pounds of meth and one pound of cocaine was seized.
	09-07	685	377	55	45	
	09-08	2,359	1,132	48	52	Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.
	09-09	223	91	40	60	
	09-10	997	410	33	67	This court order 09-10 was the third of a 4-month wiretap investigation into this methamphetamine and cocaine trafficking organization. During this court order, 2 individuals were arrested and one pound of cocaine was seized.
	09-11	872	406	22	78	Arresting these four individuals in Oxnard with 12 pounds of heroin made the streets of Oxnard safer and may have saved someone's life
	09-12	3,655	16	>1	99	No one has yet been arrested or prosecuted.
	09-13	1,270	385	33	67	This court order 09-13 was the final month of a 4-month wiretap investigation into this methamphetamine and cocaine trafficking organization. During this court order, 4 individuals were arrested and 8 pounds of methamphetamine and \$56,000 in U.S. currency was seized.
	09-14	35	4	40	60	
	09-15	2,020	789	39	61	Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.
	09-16	440	134	30	70	The arrest of this individual has a large community impact since heroin was being shipped from Mexico to Los Angeles and further north to Oregon.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency of Incriminating Communications Intercepted		Nature & Frequency of Other Communications Intercepted (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
			#	%		
Ventura (cont'd)	09-17	2,057	620	30	70	Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.
	09-18	2,510		27.9	62.1	N/A
	09-19	679	195	29	71	Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.
	09-20	173	31	17	83	Intercepting this phone led to the arrest of a large-scale heroin trafficker.
	09-21	449	92	20	80	Intercepting this phone led to the arrest of a large-scale heroin trafficker.
	09-22	524	72	14	86	Intercepts were necessary to coordinate surveillance activities as well as to identify unknown co-conspirators that were assisting in trafficking narcotics into and out of Ventura County.
	09-23	1,652	510	33	67	This wiretap is ongoing. Thus far, 16 subjects have been identified for future arrests. This wiretap has led to two seizures (10 pounds of tar heroin and 1 kilogram of tar heroin and \$9,000).
	09-24			35	65	
	09-25	3,992	1,211	33	77	This wiretap is ongoing. Thus far, 8 subjects have been identified for future arrests. This wiretap had led to a 15-pound methamphetamine seizure.

*Intercept order not executed; wiretap not installed

**Installed but not used

***Wiretap was federally funded

N/A-Not applicable

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	09-01	Orloff, DA	Hon. Rolefson	p - 11/19/09	n/a	n/a
	09-02	O'Malley, DDA	Hon. Rolefson	9/29/09		
Butte	BSW09-140	Zarate DDA	Hon. Reilley	p - 8/24/09; 11/22/09	11/12/09	6
	BSW09-179	Zarate, DDA	Hon. Howell	11/12/09	11/12/09	13
	BSW09-206	Ramsey, DA	Hon. Howell	11/12/09	11/12/09	5
Contra Costa	CCCDA 09-01	Kochly, DA	Hon. Laettner	p - 10/27/09		
	CCCDA 09-02	Kochly, DA	Hon. Laettner	p - 10/27/09		
	CCCDA 09-03	Kochly, DA	Hon. Laettner	p - 10/27/09		
	CCCDA 09-04	Kochly, DA	Hon. Laettner			
	CCCDA 09-05	Kochly, DA	Hon. Laettner			
	CCCDA 09-06	Kochly, DA	Hon. Laettner			
Fresno	FDP-09-01 [06-57527]	Egan, DA	Hon. Harrell	Application not yet submitted	Application not yet submitted	Not yet sent
	FDP-09-02 [06-57527]	Egan, DA	Hon. Harrell	Application not yet submitted	Application not yet submitted	Not yet sent
Imperial	SW-2009-044	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-2009-049	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-2009-050	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-2009-064	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-09-050#1	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-09-050#2	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-2009-107a	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	SW-2009-0140					
	TT#1	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	TT#2	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Imperial (cont'd)	TT#3	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	TT#4	Otero, DA	Hon. Donnelly			
	TT#5					
Kern	09-01	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 8/28/09)	9/25/09	75
	09-02	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	1/5/10	1/5/10	20
	09-03	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	1/5/10	1/5/10	20
	09-04	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 1/7/10)	1/5/10	138
	09-05	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 1/8/10)	1/5/10	138
	09-06	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 1/27/10)		
	09-07	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 2/7/10)	1/5/10	138
	09-08	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 2/21/10)	1/5/10	138
	09-09	Jagels, DA	Hon. Lewis	(inventory due 3/5/10)		
Los Angeles	08-54	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/3/09	3/4/09	335
	08-69	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/1/09	4/7/09	695
	08-108	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/1/09	4/7/09	695
	08-139	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due 4/11/10)		
	08-157	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due 4/11/10)	n/a	n/a
	08-170	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due 4/11/10)		
	08-190	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/14/09	8/25/09	690
	08-195	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/8/10 (inventory due 3/11/09)		
	08-196	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/1/09	4/7/09	750
	08-206	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 2/17/10 (inventory due 5/25/09)		
	08-209	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 10/19/09 (inventory due 1/15/10)	n/a	n/a

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	08-210	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	08-214	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/14/09	8/25/09	690
	08-216	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 2/17/10 (inventory due 5/25/09)		
	08-217	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/1/09	4/7/09	750
	08-218	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	pending	pending	
	08-219	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due 4/11/10)	n/a	n/a
	08-221	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/13/09 (inventory due - pending)	n/a	
	09-01	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/9/09	9/22/09	900
	09-04	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/7/10 (inventory due - 7/5/09)		
	09-05	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 10/9/09		
	09-06	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 10/9/09		
	09-07	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-08	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/11/10	1/11/10	16
	09-09	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/1/09 (inventory due - 1/21/10)		
	09-10	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/14/09	8/25/09	690
	09-11	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/8/10 (inventory due - 5/31/09)		
	09-13	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 4/1/10)		
	09-14	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/20/09	8/25/09	12
	09-15	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/9/09	9/22/09	900
	09-16	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 10/9/09 pending		
	09-17	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/20/09	8/25/09	12
	09-18	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	5
	09-19	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 3/4/10)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-21	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-22	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/28/09 (inventory due - 3/28/10)		
	09-23	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/11/10 (inventory due - 6/15/09)		
	09-24	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-25	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/11/10 (inventory due - 6/15/09)		
	09-26	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/7/10 (inventory due - 7/13/09)		
	09-27	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/2/09	6/10/09	60
	09-32	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/9/09	9/22/09	900
	09-34	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-35	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-36	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/30/09		
	09-37	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-38	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-39	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	6/2/09	6/10/09	60
	09-40	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	48
	09-41	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 2/2/10 (inventory due - 8/9/09)		
	09-42	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-43	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09	12/30/09	1
	09-44	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	60
	09-45	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 4/21/10)		
	09-46	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-48	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/14/09	8/18/09	3
	09-49	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-51	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/20/09	8/25/09	12
	09-52	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-53	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	pending	pending	
	09-54	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-55 TT#1	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-55 TT#2	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-55 TT#3	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-55 TT#4	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-56	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/8/09	12/9/09	13
	09-57	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-58	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/14/09	8/18/09	3
	09-60	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-61	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-62	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-63	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09	1/6/10	6
	09-65	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 2/17/09 (inventory due - 8/24/09)		
	09-66	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 10/5/09 & 1/5/10 (inventory due - 4/5/10)		
	09-67	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-68	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/9/09	12/9/09	2
	09-69	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/8/10 (inventory due - 9/7/09)		
	09-70	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/8/09	9/22/09	578
	09-72	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 8/14/09 pending		
	09-73	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/23/09	9/29/09	1
	09-74	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09	12/30/09	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-75	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09		
	09-76	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due - 4/11/10)	n/a	n/a
	09-77	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-78	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-79	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-80	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/15/10 (inventory due - 9/21/09)		
	09-81	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/8/10	n/a	n/a
	09-82	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09	1/6/10	4
	09-83	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due - 4/11/10)	n/a	n/a
	09-84	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	60
	09-85	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/28/09 (inventory due - 3/5/10)		
	09-86	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 11/24/09) pending		
	09-87	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09 (inventory due - n/a)		
	09-88	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/10/10)		
	09-89	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/31/10)		
	09-90	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/7/10 (inventory due - 10/11/09)		
	09-91	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09		
	09-92	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/5/10		
	09-93	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/28/09	1/7/10	13
	09-94	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 1/5/10)		
	09-95	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	48
	09-96	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-97	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/8/09	9/22/09	578
	09-98	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/24/10 (inventory due - 10/25/09)		
	09-99	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-100	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	60
	09-101	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/31/10)		
	09-102	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 10/25/09) pending		
	09-103	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-104	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 11/4/09 (inventory due - 2/2/10)		
	09-105	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/11/10)		
	09-106	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/4/10		
	09-107	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09	1/6/10	7
	09-108	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09		
	09-109	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09		
	09-110	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/31/10)		
	09-111	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09		
	09-112	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/15/09		
	09-113	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/16/09	10/20/09	1
	09-114	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/2/09 (inventory due - n/a) n/a		
	09-115	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/3/09	12/7/09	19
	09-116	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 11/15/09)	n/a	n/a
	09-117	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 11/23/09) pending		
	09-118	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due - 4/11/10)	n/a	n/a

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-119	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 2/7/10 (inventory due - 11/19/09)		
	09-120	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/29/09	10/29/09	2
	09-122	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 12/1/09)		
	09-123	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09 (wiretap never installed)	12/30/09	0
	09-124	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/28/09	1/7/10	16
	09-125	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/31/10)		
	09-126	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/21/10 (inventory due - 12/20/09)		
	09-128 TT#9	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-128 TT#10	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-129	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 12/1/09) pending		
	09-130	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 12/11/09)		
	09-131	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 12/15/09) pending		
	09-133	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-134	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 12/21/09)		
	09-135	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 1/11/10 (inventory due - 4/11/10)	n/a	n/a
	09-136	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/28/09 (inventory due - 3/21/10)		
	09-137	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/18/09		
	09-139	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/28/09	1/7/10	8
	09-140	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	60
	09-141	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 12/25/09)		
	09-142	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/29/10)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-144	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 1/23/10)		
	09-147	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/30/09	1/4/10	1
	09-148	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	60
	09-150	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 1/5/10)		
	09-151	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 1/13/10)		
	09-152	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/22/10)		
	09-153	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/5/10)		
	09-154	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/15/10)		
	09-155 TT#4	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-155 TT#5	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-156	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/12/10)		
	09-157	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/11/09	12/10/09	1
	09-158	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/13/10)		
	09-159	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/21/09	12/24/09	60
	09-160	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/25/10)		
	09-161	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/22/10)		
	09-162	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/16/10)		
	09-163	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/10/10)		
	09-165	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/25/10)		
	09-166	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 1/27/10) n/a		
	09-167	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 2/2/10)		
	09-168	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - pending (inventory due - 1/30/10)		
	09-169	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 3/3/10)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-170	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/28/09	1/7/09	14
	09-171	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/15/09		
	09-172 TT#4	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-172 TT#6	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09		
	09-174	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/31/10)		
	09-176	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/16/10)		
	09-177	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/10/10)		
	09-180	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 2/16/10)		
	09-181	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 1/8/10)		
	09-182	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 2/12/10)		
	09-183	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 3/16/10)		
	09-185	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 12/11/09 (inventory due - 3/11/10)	n/a	n/a
	09-186	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 2/25/10)		
	09-190	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/15/10)		
	09-191	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 2/26/10)		
	09-193	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 3/8/10)		
	09-194	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 2/17/10)		
	09-195	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/5/10)		
	09-196	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/1/10) [wiretap never installed; TT#1 was no longer being used]		
	09-199 TT#8	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/14/10)		
	09-199 TT#9	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/14/10)		
	09-200	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/6/10)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-207	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - n/a (inventory due - 3/21/10)		
	09-214	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	p - 3/6/10		
	09-222	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(inventory due - 3/14/10)		
Merced	WTM-001; WTM-003; WTM-004	Bacciarini, DDA	Hon. Kiriara	p - 11/2/09		
	WTM-002; WTM-005; WTM-006	Bacciarini, DDA	Hon. Kiriara	p - n/a		
Orange	09-01	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/23/09	12/10/09	5
	08-61 & 09-02	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/23/09	12/10/09	15
	09-03	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Paer	p - 11/3/09		
	09-05	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/24/09	12/10/09	0
	09-07	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Stotler	p - 11/25/09		
	09-08	Masangkay, DDA	Hon. King	p - 12/10/09		
	09-09	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	9/10/09	10/8/09	5
	09-10	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/24/09	12/10/09	7
	09-11	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/24/09		0
	09-12	Masangkay, DDA	Hon. King	p - 10/1/09		
	09-13	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 1/4/10		
	09-14	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	9/10/09	10/8/09	18
	09-15	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/24/09	12/10/09	6
	09-16	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King			
	09-17	Rackauckas, DA		7/24/09	8/5/09	25
	09-18	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	12/10	1/4/10	5
	09-19 & 09-24	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/24/09	12/10/09	
	09-21	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	11/25/09	pending	pending

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Orange (cont'd)	09-23		Hon. Donahue	7/24/09	8/13/09	52
	09-25	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King			
	09-26	Masangkay, DDA	Hon. King	p - 12/22/09		
	09-27		Hon. King	(inventory due 9/12/09)	pending	
	09-28	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/25/09	pending	14
	09-29	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King			none
	09-30	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 11/24/09		pending
	09-31	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/23/09	12/10/09	3
	09-32	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 10/13/09		
	09-33		Hon. King	1/8/09	pending	
	09-34	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 10/21/09		
	09-35	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/25/09	pending	
	09-36	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/24/09	pending	
	09-37	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/25/09	pending	
	09-38	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 11/10/09		
	09-39	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 11/18/09		
	09-40	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King/ Hon. Donahue	p - 12/2/09		
	09-41	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 11/24/09		
	09-42	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	p - 12/2/09		
	09-44	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	11/25/09	pending	
	09-46	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	1/8/09	pending	
	09-47	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	p - 12/10/09		
	09-48	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	p - 12/15/09		
	09-49	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 12/30/09		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Orange (cont'd)	09-50	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 1/4/10		
	09-52	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/25/09	pending	
	09-53	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 12/30/09)	pending	
	09-54	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	p - 1/8/09		
	09-55	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	11/25/09	pending	
	09-56	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	(inventory due 3/3/10)		
	09-57	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 2/23/10)		
	09-58	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 2/12/10)		
	09-60	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	(inventory due 3/5/10)		
	09-61	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 3/30/10)		
	09-62	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 3/16/10)		
	09-63	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 4/8/10)		
	09-64	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 4/7/10)		
	09-65	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 4/3/10)		
	09-66	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. King	(inventory due 4/10/10)		
Riverside	08-60	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Hernandez			
	08-61	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Hernandez			
	08-62	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Hernandez	(inventory due 1/25/10)	n/a	n/a
	08-63	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Hernandez	p - n/a (inventory due 4/15/09)		
	09-01	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-02	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-03	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p		
	09-05	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-06	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	09-07	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-08	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-10	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-11	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-13	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p		
	09-14	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-16	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 7/13/09)	5/1/09	43
	09-17	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			1
	09-18	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-19	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-20	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-21	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 1/15/10)		
	09-22	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - 2/19/10		
	09-23	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-24	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-25	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-26	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-28	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-29	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - n/a (inventory due 10/2/09)	11/15/09	44
	09-30	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - 2/21/10		
	09-31	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - 2/21/10		
	09-32	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-33	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 3/15/10)		
	09-34	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	09-35	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			none (current investigation)
	09-37	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-38	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	n/a	n/a	n/a
	09-39	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			none (current investigation)
	09-40	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-41	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-42	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-43	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p		
	09-44	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p		
	09-45	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - n/a (inventory due 11/21/09)		
	09-46	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - n/a (inventory due 12/14/09)	11/15/09	35
	09-47	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - 11/16/09 12/12/10	n/a	0
	09-48	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - 11/16/09 3/23/10	n/a	0
	09-49	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 12/2/09)		
	09-50	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 12/24/09)		
	09-51	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 1/15/10)		
	09-52	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p		
	09-53	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p		
	09-54	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 12/19/09)	n/a	0
	09-55	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-56	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - 4/4/10		
	09-57	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 12/26/09)		
	09-58	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	09-59	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-60	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - pending		
	09-61	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	p - pending		
	09-62	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	10/1/09	10/1/09	4
	09-63	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-64	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-65	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-66	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster			
	09-68	Pacheco, DA	Hon. Webster	(inventory due 2/28/10)		
Sacramento	08-03	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	p - 1/6/10		
	09-01/02	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	4/2/09		
	09-03	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White/ Hon. Ransom	p - 1/6/10		
	09-04	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	p - 1/6/10		
	09-05	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	p - 1/6/10		
	09-06	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	p - 1/6/10		
	09-07	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	p - 1/6/10		
	09-08	O'Mara, ACDDA	Hon. White	9/4/09		
San Bernardino	08-84	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/9/09)		
	08-91	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	8/14/09		
	08-93	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/10/09)		
	08-101	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	p - 7/17/09; 12/15/09	12/15/09	27
	08-102	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/3/09)		
	08-103	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	8/14/09		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	08-104	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/11/09)		
	08-105	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	9/24/09	9/24/09	24
	08-106	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/18/09)		
	08-107	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/17/09); p - 5/4/09		
	08-108	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 6/13/09)		
	08-109	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/10/09)		
	08-111	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 4/29/09)		
	09-01	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/2/09)		
	09-02	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	9/24/09	9/24/09	24
	09-03	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/14/09)		
	09-04	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/13/09)		
	09-05	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/27/09); p - 5/4/09		
	09-06	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	10/8/09	10/8/09	5
	09-07	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/30/09)		
	09-08	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 5/30/09); *see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-28, 09-35	*see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-28 & 09-35	*see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-28 & 09-35
	09-09	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/2/09)		
	09-10	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 6/9/09)		
	09-11	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/4/09); p - 7/17/09		
	09-12	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	9/24/09	9/24/09	24

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-13	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/20/09)		
	09-14	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/20/09); *see Ref. No. 09-09	*see Ref. No. 09-09	*see Ref. No. 09-09
	09-15	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/19/09)		
	09-16	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/16/09)		
	09-17	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/20/09)		
	09-18	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/20/09)		
	09-19	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/20/09)		
	09-20	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/15/09); p - 7/17/09		
	09-21	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/26/09); *see Ref. Nos. 08-111 & 09-38	*see Ref. Nos. 08-111 & 09-38	*see Ref. Nos. 08-111 & 09-38
	09-22	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/25/09)		
	09-23	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 6/23/09)		
	09-24	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 7/9/09)		
	09-25	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 7/14/09)		
	09-26	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	p - 7/17/09; 12/15/09	12/15/09	10
	09-27	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/9/09); p - 5/4/09		
	09-28	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/10/09); *see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-08 & 09-35	*see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-08 & 09-35	*see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-08 & 09-35
	09-29	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/4/09); p - 7/17/09		
	09-30	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/15/09)		
	09-31	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/15/09)		
	09-32	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 7/24/09)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-33	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	p - 7/17/09; 12/15/09	12/15/09	15
	09-34	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/1/09)		
	09-35	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/1/09); *see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-08 & 09-28	*see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-08 & 09-28	*see Ref. Nos. 09-02, 09-08 & 09-28
	09-36	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/7/09)		
	09-37	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/29/09)		
	09-38	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 08/5/09); *see Ref. Nos. 08-111 & 09-21	*see Ref. Nos. 08-111 & 09-21	*see Ref. Nos. 08-111 & 09-21
	09-39	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/7/09)		
	09-40	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/12/09); p - 7/7/09		
	09-41	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/15/09)		
	09-42	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/12/09)		
	09-43			(inventory due 9/24/09)		
	09-44	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/16/09)		
	09-45	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/12/09)		
	09-46	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	8/20/09	8/20/09	10
	09-47	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/17/09); p - 11/5/09		
	09-48	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	11/3/09	11/3/09	17
	09-49	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory due 8/29/09)		
	09-50	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 8/29/09)		
	09-51	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/7/09)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-52	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/1/09)		
	09-53	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/4/09)		
	09-54	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/2/09)		
	09-55	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/3/09)		
	09-56	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/4/09)		
	09-57	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/7/09)		
	09-58	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/8/09)		
	09-59	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/29/09)		
	09-60	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/19/09)		
	09-61	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/19/09)		
	09-62	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	p - 11/5/09; 12/15/09	12/15/09	50
	09-63	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/17/09)		
	09-64	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory due 9/24/09)		
	09-65	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	9/24/09	9/24/09	24
	09-66	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/19/09)		
	09-67	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/30/09)		
	09-68	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 9/29/09)		
	09-69	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	n/a		
	09-70	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/3/09)		
	09-71	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/7/09)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-72	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/7/09)		
	09-73	Hackleman, ADA	Hon. Barr	12/15/09	12/15/09	20
	09-74	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr & Hon. Harrison	(inventory due 11/11/09)		
	09-75	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	n/a		
	09-76	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/21/09)		
	09-77	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	n/a		
	09-78	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/29/09)		
	09-79	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/29/09)		
	09-80	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 10/29/09); p - 11/5/09		
	09-81	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 11/5/09)		
	09-82	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 11/7/09); p - 9/9/0-9		
	09-83	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 11/14/09); *see Ref. No. 09-43	*see Ref. No. 09-43	*see Ref. No. 09-43
	09-84	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	12/18/09)	1/6/10	29
	09-85	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 12/25/09)		
	09-86	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	11/6/09		
	09-87	Hackleman, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 12/18/09)		
	09-88	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 12/12/09)		
	09-89	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	10/8/09	10/8/09	4
	09-90	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 1/9/10)		
	09-91	Hackleman, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 12/19/09); *see Ref. Nos. 09-85 & 09-94	*see Ref. Nos. 09-85 & 09-94	*see Ref. Nos. 09-85 & 09-94

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-92	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 12/23/09)		
	09-93	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 1/9/10)		
	09-94	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/9/10); *see Ref. Nos. 09-85 & 09-91	*see Ref. Nos. 09-85 & 09-91	*see Ref. Nos. 09-85 & 09-91
	09-95	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory due 2/5/10)		
	09-96	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/16/10); *see Ref. Nos. 09-88 & 09-97	*see Ref. Nos. 09-88 & 09-97	*see Ref. Nos. 09-88 & 09-97
	09-97	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/16/10); *see Ref. Nos. 09-88 & 09-96	*see Ref. Nos. 09-88 & 09-96	*see Ref. Nos. 09-88 & 09-96
	09-98	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/16/10)		
	09-100	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/16/10)		
	09-101	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	2/10/10	2/11/10	15
	09-102	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/22/10)		
	09-103	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 1/23/10)		
	09-104	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 2/5/10)		
	09-105	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 2/6/10)		
	09-107	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 2/13/10)		
	09-108	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	n/a		
	09-109	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 3/19/10)		
	09-110	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 2/20/10)		
	09-111	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 2/5/10) p - 3/3/10		
	09-112	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 3/3/10)		
	09-113	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 3/3/10)		
	09-114	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 3/17/10)		
	09-116	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 3/25/10)		
	09-123	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 3/30/10)		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-124	Christy, ADA	Hon. Harrison	(inventory 3/30/10)		
	09-125	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 4/9/10)		
	09-126	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 4/17/10)		
	09-130	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 4/14/10)		
	09-131	Christy, ADA	Hon. Barr	(inventory 4/17/10)		
San Diego	08-68	Dumanis, DA	Hon. Trentacosta	3/11/09	3/11/09	1,592
	08-75	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68
	08-82	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68
	08-86	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Rogers	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68
	09-01	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	5/1/09	5/1/09 (includes 08-77, 08-78, 08-84)	259 (includes 08-77, 08-78, 08-84)
	09-02	Pettine, CDDA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68	*see Ref. No. 08-68
	09-03	Pettine, CDDA	Hon. Trentacosta	11/20/09	11/20/09	154
	09-04	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	6/18/09	6/18/09	100
	09-05	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-03	*see Ref. No. 09-03	*see Ref. No. 09-03
	09-06	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	1/25/10	1/25/09 (includes 09-11, 09-17)	300 (includes 09-11, 09-17)
	09-07	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	8/19/09	8/19/09 (includes 09-08, 09-16)	129 (includes 09-08, 09-16)
	09-08	Pettine, CDDA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-07	*see Ref. No. 09-07	*see Ref. No. 09-07
	09-09	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-03	*see Ref. No. 09-03	*see Ref. No. 09-03
	09-10	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	8/17/09	8/17/09 (includes 09-13, 09-14)	7 (includes 09-13, 09-14)
	09-11	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Rogers	*see Ref. No. 09-06	*see Ref. No. 09-06	*see Ref. No. 09-06
	09-12	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	12/18/09	12/18/09 (includes 09-18, 09-23, 09-25, 09-26)	112 (includes 09-18, 09-23, 09-25, 09-26)
	09-13	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-10	*see Ref. No. 09-10	*see Ref. No. 09-10
	09-14	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-10	*see Ref. No. 09-10	*see Ref. No. 09-10

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	09-15	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	p - 9/25/09 (inventory due 10/21/09); (includes 09-19, 09-21, 09-22)	pending	
	09-16	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-07	*see Ref. No. 09-07	*see Ref. No. 09-07
	09-17	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-06	*see Ref. No. 09-06	*see Ref. No. 09-06
	09-18	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12
	09-19	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-15	*see Ref. No. 09-15	*see Ref. No. 09-15
	09-20	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	p - 12/14/09 (inventory due 2/1/10, 12/13/09, 10/11/09); (includes 09-24, 09-27)	pending	
	09-21	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-15	*see Ref. No. 09-15	*see Ref. No. 09-15
	09-22	Pettine, CDDA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-15	*see Ref. No. 09-15	*see Ref. No. 09-15
	09-23	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Rogers	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12
	09-24	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-20	*see Ref. No. 09-20	*see Ref. No. 09-20
	09-25	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12
	09-26	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12	*see Ref. No. 09-12
	09-27	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	*see Ref. No. 09-20	*see Ref. No. 09-20	*see Ref. No. 09-20
	09-28	Rodriguez, ADA	Hon. Trentacosta	1/15/10 (inventory due 2/23/10)	2/23/10	47
	09-29			(inventory due 2/28/10, 4/19/10)	pending	
	09-30			(inventory due 3/17/10)	pending	
	09-31			n/a - ongoing		
San Joaquin	09-01	Busutil, ADA	Hon. Vlavianos	7/13/09	7/13/09	29
	09-02	Busutil, ADA	Hon. Guilliani	p - 12/21/10		
	09-02-1	Busutil, ADA	Hon. Guilliani	p - 12/21/10		
	09-02-2	Busutil, ADA	Hon. Guilliani	p - 12/21/10		
	09-02-3	Busutil, ADA	Hon. Guilliani	p - 12/21/10		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Luis Obispo	09-001	Hilford, ADA	Hon. Trice	7/28/09	8/5/09	58
	09-001a	Hilford, ADA	Hon. Crandall	7/28/09	8/5/09	37
	09-002	Hilford, ADA	Hon. Trice	9/2/09	9/16/09	52
San Mateo	08-01	Fox, DA	Hon. Grandsaert	9/15/09	9/15/09	20
	08-03	Fox, DA	Hon. Grandsaert	9/15/09	9/15/09	24
Santa Barbara	09-003	Stanley, DA	Hon. Hill	12/4/09	12/4/09	120
	SM09-01	Stanley, DA	Hon. Garcia	8/3/09	8/3/09	54
	SM09-02	Stanley, DA	Hon. Garcia	12/3/09	12/3/09	34
	SM09-02(A)	Stanley, DA	Hon. Garcia	n/a	n/a	n/a
	SM09-003	Lynn, Acting DA	Hon. Garcia	n/a - pending	pending	pending
Santa Clara	09-01	Carr, DA	Hon. Stafford	6/8/09	6/8/09	23
	09-02	Carr, DA	Hon. Stafford	6/8/09	6/8/09	1
	09-03	Carr, DA	Hon. Cena	p - 7/28/09 & 10/26/09		
	09-04	Carr, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	p - 7/28/09 & 10/26/09		
	09-05	Carr, DA	Hon. Cena	p - n/a (inventory due 1/18/10)		
	09-06	Carr, DA	Hon. Cena	p - n/a (inventory due 2/19/10)		
	09-07	Carr, DA	Hon. Cena	p - n/a (inventory due 3/23/10)		
Shasta	09-023	Benito, DA	Hon. Baker	1/15/10	1/15/10	36
Stanislaus	09-01	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	6/8/09	6/8/09	8
	09-02	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	6/8/09	6/8/09	6
	09-03	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	(inventory due 7/15/09)		
	09-04	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	(inventory due 3/4/10)		
	09-05	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	(inventory due 4/2/10)		
Sutter	09-01	McClung, ADA	Hon. Chandler	12/17/09	12/30/09	30

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Sutter (cont'd)	09-02	McClung, ADA	Hon. Chandler	12/17/09	12/20/09	17
	09-03	McClung, ADA	Hon. Chandler	12/17/09	12/30/09	4
	09-04	Adams, DA	Hon. Chandler	12/17/09	12/30/09	8
Tulare	09-01	Gallian, ADA	Hon. Ferguson	n/a	6/1/09	417
Ventura	09-01	Totten, DA	Hon. McGee	p - 9/11/09; 9/11/09	9/25/09	355
	09-01b	Totten, DA	Hon. McGee	*see Ref. No. 09-01	*see Ref. No. 09-01	*see Ref. No. 09-01
	09-01c	Totten, DA	Hon. McGee	*see Ref. No. 09-01	*see Ref. No. 09-01	*see Ref. No. 09-01
	09-02	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-03	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	6/22/09	6/23/09	32
	09-04	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	n/a	n/a	n/a
	09-05	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-06	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	6/27/09	6/27/09	37
	09-07	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	7/14/09	7/14/09	12
	09-08	Wright, SDDA	Hon. O'Neill	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-09	Wright, SDDA	Hon. Cloninger	10/29/09	10/29/09	17
	09-10	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	8/25/09	8/25/09	30
	09-11	Wright, SDDA	Hon. Smiley	7/22/09	7/22/09	21
	09-12	Totten, DA	Hon. McGee	1/12/10	1/12/10	174
	09-13	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	9/17/09	9/17/09	85
	09-14	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	1/4/10	1/4/10	4
	09-15	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-16	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	1/4/10	1/4/10	5
	09-17	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-18	Totten, DA	Hon. McGee	(inventory due 11/18/09)	n/a	n/a

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	09-19	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-20	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	1/4/10	1/4/10	5
	09-21	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	1/4/10	1/4/10	1
	09-22	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09; n/a	n/a	n/a
	09-23	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	(inventory due 3/6/10)	n/a	n/a
	09-24	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	p - 11/18/09	n/a	n/a
	09-25	Wright, SDDA	Hon. McGee	(inventory due 4/4/10)	n/a	n/a

*related to reference number listed
p - postponement
n/a - not applicable

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Alameda	09-01	24 police officers	81,309	1,940	84,249
	09-02	58 peace officers	512,365	24,500	536,865
Butte	BSW09-140	10 DEA & 4 National Guard	17,443	3,200	20,643
	BSW09-179	10 DEA & 4 National Guard	79,585	3,800	83,385
	BSW09-206	10 DEA & 4 National Guard	63,054	3,800	66,854
Contra Costa	CCFDA 09-01	19 law enforcement officers & civilian interpreter	20,000	2,000	22,000
	CCFDA 09-02	19 law enforcement officers & civilian translator	20,000	4,000	24,000
	CCFDA 09-03	11 law enforcement	25,000	4,900	29,900
	CCFDA 09-04	28 law enforcement	35,000	4,050	39,050
	CCFDA 09-05	19 law enforcement officers & civilian translator	35,000	5,650	40,650
	CCFDA 09-06	18 law enforcement	30,000	3,825	33,825
Fresno	FDP-09-01 [06-57527]	12 officers & 1 technician; 1,848 hours	82,680	25,000	107,680
	FDP-09-02 [06-57527]	*Costs related to FPD-09-01			
Imperial	SW-2009-044	2 monitors; installation costs	2,000	21,171	23,171
	SW-2009-049	No monitors; installation costs only	0	2,000	2,000
	SW-2009-050	2 monitors; installation costs	22,337	2,220	24,557
	SW-2009-064	Monitors; installation costs	2,000	0	2,000
	SW-09-050#1	2 monitors; installation costs	18,164	2,000	20,614
	SW-09-050#2	2 monitors; installation costs	16,404	2,000	18,404
	SW-2009-107a	Monitors; installation costs	2,000	49,362	51,362
	SW-2009-0140	Monitors; installation costs	2,000	34,779	36,779
	TT#1	Installation; monitors, etc.	108,991	16,301	125,292
	TT#2	*Costs related to TT#1, 3, 4, & 5			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Imperial (cont'd)	TT#3	*Costs related to TT#1, 2, 4, & 5			
	TT#4	*Costs related to TT#1, 2, 3, & 5			
	TT#5	*Costs related to TT#1, 2, 3, & 4			
Kern	09-01	30	200,000	5,000	205,000
	09-02	12	152,000	28,000	180,000
	09-03	*Costs related to 09-02			
	09-04	12	115,200	61,200	176,400
	09-05	*Costs related to 09-04			
	09-06	12	182,000	45,000	227,000
	09-07	*Costs related to 09-04			
	09-08	*Costs related to 09-04			
	09-09	*Costs related to 09-06			
Los Angeles	08-54	*Costs related to 08-135			
	08-69	Monitors	143,729	21,000	164,729
	08-108	*Costs related to 08-69, 08-131; 08-152			
	08-139	*Costs related to 08-170			
	08-157	*Costs related to 08-170			
	08-170	15 monitors; 1 analyst; 1 technician	336,000	9,830	345,830
	08-190	LE officers; Civilian monitors	150,000	4,500	154,500
	08-195	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	08-196	Monitors	387,600	16,200	403,800
	08-206	2 monitors per day	54,000	4,200	58,200
	08-209	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	21,600	2,000	23,600

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	08-210	2 monitors per day/ federal	72,000	8,000	80,000
	08-214	Monitors	105,000	2,100	107,100
	08-216	2 monitors per day	57,000	3,600	60,600
	08-217	*Costs related to 08-167, 08-196			
	08-218	2 monitors per shift per day	19,800	2,200	22,000
	08-219	3 monitors per day/ federal \$ only	38,300	3,600	41,900
	08-221	Monitor	30,000	1,600	31,600
	09-01	1 detective; 17 monitors/investigation	180,000	12,500	192,500
	09-04	2 monitors per day	36,000	1,400	37,400
	09-05	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-06	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-07	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	2,000	20,724
	09-08	3 Spanish language monitors	19,150	1,570	20,720
	09-09	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	21,600	2,000	23,600
	09-10	Police officer; Civilian monitor	6,300	600	6,900
	09-11	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	09-13	332 hours of OT and contract interpreter	58,543	2,625	61,168
	09-14		2,156	189	2,345
	09-15	*Costs related to 09-01			
	09-16	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-17		8,308	615	8,923
	09-18	Monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-19	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	10,600	2,000	12,600

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-21	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	8,000	26,724
	09-22	1 monitor	19,171	1,950	21,121
	09-23	2 monitors per day	18,000	1,400	19,400
	09-24	5 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-25	2 monitors per day	18,000	1,400	19,400
	09-26	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	09-27	8 monitors/investigation	35,279	5,020	40,299
	09-32	*Costs related to 09-01			
	09-34	5 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-35	2 monitors; 8 police personnel/day	155,000	1,900	156,900
	09-36	2 Spanish monitors per day	6,856	2,000	8,856
	09-37	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-38	2 monitors per day/ federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	09-39	8 monitors/investigation	35,279	1,800	37,079
	09-40	Agents; Monitors Technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-41	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	09-42	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-43	3	19,000	4,000	23,000
	09-44	1 installer; 2 monitors - federal	INSTALLED BUT NOT USED		
	09-45	*Costs related to 09-13			
	09-46	2 Spanish monitors per shift	18,724	8,000	26,724
	09-48	6	31,952		31,952
	09-49	Monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-51		18,532	1,400	19,932
	09-52	n/a	INSTALLED BUT NOT USED		
	09-53	6	48,000	5,400	53,400
	09-54	5 monitors per day/ federal	9,000	1,000	10,000
	09-55 TT#1	6	0	0	0
	09-55 TT#2	6	0	0	0
	09-55 TT#3	6	0	0	0
	09-55 TT#4	6	0	0	0
	09-56	Monitors; Technicians; Investigators	62,000	9,000	71,000
	09-57	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	2,000	20,724
	09-58	*Costs related to 09-48			
	09-60	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-61	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-62	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-63	10	36,000	2,900	38,900
	09-65	2 monitors per day	18,000	1,400	19,400
	09-66	4	19,000	1,000	20,000
	09-67	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	2,000	20,724
	09-68	3	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-69	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	09-70	Installation; Monitors; Detective; Officers	83,421	2,700	86,121
	09-72	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-73	2 Spanish monitors per day	13,730	2,000	15,730

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-74	2	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-75	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-76	3 monitors per day/ federal \$ only	19,150	1,800	20,950
	09-77	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	40,000	3,600	43,600
	09-78	2 monitors per day	19,747	1,600	21,347
	09-79	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	2,000	20,724
	09-80	Monitor	20,000	1,600	21,600
	09-81	6	17,747	2,000	19,747
	09-82	10	54,000	5,800	59,800
	09-83	DEA contracted; 4 monitors per day	20,000	1,800	21,800
	09-84	1 installer; 2 monitors/federal	0	0	0
	09-85	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	705	1,200	1,905
	09-86	5 monitors per day/ federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	09-87	2 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-88	6 Spanish speaking monitors per day	142,011	6,200	148,211
	09-89	17 Deputy Sheriffs	244,000	29,500	273,500
	09-90	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	09-91	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-92	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	2,000	20,724
	09-93	Monitors; Surveillance	20,000	1,650	21,650
	09-94	2 monitors per day/ federal	72,000	8,000	80,000
	09-95	2 monitors/translators	20,648	625	21,273
	09-96	4 monitors per day/ federal	39,494	1,860	41,354

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-97	2 Spanish monitors per day	57,000	3,200	60,200
	09-98	Installer; Monitor	30,000	1,600	31,600
	09-99	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	40,000	3,600	43,600
	09-100	1 installer; 2 monitors/federal	19,171	1,600	20,771
	09-101	17 Deputy Sheriffs	244,000	9,500	253,500
	09-102	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-103	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-104	2 monitors; 1 technician	19,800	2,000	21,800
	09-105	12	19,171	2,000	21,171
	09-106	2 Spanish monitors per day	18,724	2,000	20,724
	09-107	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
	09-108	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-109	2 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-110	17 Deputy Sheriffs	81,600	2,500	84,100
	09-111	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-112	20 monitors	38,400	5,300	43,700
	09-113	2 monitors	0	0	0
	09-114	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-115	4 monitors	30,000	2,800	32,800
	09-116				0
	09-117	5 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-118	2 monitors per day	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-119	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	39,600	4,000	43,600

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-120	2 monitors per day for 30 days	19,750	1,420	21,170
	09-122	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-123	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-124	4 monitors per day	38,000	3,200	41,200
	09-125	17 Deputy Sheriffs	81,600	2,500	84,100
	09-126	2 monitors per day	18,720	1,500	20,220
	09-128 TT#9	2	19,747	1,600	21,347
	09-128 TT#10	2	19,747	1,600	21,347
	09-129	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-130	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-131	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-133	2 monitors per day	19,727	2,000	21,727
	09-134	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-135	2 monitors per day/ federal \$ only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-136	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	19,800	2,000	21,800
	09-137	2 monitors per day	19,747	1,600	21,347
	09-139	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-140	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-141	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-142	No local/county/ state personnel	0	0	0
	09-144	2 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-147	2 Spanish monitors per day	2,739	2,000	4,739
	09-148	1 installer; 2 monitors/federal	19,171	2,000	21,171

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-150	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-151	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-152	Deputy Sheriffs	255,000	45,000	300,000
	09-153	2 monitors per day	20,000	2,000	22,000
	09-154	2 monitors per day/ federal	59,000	1,800	60,800
	09-155 TT#4	2 monitors per day	19,727	2,000	21,727
	09-155 TT#5	2 monitors per day	19,727	2,000	21,727
	09-156	2 monitors per day	19,747	1,400	21,147
	09-157	2 monitors per day	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-158		0	0	0
	09-159	1 installer; 2-4 monitors/federal	19,859	4,000	23,859
	09-160	4 monitors per day	82,928	4,200	87,128
	09-161	2 monitors per day	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-162	Private company/3 civilians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-163	3 Spanish speaking monitors	53,506	2,600	56,106
	09-165	5 monitors; 1 tech agent	19,171	2,500	21,671
	09-166	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-167	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	19,800	2,000	21,800
	09-168	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-169	2 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-170	2 monitors per day	6,275	1,600	7,875
	09-171	2 monitors per day	38,400	5,300	43,700
	09-172 TT#4	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	40,000	2,000	42,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	09-172 TT#6	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	40,000	2,000	42,000
	09-174	2 monitors per day	19,747	1,600	21,347
	09-176	Private company/3 civilians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-177	11 Spanish speaking monitors	59,889	1,700	61,589
	09-180	2 monitors per day; 1 technician	11,880	1,500	13,380
	09-181	20 monitors	38,400	5,300	43,700
	09-182	*Costs related to 09-152			
	09-183	2 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	2,000	38,000
	09-185	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	8,000	2,000	10,000
	09-186	*Costs related to 09-152			
	09-190	14 monitors	46,275	1,400	47,675
	09-191	2 monitors per day	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-193	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-194	2 monitors per day	9,273	1,600	10,873
	09-195	2 monitors per day/ federal	20,000	1,800	21,800
	09-196	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-199 TT#8	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	40,000	2,000	42,000
	09-199 TT#9	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	27,000	2,000	29,000
	09-200	17 Deputy Sheriffs	81,600	2,500	84,100
	09-207	2 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-214	8 monitors	6,000	1,400	7,400
	09-222	2 monitors per day; 1 DEA technician	10,600	2,000	12,600
Merced	WTM-001; WTM-003; WTM-004	29 agents; 4 transcribers	228,000	10,000	238,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Merced (cont'd)	WTM-002; WTM-005; WTM-006	12 agents	165,000	10,000	175,000
Orange	09-01	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	08-61 & 09-02	5 monitors per day/ federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-03	14 investigators to monitor lines	15,900	4,504	20,404
	09-05	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-07	4	26,000	2,800	28,800
	09-08	2 monitors	12,000	1,500	13,500
	09-09	1 monitor	9,600	2,250	11,850
	09-10	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-11	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-12	2 monitors	12,000	1,500	13,500
	09-13	4	26,000	2,800	28,800
	09-14	1 monitor	9,600	2,250	11,850
	09-15	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-16	INSTALLED BUT NOT USED			
	09-17	7	23,100		23,100
	09-18	2 persons per day	18,000	6,000	24,000
	09-19 & 09-24	5 monitors per day/ federal	32,000	4,000	36,000
	09-21	2 linguistic support	19,171	2,840	22,011
	09-23	Monitors- interpreters	36,000	6,000	42,000
	09-25	Installed but not used			
	09-26	2 monitors	12,000	1,500	13,500
	09-27	Monitors- interpreters	36,000	8,000	44,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Orange (cont'd)	09-28	6 linguistic support	57,613	7,100	64,613
	09-29	Not installed			
	09-30	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
	09-31	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-32	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
	09-33	Monitors-interpreters	36,000	6,000	42,000
	09-34	4	20,160	710	20,870
	09-35	7 linguistic support	67,099	7,100	74,199
	09-36	2 monitors	19,000	3,000	22,000
	09-37	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-38	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
	09-39	federal \$	18,500	1,400	19,000
	09-40	16	60,000	5,200	65,200
	09-41	2	20,160	355	20,515
	09-42	7	19,171	2,000	21,171
	09-44	6 linguistic support	57,513	7,100	64,613
	09-46	federal \$	18,500	1,400	19,400
	09-47	1 installer; 4 monitors	17,471	1,600	19,071
	09-48	16	72,491	7,890	80,381
	09-49	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-50	15	34,557	2,000	36,557
	09-52	4 linguistic support	38,342	2,840	41,182
	09-53	2	20,000	2,000	22,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Orange (cont'd)	09-54		18,327	3,050	21,377
	09-55	2 linguistic support	19,171	1,240	20,411
	09-56	2 monitors	19,200	2,100	21,300
	09-57	federal \$	18,500	2,800	21,300
	09-58		82,928	12,200	95,128
	09-60	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-61	1 installer; 3 monitors	3,050	1,600	4,650
	09-62	15	34,557	1,600	36,157
	09-63	Case is pending, no results to report.			
	09-64	federal \$	18,500	1,400	19,900
	09-65	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-66	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
Riverside	08-60		77,960	3,500	81,460
	08-61		45,995	3,500	49,495
	08-62	2	19,270	2,300	21,570
	08-63	2 interceptors; 1 technician specialist	32,144	2,600	34,744
	09-01	3 DEA personnel; 2 monitors; 7 task force; 5 others	25,568	2,600	28,168
	09-02	Installer; monitors	50,000	2,500	52,500
	09-03	\$54,852	57,514	3,800	116,166
	09-05		78,907	1,875	80,782
	09-06	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-08	3 DEA; 7 task force; 5 other; 2 monitors	26,717	2,600	29,317

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	09-10	3 monitors per day/ federal \$ only	19,150	1,800	20,950
	09-07	Installer; monitor	50,000	2,500	52,500
	09-11		0	2,600	2,600
	09-13		48,000	3,860	51,860
	09-14	Installer; monitors	50,000	2,500	52,500
	09-16	1 technician; 3 officers	5,400	1,850	7,250
	09-17	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-18	1 technician; 2 monitors	39,147	1,730	40,877
	09-19				0
	09-20	2	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-21	1 technician; 2 monitors	57,993	4,100	62,093
	09-22	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-23	2	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-24	2	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-25	Installer; monitor	50,000	2,500	52,500
	09-26	1 installer; 2 monitors	18,000	6,000	24,000
	09-28	2	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-29	1 monitor	9,600	2,250	11,850
	09-30	1 technician; 2 monitors	13,180	1,600	14,780
	09-31	1 technician; 2 monitors	5,391	1,600	6,991
	09-32	2	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-33	16	121,000	7,200	128,200
	09-34	2 monitors per day/ Federal	72,000	8,000	80,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	09-35	n/a (included in Resource Cost)	42,304	22,071	64,375
	09-37	1 technician; 2 monitors	19,242	1,430	20,672
	09-38	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-39	n/a (included in Resource Cost)	14,304	4,280	18,584
	09-41	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-40	2	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-42	NEVER INSTALLED			
	09-43	1	INSTALLED BUT NOT USED		
	09-44		63,000	3,860	66,860
	09-45	2 interceptors; 1 technician specialist	30,598	2,600	33,198
	09-46	1 monitor	9,600	2,250	11,850
	09-47	n/r	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-48	n/r	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-49	2 interceptors; 1 technician specialist	33,587	2,000	35,587
	09-50	2 interceptors; 1 technician specialist	33,587	2,000	35,587
	09-51	1 technician; 2 monitors	19,331	1,175	20,506
	09-52	14	33,978	1,400	35,378
	09-53	\$119,414	57,514	3,800	180,728
	09-54	n/r	18,000	1,800	19,800
	09-55	1 monitor	9,600	2,250	11,850
	09-56	1 technician; 2 monitors	10,184	1,600	11,784
	09-57	2 interceptors; 1 technician specialist	26,968	4,000	30,868
	09-58	1 installer; 2 monitors	10,500	2,000	12,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	09-59	3 DEA personnel; 7 DEA task force officers; 2 monitors	58,450	2,600	61,050
	09-60	*Costs related to 09-52			
	09-61	2 task force officers	8,168	1,700	9,868
	09-62	3 DEA SA; 7 TFO's; 2 monitors	25,100	2,600	27,700
	09-63	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
	09-64	1 technician; 2 monitors	34,000	3,000	37,000
	09-65	10	18,000	1,450	19,450
	09-66	2 interceptors; 1 technician specialist	31,347	2,600	33,947
	09-68	NOT INSTALLED			
Sacramento	08-03	Special Agents; monitors	398,908	25,615	424,523
	09-01/02	law enforcement officers	11,182	2,780	13,962
	09-03	*Costs related to 08-03			
	09-04	*Costs related to 08-03			
	09-05	*Costs related to 08-03			
	09-06	*Costs related to 08-03			
	09-07	*Costs related to 08-03			
	09-08	Law enforcement officers	45,080	23,000	68,080
San Bernardino	08-84	10 officers; 2 civilian monitors	67,397	6,800	74,197
	08-91	5 officers; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	15,400	2,000	17,400
	08-93	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors	38,640	4,600	43,240
	08-101	2 officers; 1 monitor; 1 technician	39,360	5,000	44,360
	08-102	3 monitors	28,757	4,200	32,957
	08-103	5 officers; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	15,400	2,000	17,400

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	08-104	None	0	1,400	1,400
	08-105	12 officers	143,092	3,000	146,092
	08-106	10 DEA; 2 monitors	13,736	1,600	15,336
	08-107	7 monitors; 1 technician	19,356	3,000	22,356
	08-108	2 monitors per day/ federal \$ only	36,000	6,000	42,000
	08-109	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	50,732	3,600	54,332
	08-111	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-01	8 officers; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,000	2,000	22,000
	09-02	9 officers; 9 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	144,038	7,000	151,038
	09-03	2 civilian monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-04	8 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	57,711	3,200	60,911
	09-05	5 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,370	2,600	21,970
	09-06	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	28,075	2,600	30,675
	09-07	1 officer; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	19,680	2,500	22,180
	09-08	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	9,000	2,000	11,000
	09-09	5 officers; 3 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	23,000	3,000	26,000
	09-10	11 officers; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	20,000	3,000	23,000
	09-11	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	74,240	5,000	79,240
	09-12	2 officers; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	70,084	3,200	73,284
	09-13	2 officers; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-14	5 officers; 3 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	12,000	625	12,625

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-15	1 officer; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	34,470	1,800	36,270
	09-16	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-17	2 officers; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-18	1 officer; 1 technician	72,744	1,000	73,744
	09-19	1 officer; 1 technician	19,680	2,500	22,180
	09-20	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	222,720	8,000	230,720
	09-21	*Costs related to 08-11 & 09-38			
	09-22	9 officers; 1 technician	25,000	5,000	30,000
	09-23	3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	14,698	2,300	16,998
	09-24	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,910	775	20,685
	09-25	10 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	24,435	1,700	26,135
	09-26	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,200	2,000	21,200
	09-27	4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	7,030	3,000	10,030
	09-28	5 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	36,874	2,600	39,474
	09-29	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	134,400	10,400	144,800
	09-30	2 officers; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-31	1 officer; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	26,420	1,800	28,220
	09-32	8 officers	18,352	5,263	23,615
	09-33	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	21,200	2,000	23,200
	09-34	1 officer; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	30,500	1,800	32,300
	09-35	7 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	38,225	2,600	40,825

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-36	3 officers; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-37	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	25,562	2,500	28,062
	09-38	*Costs related to 08-11 & 09-21			
	09-39	3 officers; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-40	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	230,400	12,000	242,400
	09-41	7 officers; 4 civilian monitors	78,000		78,000
	09-42	8 officers	19,624	8,351	27,975
	09-43	9 officers; 7 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	36,600	1,800	38,400
	09-44	3 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	36,000	4,000	40,000
	09-45	9 officers; 1 technician	75,000	5,500	80,500
	09-46	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors	19,171	2,000	21,171
	09-47	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	116,880	6,800	123,680
	09-48	3 civilian monitors	191,171	1,600	20,171
	09-49				
	09-50	2 civilian monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-51	2 officers; 4 civilian monitors 2 technicians	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-52	4 officers; 2 civilian monitors 1 technician	20,000	2,600	22,600
	09-53	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-54	4 officers; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	30,000	5,200	35,200
	09-55	6 officers; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	22,000	13,000	35,000
	09-56	4 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,000	5,200	25,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-57	4 officers; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	15,000	5,200	20,200
	09-58	2 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	15,000	3,000	18,000
	09-59	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-60	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-61	2 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,171	2,000	21,171
	09-62	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	74,240	5,600	79,840
	09-63	9 officers; 2 technicians	10,000	3,000	13,000
	09-64	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	3,195	2,300	5,495
	09-65	2 officers; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	33,645	2,600	36,245
	09-66	1 officer; 1 technician	5,000	2,050	7,050
	09-67	1 officer; 5 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	10,000	2,000	12,000
	09-68	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-69	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-70	2 officers; 1 technician	10,000	2,000	12,000
	09-71	2 officers; 4 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-72	2 officers; 4 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-73	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	76,160	7,600	83,760
	09-74	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	38,000	2,800	40,800
	09-75	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-76	9 officers; 2 technicians	25,000	3,500	28,500
	09-77	NOT INSTALLED			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-78	2 officers; 4 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	38,000	4,000	42,000
	09-79	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	30,748	1,750	32,498
	09-80	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	57,600	5,400	63,000
	09-81	2 officers	4,000	1,500	5,500
	09-82	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,200	2,000	21,200
	09-83	9 officers; 7 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	18,300	1,800	20,100
	09-84	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	3,520	1,600	5,120
	09-85	2 officers; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	40,000	5,000	45,000
	09-86	2 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	17,280	2,500	19,780
	09-87	1 civilian monitor	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-88	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,680	2,500	22,180
	09-89	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	27,250	1,700	28,950
	09-90	19 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	86,000	2,600	88,600
	09-91	2 officers; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	40,000	5,000	45,000
	09-92	5 monitors per day/ federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	09-93	1 technician	19,331	1,175	20,506
	09-94	1 officer 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	39,000	5,000	44,000
	09-95	1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	37,760	2,800	40,560
	09-96	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	3,280	2,500	5,780
	09-97	1 officer; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	11,152	2,000	13,152
	09-98		2,533	1,200	3,733

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	09-100	8 officers	2,695	3,758	6,453
	09-101	9 officers; 1 technician	540	650	1,180
	09-102	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	38,400	4,000	42,400
	09-103	5 officers	10,000	1,400	11,400
	09-104	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-105	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-107	9 officers; 1 technician	540	460	1,000
	09-108	NOT INSTALLED			
	09-109	2 officers; 8 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	36,000	2,900	38,900
	09-110	9 officers; 1 technician	6,480	520	7,000
	09-111	2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,000	2,500	22,500
	09-112	3 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	6,912	760	7,672
	09-113	2 officers; 3 civilian monitors	19,200	1,650	20,850
	09-114	1 officer; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	19,680	2,500	22,180
	09-116	2 civilian monitors; 2 technicians	19,000	2,000	21,000
	09-123	4 officers; 1 technician	3,480	1,650	5,130
	09-124	4 officers; 1 civilian monitor; 1 technician	78,720	10,000	88,720
	09-125	9 officers	1,320	490	1,810
	09-126	3 officers; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	3,048	750	3,798
	09-130	8 officers	20,000	2,400	22,400
	09-131	8 officers	20,000	14,000	34,000
San Diego	08-68	2 monitors per day	98,000	4,000	102,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Diego (cont'd)	08-75	6 monitors per day	126,578	3,000	129,578
	08-82	8 monitors per day	151,397	5,000	156,397
	08-86	2 monitors per day	9,927	1,000	10,927
	09-01	4 translators monitoring per day	7,451	370	7,821
	09-02	4 monitors	31,000	1,000	32,000
	09-03	*Costs related to 09-09			
	09-04	6 monitors per day for 60 days	45,600	90,000	135,600
	09-05	*Costs related to 09-09			
	09-06	*Costs related to 09-17			
	09-07	*Costs related to 09-16			
	09-08	*Costs related to 09-16			
	09-09	1,344 monitor hours	73,034	5,400	78,434
	09-10	*Costs related to 09-14			
	09-11	*Costs related to 09-17			
	09-12	*Costs related to 09-26			
	09-13	*Costs related to 09-14			
	09-14	Monitors + certified deputies	53,842	12,000	65,852
	09-15	2 monitors	45,000	2,000	47,000
	09-16	Spanish monitors/transcripts	82,256	12,366	94,622
	09-17	Metropolitan, Inc./ 15 monitors	384,360	65,800	450,160
	09-18	*Costs related to 09-26			
	09-19	2 monitors	4,000	500	4,500
	09-20	4 monitors	177,000	5,000	182,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Diego (cont'd)	09-21	2 monitors	34,000	2,000	36,000
	09-22	1 monitor	18,000	1,000	19,000
	09-23	*Costs related to 09-26			
	09-24	4 monitors	65,000	2,000	67,000
	09-25	*Costs related to 09-26			
	09-26	Monitors for 2 shifts	126,040	14,190	140,230
	09-27	4 monitors	80,000	2,000	82,000
	09-28	3 contract monitors	23,158	2,063	25,231
San Joaquin	09-01	Police officers	34,163	849	35,012
	09-02	7	78,374	25,600	103,974
	09-02-1	*Costs related to 09-02			
	09-02-2	*Costs related to 09-02			
	09-02-3	*Costs related to 09-02			
San Luis Obispo	09-001				0
	09-001a				0
	09-002				0
San Mateo	08-01	Monitors; Transcribers; Agents	184,000	24,000	208,000
	08-03	*Costs related to 08-01			
Santa Barbara	09-003	Metropolitan Interpreter & Translators, Inc.	47,928	2,100	50,028
	SM09-01	Contract monitors - 1 person per shift/ 2 shifts per day	17,000	2,500	19,500
	SM09-02	Contract monitors - 1 person per shift/ 2 shifts per day	12,142	2,060	14,202
	SM09-02(A)	NEVER INSTALLED			
	SM09-003	LA CLEAR - 4; Lompoc PD - 10	LA CLEAR (unknown); Lompoc PD - 32,639	Lompoc PD - 100	32,739

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Santa Clara	09-01	Approximately 464 linguist hours	18,585	10,250	28,835
	09-02	Not available	0	625	625
	09-03	50 investigators/ monitors/etc.	224,030	8,120	232,150
	09-04	*Costs related to 09-03			
	09-05	Not available	15,744	2,800	18,544
	09-06	Not available	31,488	5,600	37,088
	09-07	Not available	31,933	8,400	40,333
Shasta	09-023	*Costs related to DEA Case #R709-002			
Stanislaus	09-01	6	40,000	2,000	42,000
	09-02	3	30,000	2,000	32,000
	09-03	3	30,000	2,000	32,000
	09-04	2 monitors	13,963	3,000	16,963
	09-05	2 monitors	27,926	2,000	29,926
Sutter	09-01	8 people for straight time & overtime	5,000	624	5,624
	09-02	8 people for straight time & overtime	10,000	1,620	11,620
	09-03	8 people for straight time & overtime	300	560	860
	09-04	8 people for straight time & overtime	5,000	680	5,680
Tulare	09-01	DOJ Agents; TPD Detectives	195,692	5,700	201,392
Ventura	09-01	44 Peace Officers	104,919	9,984	114,903
	09-01b	*Costs related to 09-01			
	09-01c	*Costs related to 09-01			
	09-02	4 monitors	19,747	800	20,547
	09-03		23,000	2,000	25,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2009

Reporting Jurisdiction	Ref. No.	Nature & Quantity of Personnel Used*	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Ventura (cont'd)	09-04	2 monitors	19,000	1,000	20,000
	09-05	4 monitors	19,747	800	20,547
	09-06		62,000	4,000	66,000
	09-07	2	21,000	1,000	22,000
	09-08	12 monitors	59,241	2,400	61,641
	09-09	2	21,000	1,200	22,200
	090-10		79,000	4,000	83,000
	09-11	4	40,000	2,200	42,200
	09-12		51,000		0
	09-13		79,000	4,000	83,000
	09-14	2	21,000	1,200	22,200
	09-15	12 monitors	59,241	2,400	61,641
	09-16	2	21,000	1,200	22,200
	09-17	8 monitors	39,494	1,600	41,094
	09-18	21	19,271	1,220	20,491
	09-19	12 monitors	59,241	2,400	61,641
	09-20	2	21,000	1,000	22,000
	09-21	2	21,000	1,000	22,000
	09-22	12 monitors	59,241	2,400	61,641
	09-23		80,000	5,400	85,400
	09-24	*Costs related to 09-15			
	09-25		119,000	6,000	125,000

*Costs related to Reference No(s). listed for that county

Table 7
***Jurisdictions Reporting No
 Electronic Interception Activity During
 Calendar Year 2009***

Alpine	Madera	San Francisco
Amador	Marin	Santa Cruz
Calaveras	Mariposa	Sierra
Colusa	Mendocino	Siskiyou
Del Norte	Modoc	Solano
El Dorado	Mono	Sonoma
Glenn	Monterey	Tehama
Humboldt	Napa	Trinity
Inyo	Nevada	Tuolumne
Kings	Placer	Yolo
Lake	Plumas	Yuba
Lassen	San Benito	

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
					Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Alameda	2008	4/22/08	08-01						2		12	illegal firearms; narcotics; gang; assault; carjacking
Contra Costa	2008	6/4/08	1		12	narcotics; firearms; gang and conspiracy					6	narcotics; gang; grand theft; firearms
	2008	8/22/08	2		6	narcotics; firearms; gang and conspiracy					4	narcotics; firearms
	2008	9/02/08	3		5	narcotics; conspiracy					2	narcotics; conspiracy
Fresno	2008	5/7/08									1	narcotics
Kern	2008	3/28/08	08-02		5	conspiracy robbery				4	1	conspiracy robbery
	2008	7/22/08	08-08		11	narcotics; conspiracy					11	narcotics; conspiracy
	2008	10/29/08	08-12		46	narcotics; conspiracy					14	narcotics; conspiracy
Madera	2008	5/1/08	2								6	narcotics
Orange	2008	1/14/08	08-02		16	narcotics					9	narcotics
	2007	7/27/07	07-65		15	narcotics/gangs					8	narcotics/gangs
Sacramento	2006	1/19/07			2	murder	1				1	murder
	2007	7/18/07			14	narcotics; conspiracy					6	conspiracy to sell meth and cocaine

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
					Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
San Diego	2007		07-85		3	murder				1	accessory after fact with gang allegation enhancement	
	2007		07-90				2			2	murder/ conspiracy to obstruct justice	
	2007		07-54 07-62 07-74 07-80							1	narcotic distribution	
	2007		07-70 07-68 07-73		9	murder						
	2007		07-22 07-34 07-49				1			2	murder	
	2008		08-13 08-19							2	narcotic distribution	
	2008		08-35 08-48							1	narcotic distribution	
	2008		08-37 08-41 08-47 08-55 08-62 08-63							1	narcotic distribution	
	2008		08-77 08-78 08-84							2	narcotic distribution	

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
					Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
San Diego (cont'd)	2008		08-09 08-15 08-29 08-46							4	gangs/pimping	
	2008		08-23							2	narcotic distribution	
Stanislaus	2008	1/8/08	08-01									
	2008	1/4/08	08-02									
	2008	2/12/08	08-03									
	2008	3/4/08	08-04		16	manufacturing narcotics		1		12	narcotics	
	2008	6/11/08	08-07		5	manufacturing narcotics						
	2008	6/26/08	08-09		3	manufacturing narcotics						
	2008									3	narcotics	
Tulare	2008	6/6/08	07-233							6	gang; conspiracy; burglary; receiving stolen property	

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62

“Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire, electronic pager, and electronic cellular telephone devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the approximate nature and frequency of incriminating communications intercepted, (B) the approximate nature and frequency of other communications intercepted, (C) the approximate number of persons whose communications were intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (8) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire, electronic pager, or electronic cellular telephone communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.