



# Vocabulary WG OKRs 2025



# Objective 1: **Support** vocabulary-related activities of workgroups and contributors

KR1: Hold regular **office hours** to address any questions from vocabulary users and contributors

KR2: **Talk to all WGs** on their vocab needs (call to action!)



## Objective 2: Increase Vocabularies content and process **transparency**

KR1: Run sessions for all **proposed changes** and improvements, both for community contributions and roadmap

KR2: Have at least two sessions with **external speakers** highlighting their experience with Vocabularies



# Objective 3: Enable vocabulary-related collaboration in the community

## KR1: Run a race/ethnicity vocabulary-a-thon

### Dealing with multiple races and other exceptions

Vocabulary Users



**Pulver** Gerald Pulver

Sep 2023

Sep 2023

We are trying to adhere closely to OHDSI standards for the REDS study.

1 / 90



**aostropolets** Anna Ostropolets

Dec 2023

Sep 2023

Absolutely. You can also watch the recording [here](#) 4.

As per the majority voting, the **proposal** we would

- Keep one race and ethnicity (if present) in r PERSON table
- Keep all additional races and ethnicities in table. Provenance of the record can be captured
- We do not deduplicate races to allow greater diversity. You can add other races and ethnicities to table to avoid full duplicates of existing races.
- No flavors of NULL are permitted, as usual.



MelaniePhilofsky on Oct 14, 2024 · edited by MelaniePhilofsky

Edits ▾

Member

Author

⋮

#### Issue # and location

- [Themis issue #71](#)

#### Issue summary

Data sources might have more than one race value per a person, have more than one source with differing race values or have a source value semantically equivalent to "multiple race" (I.e. multi-racial, > 1 race, etc.)