

# Spectroscopic monitoring of 10 new northern slowly pulsating B star candidates discovered from the HIPPARCOS mission<sup>\*</sup>

P. Mathias<sup>1</sup>, C. Aerts<sup>2</sup>, M. Briquet<sup>3</sup>, P. De Cat<sup>2</sup>, J. Cuypers<sup>4</sup>, H. Van Winckel<sup>2,\*\*</sup>, and J. M. Le Contel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Observatoire de la Côte d’Azur, Departement Fresnel, UMR 6528, BP 4229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

<sup>2</sup> Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>3</sup> Institut d’Astrophysique et de Géophysique, Avenue de Cointe 5, 4000 Liège, Belgium

<sup>4</sup> Koninklijke Sterrenwacht van België, Ringlaan 3, 1180 Brussel, Belgium

Received 6 July 2001 / Accepted 27 September 2001

**Abstract.** A one-year follow-up campaign of high-resolution, high-signal-to-noise spectroscopy for 10 candidate slowly pulsating B stars, which were discovered from the HIPPARCOS astrometric mission, shows that all stars exhibit line-profile variability. From our data, and from the HIPPARCOS photometry, we conclude that all but one of the targets provide evidence of multiperiodicity, with periods of the order of days, confirming their pulsational nature. Thus they are confirmed slowly pulsating B stars. We summarize the pulsation periods and  $Q$ -values and select the most interesting targets for very-long-term follow-up observations with the goal of performing asteroseismology.

**Key words.** stars: variables: slowly pulsating B stars – stars: oscillations – line: profiles – stars: binaries: spectroscopic

## 1. Introduction

In the coming decade, a large step forward is expected in the detailed knowledge of the internal structure of stars through the technique of asteroseismology. The goal of this, relatively new, research domain is to derive the internal processes of stars with unprecedented precision through a detailed study of their non-radial oscillations. The predictive power of helioseismology performed on SoHO data has given rise to the development of several future space missions devoted to seismological studies of stars across the whole HR diagram. These missions, of which the launches are foreseen in the time frame 2002–2004, are currently in full preparation.

This paper deals with a class of non-radial pulsators along the main sequence, namely the slowly pulsating B stars (hereafter termed SPBs – see Waelkens 1991 for the definition of the class of variables). These stars are multiperiodic high-order low-degree gravity-mode oscillators with masses in the range 3–9  $M_{\odot}$ .

The HIPPARCOS mission led to the discovery of many new SPB candidates (Waelkens et al. 1998, Paper I). In

view of their potential for asteroseismology, Aerts et al. (1999, Paper II) selected twelve bright stars of this sample, together with five previously known SPBs, for spectroscopic and photometric monitoring in the Southern Hemisphere. Of these seventeen stars, with spectral types ranging from B2 up to B9, two were misclassified since their line-profile variability indicates chemical inhomogeneities at the rotating stellar surface rather than pulsation. Additionally, one star is an ellipsoidal variable with an orbital period of 1.7 days. These stars are not SPBs. About half of the remaining stars in the sample presented in Paper II turns out to be close spectroscopic binaries. Their orbital parameters were derived by De Cat et al. (2000, Paper III). De Cat (2001) performed a detailed frequency analysis and a first attempt of mode identification for all targets. All true SPBs selected in Paper II exhibit clear line-profile variability. Thus a study of the latter in principle allows us to derive the characteristics of the  $g$ -mode pulsations in full detail, provided that the overall beat-period is well covered. This is a large observational challenge, but is feasible if one has permanent access to a moderate-size telescope equipped with an Echelle spectrograph.

The main goal of this paper is to derive the basic pulsational characteristics of a sample of bright northern SPBs to increase the sample selected in Paper II. To confirm the pulsational nature of the targets, we have started a long-term spectroscopic campaign with the 1.52 m

*Send offprint requests to:* P. Mathias,  
e-mail: mathias@obs-nice.fr

<sup>\*</sup> Based on observations obtained at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Postdoctoral Fellow, Fund for Scientific Research, Flanders.

**Table 1.** Overview of the spectroscopic campaigns. Columns are self-explanatory.

Period	Nights	Observer(s)
February 98	7	Mathias
June 98	5	Mathias
July 98	8	De Cat
August 98	6	Van Winckel
October 98	6	Mathias
December 98	8	Mathias
April 99	7	Aerts/Mathias
May 99	6	Briquet/Le Contel

telescope, equipped with the spectrograph AURELIE, at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence over 1.5 years. In this paper, we report on the first results from this campaign for the 10 target stars, as well as on a search for multiperiodicity in the HIPPARCOS photometry. A second paper will be devoted to the detailed study of the line-profile variations of one target, with the goal of identifying the pulsation modes.

## 2. Observations and data reductions

Observations were obtained in 1998–1999 with the AURELIE spectrograph (Gillet et al. 1994) at the Coudé focus of the 1.52 m telescope situated at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence. The detector was a mono-dimensional CCD, having a pixel size of  $13 \mu\text{m}$ . The observation journal is provided in Table 1.

The spectral range was  $[4085\text{--}4155] \text{ \AA}$ , centered around the Si II doublet  $\lambda\lambda$  4128, 4130, and containing also H $\delta$  and the He I lines  $\lambda\lambda$  4026, 4120 and 4143. The resolving power was around 15 000 ( $8.1 \text{ \AA mm}^{-1}$ ). Each spectrum has been corrected for the pixel-to-pixel response by flat-field and offset spectra. The wavelength calibration was based upon about 30 lines of a thorium lamp. Finally, the spectra were normalized to the continuum by a cubic spline function. The target list, together with the observation parameters, are given in Table 2.

## 3. Programme stars

The programme stars were selected from Paper I. Some physical characteristics are provided in Table 3. From the  $\log L/L_{\odot}$  provided in Paper I and the use of the available Geneva photometric indexes,  $\log T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$  were interpolated from the calibration of North & Nicolet (1990). Then, masses were interpolated from the evolutionary tracks of Schaller et al. (1992), from which stellar radii were deduced. We derived an upper limit for  $v \sin i$  from the Fourier Transform of both Si II lines, averaged on all our spectra.

For each programme star, we measured the radial velocities associated with 3 lines: both lines of the Si II doublet and the H $\delta$  line. First a Gaussian fit applied to the

**Table 2.** Observation summary for the different targets. The columns are: the HD number of the SPB, its HR number (and name), the number of recorded spectra, the covered observations range (in days), the averaged exposure time (in minutes), and the  $1\text{-}\sigma$  averaged signal-to-noise ratio.

HD	HR (name)	Spectra	Range	Exposure	$S/N$
1976	91	26	197	58	140
21071	1029	35	436	64	80
25558	1253 (40 Tau)	25	313	59	140
28114	1397	17	305	64	90
138764	5780	16	185	58	130
140873	5863	14	186	60	110
147394	6092 ( $\tau$ Her)	280	460	15	190
182255	7358 (3 Vul)	53	348	48	150
206540	8292	25	159	77	100
208057	8356 (16 Peg)	36	201	52	140

whole profile (only the line core for H $\delta$ ) was considered. However, because of the distortion from symmetry associated with the non-radial pulsations, strongly present for the Si II doublet, we also considered the velocity associated with the first moment of these lines (for a definition, see e.g. Aerts et al. 1992). Sometimes large discrepancies occur between values determined from different methods and/or lines. In particular, the amplitudes associated with H $\delta$  are larger than those corresponding to the Si II doublet. These different velocity values occur for all stars and are a consequence of the limited resolving power and  $S/N$  ratio of our spectra compared to those presented in e.g. Papers II and III. The differences are particularly striking for our rapid rotators, since here the broadening affects particularly faint lines. To reduce the noise in our velocities, we just consider hereafter the mean value related to the above-mentioned lines and methods.

Once the different radial velocity variations are obtained, a frequency analysis was performed on them, as well as on the HIPPARCOS photometry. The latter data were specifically used to search for multiperiodicity. Four different period-search methods, adapted to unequally spaced data, were used to perform the frequency analyses: Fourier analysis, CLEAN (Roberts et al. 1987), Vanicek (1971), and PDM (Stellingwerf 1978). Each periodogram was computed in the  $[0; 3] \text{ c d}^{-1}$  interval. For the CLEAN method, 100 iterations were performed, with a 0.5 gain. For the PDM method, the bin structure was (5, 2). We emphasize that the CLEAN and Vanicek algorithms are not independent. Moreover, they are both based on Fourier analysis. Both methods try to deconvolve alias frequencies from the periodogram. Since there is always the risk of removing a real frequency instead of its alias, we preferred to use both methods. The PDM method is based on a different principle and offers an independent check of the results. We accepted a frequency when it was found by each of the four methods.

**Table 3.** Summary of the physical characteristics of the programme stars. For each star we give the HD number, the spectral type, the  $V$ -magnitude,  $\log T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\log L/L_{\odot}$  and  $\log g$  provided by HIPPARCOS and Geneva photometry (see text), stellar masses and radii interpolated from Schaller et al. (1992), and the projected rotation velocity we measured from the Si II doublet. Note that, because we were unable to remove the lines of the companion for HD 140873, the corresponding  $v \sin i$  value is omitted.

HD	ST	$V$	$\log T_{\text{eff}}$	$\log L/L_{\odot}$	$\log g$	$M/M_{\odot}$	$R/R_{\odot}$	$v \sin i$
1976	B5 IV	5.6	4.20	2.92	4.07	5.0	3.41	<140
21071	B7 V	6.1	4.15	2.53	4.36	4.1	2.21	<62
25558	B3 V	5.3	4.23	2.81	4.21	5.1	2.93	<22
28114	B6 IV	6.1	4.16	3.02	4.03	5.0	3.57	<11
138764	B6 IV	5.2	4.15	2.69	4.24	4.3	2.60	<13
140873	B8 III	5.4	4.15	2.44	4.37	3.9	2.13	-
147394	B5 IV	3.9	4.17	3.33	4.08	5.6	3.57	<36
182255	B6 III	5.2	4.15	2.60	4.28	4.2	2.46	<14
206540	B5 IV	6.1	4.14	2.81	4.14	4.5	2.99	<10
208057	B3 Ve	5.1	4.23	2.87	4.12	5.2	3.28	<110

We also point out that the variable exposure times do not introduce smoothing effects on the frequency spectra, since the temporal resolution, i.e. the ratio of the exposure time to the pulsation period, is less than 5.2% for each measurement.

The HIPPARCOS data do not lead to severe alias problems. Moreover they have a time base of some 3.3 years, which is much longer than for the spectra. The space photometry is therefore most useful for the frequency analysis. As shown by De Cat (2001) through his detailed frequency analysis of data of bright southern SPBs, the HIPPARCOS data allow the detection of multi-periodicity for bright stars. In the following we provide the significance of the frequencies based on the HIPPARCOS data by means of the formula provided by Kovacs (1981):

$$\sigma_f = \frac{\sqrt{2}a\sigma_N}{\sqrt{N}AT}, \quad (1)$$

with  $\sigma_N$  the standard deviation due to the errors of the measurements,  $A$  the amplitude, and  $T$  the total time span of the data. We have substituted the value of  $a = 0.55$  (as is usually done) for the unknown parameter  $a$ . Each of the accepted frequencies is determined with the accuracy provided by (1); candidate frequencies that need further observational verification are calculated to a lesser accuracy in order to limit the calculation time; for these frequencies we do not indicate the significance level. At the end of the section we provide a table with information on the used HIPPARCOS data and a summary of the frequency analysis, and the relevant standard deviations.

In the following parts of this section, we describe the results for each target star. All stars exhibit line-profile variations. We chose to show line profiles only for those stars whose variations are clear from visual inspection.

### 3.1. HD 1976

The standard deviation in the HIPPARCOS photometry amounts to 0.0106 mag for HD 1976. A first very clear frequency of  $f_1 = 0.93914 \pm 0.00003 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  has an

amplitude of 0.0097 mag. After prewhitening, we clearly find a second frequency of  $f_2 = 0.39934 \pm 0.00004 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of 0.0060 mag. The remaining standard deviation after prewhitening with these two frequencies is 0.0062 mag. After a next round of prewhitening, two candidate frequencies, one around  $0.6230 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  and one around  $0.8126 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ , emerge, but they reduce the standard deviation to only 0.0059 mag and cannot be accepted as confirmed. The phase plots for the two accepted frequencies are shown in Fig. 1.


Because of the large projected rotational velocity, spectral variations are present but difficult to visualize. The peak-to-peak variation corresponding to the H $\delta$  line is some  $50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , a value larger than that associated with the metallic lines ( $30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). Both large variations are connected to the orbital motion of the star which has an amplitude around  $23.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Tokovinin 1997). This author mentions a 25.44 d orbital period. When we remove the orbital motion from the radial-velocity variations before the frequency analysis is performed, the peak-to-peak variations become of the order of  $16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , but the uncertainty is about  $3.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

None of the HIPPARCOS frequencies are dominant in the different power spectra of the frequency analyses of the radial velocity curves. No well-defined peaks are present at all. There is some indication for the second frequency  $f_2$  to be present in the line-profile variations. A formal periodic fit with the frequency  $f_2$  found in the HIPPARCOS data leads to an amplitude of  $2.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and hardly reduces the standard deviation.

Hence, the radial-velocity variations of this spectroscopic binary seem to be dominated by a different frequency than the HIPPARCOS photometry. Our spectra are not sufficiently numerous, and have a too low resolution, to identify the pulsation modes.

### 3.2. HD 21071

For HD 21071, the  $H_p$ -magnitudes have a standard deviation of 0.0175 mag. Two frequencies can be derived from




**Fig. 1.** Phase diagrams of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 1976. Top: fit for  $f_1 = 0.93914 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ; bottom: fit on the residuals for  $f_2 = 0.39934 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

the space data:  $f_1 = 1.18843 \pm 0.00003 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0190 \text{ mag}$  and  $f_2 = 1.14942 \pm 0.00006 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0090 \text{ mag}$ . They reduce the standard deviation to  $0.0058 \text{ mag}$ . The phase diagrams are plotted in Fig. 2.

Figure 3 represents the observed line-profile variations, slightly broadened by the moderate rotation. Clear modifications of the profile shapes with time are present.

The frequency analysis applied to the radial-velocity data leads to a common peak around  $1.14 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ , close to the  $f_2$  value derived from the photometry. Since our spectroscopic data set is not very large, we imposed the two frequencies  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  for a harmonic fit. This leads to amplitudes of  $3.3$  and  $0.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for, respectively,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . The phase diagram of the velocity variations for  $f_1$  is displayed in Fig. 4. The radial-velocity variations reach a peak-to-peak amplitude around  $13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This is a large variation for an SPB (for typical values, see also Papers II and III).




**Fig. 2.** Phase diagrams of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 21071. Top: fit for  $f_1 = 1.18843 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ; bottom: fit on the residuals for  $f_2 = 1.14942 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

Thus this SPB is clearly multiperiodic. More and higher quality spectroscopic data are needed to derive the spherical wavenumbers of the pulsation.


### 3.3. HD 25558

For HD 25558, only one clear frequency emerges from the  $H_p$ -data, which have an original standard deviation of  $0.0142 \text{ mag}$ . This frequency,  $f_1 = 0.65284 \pm 0.00003 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ , has an amplitude of  $0.0181 \text{ mag}$  and reduces the standard deviation to  $0.0067 \text{ mag}$  (see Fig. 5). Two candidate frequencies appear after prewhitening:  $f_2 \simeq 0.7318 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  and  $f'_2 \simeq 1.9298 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ . They both additionally reduce the standard deviation by a millimag but cannot be accepted without further observational evidence.

The profile variations are very well-marked (as seen in Fig. 6) and not broadened too much by rotation. The different periodograms for the radial-velocity dataset show power in the neighbourhood of the frequency  $f_1$ , which accounts for  $\sim 52\%$  of the variance. A comparison between the formal fits with, on the one hand,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  and, on



**Fig. 3.** Line-profile variations of the Si II doublet  $\lambda\lambda$  4128–4130 for HD 21071. Observation dates are indicated on the right of the panel (+2450850 HJD).




**Fig. 4.** Phase diagram of the mean heliocentric velocities for the star HD 21071 for  $f_1$ . The main uncertainty in the radial velocity data is  $2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

the other hand,  $f_1$  and  $f'_2$  does not permit us to determine which secondary frequency should be preferred. We find amplitudes of respectively 2.1 and  $0.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for  $f_1$  and  $f_2/f'_2$ . The phase diagram corresponding to the frequency  $f_1$  for the spectroscopic data is represented in Fig. 7. The total peak-to-peak variation is of the order of  $7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .


Again, our dataset is insufficient to derive more detailed information on the pulsational velocity field of this star, for which the photometric variability points towards multiperiodicity.

### 3.4. HD 28114

Only 56  $H_p$  measurements were taken for HD 28114. The standard deviation of 0.0132 mag leaves no doubt,



**Fig. 5.** Phase diagram of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 25558. The fit is for  $0.65284 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .




**Fig. 6.** Same as Fig. 3, but for the star HD 25558.


however, that we are dealing with a variable star. We found  $f_1 = 0.79104 \pm 0.00005 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ , with an amplitude of 0.0159 mag, which leads to a remaining standard deviation of 0.0060 mag (see Fig. 8). The HIPPARCOS team gave a different frequency of  $0.93 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  (Paper I), but it reduces the standard deviation to only 0.0078 mag.

The low projected rotation velocity of the star allows us to see the line-profile variations immediately (Fig. 9). The velocity variations are spread over a  $\sim 7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  range, but most of the values are in a  $3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  range, the uncertainty being  $1.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The departures from this range are due to asymmetric and broad profiles (Fig. 9 for the 4.31 day spectrum for instance). We find an amplitude of  $\sim 1.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for  $f_1$ .

Wolff (1978) claims, from 9 low-resolution spectrograms ( $13.6 \text{ \AA mm}^{-1}$ ), that the star is a spectroscopic



**Fig. 7.** Same as Fig. 4, but for HD 25558 and for  $f_1$ . The uncertainty is  $1.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Note that the two points that have a high value near phase 1 correspond to asymmetric and broad profiles.




**Fig. 8.** Phase diagram of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 28114. The fit is for  $0.79104 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .


binary, with a  $0.2\text{--}0.4 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  orbital frequency and a peak-to-peak variation of  $13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . It is very likely that she misinterpreted the radial-velocity variations originating from the line-profile variability as being caused by a companion. Our 17 spectra are by far too few to disentangle the pulsational behaviour, but the clear profile variability allows us to conclude that the star pulsates with a velocity amplitude compatible with the one reported by Wolff (1978).

### 3.5. HD 138764

HD 138764 was already extensively studied in Paper II and in De Cat (2001) by means of spectra and photometry taken from La Silla in Chile. This star is also a slow rotator, with  $v \sin i = 18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and presents well-marked line-profile variations in our OHP spectra (Fig. 10).




**Fig. 9.** Same as Fig. 3, but for the star HD 28114.




**Fig. 10.** Same as Fig. 3 but concerning the star HD 138764.


A frequency search in the radial velocities led to the value provided in De Cat (2001),  $0.7944 \pm 0.0009 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ , and an amplitude of  $4.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , compatible with the results of Paper II. In De Cat (2001), an additional frequency of  $0.6372 \pm 0.0009 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  is reported. This frequency is also present in our radial velocity variations, and corresponds to a velocity amplitude  $\sim 2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The phase diagram of the radial-velocity variations for the main frequency is displayed in Fig. 11. The peak-to-peak amplitude is of the order of  $12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Paper II and De Cat (2001) contain a much more detailed analysis.



**Fig. 11.** Same as Fig. 4, but for HD 138764 and for  $f_1$ . Uncertainty on velocity data is  $1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .



**Fig. 13.** Same as Fig. 4, but for HD 140873 and for  $f_1$ . The velocity data have an uncertainty of  $2.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .




**Fig. 12.** Same as Fig. 3, but for the star HD 140873. Lines of the secondary are sometimes visible.

### 3.6. HD 140873

For the double-lined spectroscopic binary HD 140873, Paper II, Paper III and De Cat (2001), who studied this object by means of spectra and photometry taken from La Silla in Chile, contain relevant background information. As is shown in Paper III, the star is a spectroscopic binary with a 39 d orbital period and an eccentric orbit. Its large profile variations are due to both the pulsation of the primary, which has a quite high projected rotation velocity, and the presence of weak, sharp lines of the secondary (Fig. 12).

The orbital parameters from Paper III were used to compute the radial velocity curves in the frame of HD 140873. Our data are not numerous enough, and have a too low signal-to-noise ratio, to remove the lines of the companion. Therefore, no frequency search has




**Fig. 14.** Phase diagram of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 147394. The fit is for  $0.80027 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

been attempted on our radial velocity data. Imposing the  $1.1515 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  frequency reported in Paper II (a nearly identical value of  $1.1516 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  is obtained in Paper III) and in De Cat (2001) leads to an amplitude of  $2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 13).

### 3.7. HD 147394

The first frequency found in the  $H_p$ -data of HD 147394 is  $f_1 = 0.80027 \pm 0.00002 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0099 \text{ mag}$ . It reduces the standard deviation to  $0.0070 \text{ mag}$  (see Fig. 14). Several candidate frequencies emerge after prewhitening with  $f_1$ . They all reduce the standard deviation to about  $0.006 \text{ mag}$  but it is not clear which one is the most likely. After prewhitening with any of them, we find candidates for a third frequency which all differ from each other.

Adelman et al. (2001) report that on some of their high resolution, high signal-to-noise spectra, the metal lines are asymmetric. Line-profile variations have also been



**Fig. 15.** Same as Fig. 3, but for the star HD 147394. For a better visualisation, only a small fraction of the spectra are represented.


reported recently by Masuda & Hirata (2000), who obtained 30 spectra in 5 nights for He I  $\lambda\lambda 4471$  and Mg II  $\lambda\lambda 4481$ . From these limited data, these authors propose one frequency:  $0.855 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  or its alias  $1.866 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ . These two frequencies do not correspond to the main frequency found in the HIPPARCOS data and account for less than 2% of the radial velocity variations.

Our much more extensive dataset of 280 spectra provides a better view of the line-profile variations (see Fig. 15). All frequency analyses on the radial-velocity dataset indicate  $f_1$  without any doubt. It corresponds to an amplitude of  $1.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . A prewhitening of our data with that frequency leads to a second frequency at  $0.7813 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ . This latter is associated with an amplitude of  $1.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Together, these frequencies reduce the standard deviation with some 70%. The deduced phase diagrams of the radial-velocity variations for  $f_1$  are presented in Fig. 16. The total peak-to-peak variation is again of the order of  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

Briquet et al. (in preparation) present a much more detailed analysis and mode identification for this star.

### 3.8. HD 182255

The  $H_p$ -measurements of HD 182255 have a large standard deviation of  $0.0192 \text{ mag}$ . The main frequency  $f_1 = 0.79220 \pm 0.00001 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  emerges very clearly with an amplitude of  $0.0173 \text{ mag}$ . A large standard deviation of  $0.0127 \text{ mag}$  remains after prewhitening, and a second frequency  $f_2 = 0.97191 \pm 0.00001 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ , with an amplitude of  $0.0155 \text{ mag}$ , is easily found in the residuals, leading to a still large remaining standard deviation of  $0.0097 \text{ mag}$ . After subsequent prewhitening, a third frequency of




**Fig. 16.** Same as Fig. 4, but for HD 147394 and for  $f_1$ . The uncertainty on velocity data is  $1.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

$0.47233 \pm 0.00003 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  is clearly found with all methods, with an amplitude of  $0.0065 \text{ mag}$ . The three frequencies together reduce the standard deviation to  $0.0086 \text{ mag}$ . Two additional candidate frequencies are revealed after subsequent prewhitening. They occur in a less convincing way than the three first ones:  $1.14708 \pm 0.00004 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0058 \text{ mag}$  and  $0.65933 \pm 0.00005 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0046 \text{ mag}$ . If these fourth and fifth frequencies are real, this star could have a frequency quintuplet, a suggestion which certainly needs observational verification. The phase plots for the first three frequencies are shown in Fig. 17. After prewhitening with the five frequencies, we obtain a standard deviation of  $0.007 \text{ mag}$ .

Line-profile variations of this star were first reported by Hube & Aikman (1991), who observed “traveling bumps” in the Si II doublet profiles. This discovery led them to classify the star as a member of the 53 Per class introduced by Smith (1977). Some of the 53 Per stars of Smith’s original sample (see e.g. Chapellier et al. 1998) are the line-profile-variable analogues of the original sample of photometrically discovered SPBs by Waelkens (1991). All SPBs turn out to be line-profile variables as well (see Paper II). Therefore, HD 182255 must be regarded as an already known, confirmed SPB before the present study. Its clear line-profile variations (Fig. 18) are easily seen thanks to the low projected rotation velocity. These variations are superposed on line shifts due to orbital motion. Indeed, HD 182255 is a member of a single-lined spectroscopic binary which has an orbital period of 367 d (Hube & Aikman 1991). It is therefore necessary to remove the velocity associated with the binary motion before analysing the intrinsic variability. We have subtracted the orbital radial velocity by estimating the latter according to the orbital elements given in Hube & Aikman (1991).


The frequency analysis after removal of the orbital motion leads to the two frequencies  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . The first frequency found in the radial velocity data is  $f_1$  and after prewhitening we find  $f_2$  in the residuals. The amplitude of  $f_1$  amounts to  $3.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and the one of  $f_2$  is






**Fig. 17.** Phase diagrams of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 182255. The different panels display the data after subsequent prewhitening stages. From top to bottom:  $f_1 = 0.79220 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ,  $f_2 = 0.97191 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ,  $f_3 = 0.47233 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

around  $2.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The other frequencies are not significantly present in the spectroscopic data. The resulting phase diagram for  $f_1$ , free of the binary motion, is represented in Fig. 19.



**Fig. 18.** Same as Fig. 3, but for the star HD 182255.




**Fig. 19.** Same as Fig. 4, but for HD 182255 and for  $f_1$ . Note that the orbital motion has been removed first. Velocity uncertainty is  $1.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

Hence, HD 182255 has a complex pulsational pattern with at least three, and possibly five, non-radial gravity-modes. Since there are many modes excited in this SPB, our current spectroscopic data are unfortunately not numerous enough to perform detailed modeling of the  $g$ -modes.

### 3.9. HD 206540


The standard deviation of the HIPPARCOS dataset of HD 206540 is  $0.0132 \text{ mag}$ . The search for a main frequency results in two competing candidates, who are each other's aliases:  $f_1 = 0.65359 \pm 0.00004 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  and  $f'_1 = 0.76237 \pm 0.00004 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ . We are not able to prefer one above the other on the basis of the reduction in standard deviation, amplitude, or phase diagram (see Fig. 20). The HIPPARCOS team reports a frequency of  $0.69 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ,




**Fig. 20.** Phase diagrams of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 206540. Top: fit for  $0.6536 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ; bottom: fit for  $0.7624 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

which is close to the average of our two candidates. If we prewhiten with any of the two, we find clear evidence of additional frequencies, but the ones that are derived are all different for the different choices of the main and second frequencies. Therefore this star has a complex variability pattern in the space photometry, with at least three frequencies, but we are unable to derive one unique most likely triplet since several combinations of three periods lead to fits of the same quality.

Line-profile variations are well seen for this star (Fig. 21) with its low projected rotation velocity. The HIPPARCOS catalogue frequency  $0.694 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  is not present in our periodograms of the radial velocity dataset either. But our spectroscopic data are too limited to perform an independent frequency search. A comparison between sine-fits for  $f_1$  and  $f'_1$  leads us to conclude that  $f'_1$  is the dominant frequency in the radial-velocity variations. The corresponding phase diagram is shown in Fig. 22, from which we see that the total peak-to-peak variations can reach some  $12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .



**Fig. 21.** Same as Fig. 3, but for the star HD 206540.



**Fig. 22.** Same as Fig. 4, but for HD 206540 and for  $f'_1$ . The uncertainty on velocities is  $1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

### 3.10. HD 208057

The standard deviation in the  $H_p$ -data of the rapid rotator HD 208057 amounts to  $0.0114 \text{ mag}$ . Two frequencies emerge clearly from the period search:  $f_1 = 0.80172 \pm 0.00004 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0097 \text{ mag}$ , and  $f_2 = 0.89045 \pm 0.00004 \text{ c d}^{-1}$  with an amplitude of  $0.0079 \text{ mag}$ . They lead to a standard deviation of  $0.0058 \text{ mag}$  (see Fig. 23). After subsequent prewhitening we find additional evidence of another frequency, but we cannot choose between the three candidates around  $0.5844$ ,  $0.9406$ , and  $1.4403 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

This SPB has very broad lines due to the high projected rotation velocity. Therefore, line-profile variations, although present, are not easily seen. A frequency search on the radial-velocity datasets reveals a long period around 25 days, which might point towards binarity. In the range of SPBs-like periods no clear peak is present.

**Table 4.** Summary of the HIPPARCOS data used and of the results of the frequency analyses for 8 northern SPBs (for the results on HD 138764 and HD 140873 we refer to De Cat 2001).  $T$  stands for the total time span in days and  $N$  for the number of data points.  $\sigma$  denotes the original standard deviation of the data,  $\sigma_N$  the standard deviation due to the errors of the measurements, and  $\sigma_{\text{res}}$  the standard deviation of the residuals after prewhitening with all the listed frequencies  $f_p$ . All standard deviations are expressed in magnitudes. Successively are then given the accepted frequencies in the photometry ( $f_p$ ) and spectroscopy ( $f_s$ ) data [ $\text{cd}^{-1}$ ], and the pulsation constant  $Q_p$  [d] computed from the photometric frequencies.

HD	$T$	$N$	$\sigma$	$\sigma_N$	$\sigma_{\text{res}}$	$f_p$	$f_s$	$Q_p$
1976	1173	188	0.0106	0.0055	0.0062	0.93914	0.39934	0.38
						0.39934		0.89
21071	905	85	0.0175	0.0060	0.0059	1.18843	1.18843	0.52
						1.14942		0.54
25558	766	90	0.0142	0.0045	0.0057	0.65284	0.65284	0.69
						0.7318?		0.61
						1.9298?		0.23
28114	919	56	0.0132	0.0065	0.0076	0.79104	0.79104	0.42
						147394	1192	116
182255	1040	204	0.0192	0.0054	0.0086	0.79220	0.79220	0.67
						0.97191	0.97191	0.55
						0.47233		1.13
						1.14708?		0.46
						0.65933?		0.81
206540	1084	98	0.0132	0.0063	0.0076	0.65359	0.76237	0.63
						0.76237		0.54
208057	1113	88	0.0114	0.0047	0.0058	0.80172	0.89045	0.48
						0.89045		0.43

Therefore we imposed the two frequencies found in the space photometry. Both are present in the variations, the “dominant” one being  $f_2$ . The peak-to-peak amplitude is around  $13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with an uncertainty of the velocity data of  $2.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This, together with the observation that the average velocity changes from one observing season to another, makes us suspect that the variability in the radial velocity is not caused by pulsations alone. We, therefore, tentatively propose that HD 208057 is a long-period spectroscopic binary, although this star has no known companion (Abt & Cardona 1984).

#### 4. Summary


All 10 target stars show line-profile variability on a time-scale expected for non-radial  $g$ -mode pulsations in B-type stars. For all, but one, we find evidence of multiperiodicity in the HIPPARCOS data, although we are sometimes unable to derive the complete frequency sets.

HD 28114 is the only star found to be monophasic in the space photometry. However, while the photometric frequency reduces the standard deviation to the level of the noise in the Hipparcos data, this is not the case for spectroscopic variations. It would be safer to conclude that, if other frequencies exist, photometry of higher precision is needed to detect them. De Cat (2001) showed that some monophasic SPB candidates had to be reclassified as chemically peculiar stars, the variation being attributed to rotation. On the other hand, Adelman & Philip (1996) found the abundances of HD 28114 to be within the range

of values seen for superficially normal, main-sequence stars of similar temperature. Therefore, HD 28114 should be still considered as an SPB candidate only. We also remark that all of our other targets have never been mentioned as CP stars.

Table 4 summarizes the pulsation frequencies of the stars. The pulsation constant  $Q$  has been computed using the  $M/M_\odot$  and  $R/R_\odot$  values derived from the HIPPARCOS photometry provided in Table 3. Except for HD 1976 and HD 208057, the main photometric frequency is also the main spectroscopic frequency. This result shows that each star has a dominant non-radial low-degree  $g$ -mode. Both exceptions are rapid rotators, leading to a very bad determination of the velocity curve, and are a member or a suspected member of a binary system. The frequency found to be “dominant” in the spectra also accounts in both cases for less than 20% of the variation. Before concluding that for these two stars a low-degree non-radial  $g$ -mode is mainly responsible for the photometric variability while the spectral variations are dominated by a higher-degree mode, additional spectroscopic observations are necessary. Compatibility between the photometric and spectroscopic variations was in general also found by De Cat (2001) in his sample of southern SPBs.

For the pulsation constants  $Q_p$ , we find a range of 0.23–1.13 d with an average of 0.58 d. Again this is compatible with the results of De Cat (2001), who has treated a larger sample of SPBs with more extensive and higher quality data sets.



**Fig. 23.** Phase diagrams of the  $H_p$ -data of HD 208057. Top: fit for  $f_1 = 0.80172 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ ; bottom: fit on the residuals for  $f_2 = 0.89045 \text{ c d}^{-1}$ .

Our study clearly shows that very long-term spectroscopic monitoring is necessary to derive the frequencies and the character of the excited pulsation modes. Although our new spectroscopic data set has a time base of 1.5 years, we have sufficient spectra for only one star, HD 147394, to find multiperiodic signals in the line profiles

and use these to undertake a mode identification (Briquet et al., in preparation).

In general, the time basis and sampling is a crucial point to perform asteroseismology, and the only way to obtain the observational requirements is either to join efforts and perform international longitude campaigns such as those developed for the  $\delta$  Scuti stars (DELPHI) or the white dwarfs and sdB pulsators (WET) or to use dedicated telescopes during long observation runs.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors want to thank our referee, Dr. S. J. Adelman, for his constructive remarks.

## References

- Abt, H. A., & Cardona, O. 1984, *ApJ*, 285, 190  
 Adelman, S. J., Caliskan, H., Kocer, D., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 371, 1078  
 Adelman, S. J., & Davis Philip, A. G. 1996, *MNRAS*, 282, 1181  
 Aerts, C., De Cat, P., Peeters, E., et al. 1999, *A&A*, 343, 872 (Paper II)  
 Aerts, C., De Pauw, M., & Waelkens, C. 1992, *A&A*, 266, 294  
 Chapellier, E., Sadsaoud, H., Valtier, J.-C., et al. 1998, *A&A*, 331, 1046  
 De Cat, P. 2001, Ph.D. Thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium  
 De Cat, P., Aerts, C., De Ridder, J., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 355, 1015 (Paper III)  
 ESA, 1997, The HIPPARCOS and Tycho Catalogues, ESA SP-1200 (Noordwijk: ESA)  
 Gillet, D., Burnage, R., Kohler, D., et al. 1994, *A&A*, 108, 181  
 Hube, D. P., & Aikman, G. C. L. 1991, *PASP*, 103, 49  
 Kovacs, G. 1981, *Ap&SS*, 78, 175  
 Masuda, S., & Hirata, R. 2000, *A&A*, 356, 209  
 North, P., & Nicolet, B. 1990, *A&A*, 228, 78  
 Roberts, D. H., Lehár, J., & Dreher, J. W. 1987, *AJ*, 93, 968  
 Schaller, G., Schaerer, D., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 1992, *A&AS*, 96, 269  
 Smith, M. A. 1977, *ApJ*, 215, 574  
 Stellingwerf, R. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 953  
 Tokovinin, A. A. 1997, *A&AS*, 124, 75  
 Vanicek, P. 1971, *Ap&SS*, 12, 10  
 Waelkens, C. 1991, *A&A*, 246, 453  
 Waelkens, C., Aerts, C., Kestens, E., Grenon, M., & Eyer, L. 1998, *A&A*, 330, 215, Paper I  
 Wolff, S. C. 1978, *ApJ*, 222, 556